

SOME OPERATIONS ON BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY
 α -IDEAL AND BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC ANTI FUZZY α -
 IDEAL OF A BP-ALGEBRA

S.SIVAKAMINATHAN^{1*}, DR.K.GUNASEKARAN², AND DR.S.NANDAKUMAR³

Abstract: The concept of a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal and bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal are a new algebraic structure of BP-algebra and to use necessity and possibility operator. The purpose of this study is to implement the fuzzy set theory and ideal theory of a BP-algebra. The relation between the operation on bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal and bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal are established.

Keywords: BP-algebra, fuzzy ideal, bipolar fuzzy ideal, bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal, bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal, necessity and possibility operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy sets was initiated by I.A.Zadeh [13] then it has become a vigorous area of research in engineering, medical science, graph theory. S.S.Ahn [2] gave the idea of BP-algebra. Bipolar valued fuzzy sets was introduced by K.J.Lee [6] are an extension of fuzzy sets whose positive membership degree range is enlarged from the interval [0, 1] to [-1, 1]. In a bipolar valued fuzzy set, the membership degree 0 means that the elements are irrelevant to the corresponding property, the positive membership degree (0, 1] indicates that elements somewhat satisfy the property and the negative membership degree [-1, 0) indicates that elements somewhat satisfy the implicit counter property. The author W.R.Zhang [14] commenced the concept of bipolar fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets in 1998. K.Chakrabarthy and Biswas R.Nanda [3] investigated note on union and intersection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. A.Rajeshkumar [12] was analyzed fuzzy groups and level subgroups. M.Palanivelrajan and S.Nandakumar [11] introduced the definition and some operations of intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semiprimary ideal. K.Gunasekaran, S.Nandakumar and S.Sivakaminathan [15] introduced the definition of bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of a BP-algebra.

*Corresponding Author

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition: 1

Let A and B be any two bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = (\mu_{\alpha_A}^P, \mu_{\alpha_A}^N, \nu_{\alpha_A}^P, \nu_{\alpha_A}^N)$ and $B = (\mu_{\alpha_B}^P, \mu_{\alpha_B}^N, \nu_{\alpha_B}^P, \nu_{\alpha_B}^N)$ in X, we define

- (i) $A \cap B = \{(x, \min(\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)), \max(\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x)), \max(\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \nu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)), \min(\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \nu_{\alpha_B}^N(x))) \mid x \in X\}$
- (ii) $A \cup B = \{(x, \max(\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)), \min(\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x)), \min(\nu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \nu_{\alpha_B}^P(x)), \max(\nu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \nu_{\alpha_B}^N(x))) \mid x \in X\}$

$$(iii) \quad \bar{A} = \{(x, v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)) / x \in X\}$$

Definition: 2

A bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{(x, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x)) / x \in X\}$, of BP-algebra X is called a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$ and $\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$
- (ii) $\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \geq \min\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}$
- (iii) $\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \leq \max\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}$
- (iv) $v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$ and $v_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$
- (v) $v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \leq \max\{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}$
- (vi) $v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \geq \min\{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition: 3

A bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{(x, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x)) / x \in X\}$, of BP-algebra X is called a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$ and $\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$
- (ii) $\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \leq \max\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}$
- (iii) $\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \geq \min\{\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}$
- (iv) $v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \geq v_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$ and $v_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \leq v_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$
- (v) $v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \geq \min\{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\}$
- (vi) $v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \leq \max\{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\}$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition: 4

Let A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set of X , then the necessity operator \square is defined by then $\square A = \{(x, \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x), 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x), -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)) / x \in X\}$.

Definition: 5

Let A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy set of X , then the possibility operator \diamond is defined by then $\diamond A = \{(x, 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x)) / x \in X\}$.

3. OPERATIONS ON BIPOLAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY α -IDEAL

Theorem: 1

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X , then $\square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Proof: Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Consider $0, x, y, z \in A$.

$$(i) \quad \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) = \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x)$$

$$= \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x)$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x)$$

$$\text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(0) = \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0)$$

$$\leq \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x)$$

$$= \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x)$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x)$

(ii) Now $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(y * z) = \mu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z)$
 $\geq \min\{\mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\}$
 $= \min\{\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x * y)\}$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(y * z) \geq \min\{\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x * y)\}$

(iii) Now $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(y * z) = \mu_{\alpha A}^N(y * z)$
 $\leq \max\{\mu_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x * y)\}$
 $= \max\{\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x * y)\}$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(y * z) \leq \max\{\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x * y)\}$

(iv) Now $v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(0) = 1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^P(0)$
 $\leq 1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x)$
 $= v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x)$

Therefore $v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x)$

Now $v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^N(0)$
 $\geq -1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x)$
 $= v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x)$

Therefore $v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x)$

(v) Now $v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(y * z) = 1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z)$
 $\leq \max\{1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), 1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\}$
 $= \max\{v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x * y)\}$

Therefore $v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(y * z) \leq \max\{v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x * y)\}$

(vi) Now $v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(y * z) = -1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^N(y * z)$
 $\geq \min\{-1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), -1 - \mu_{\alpha A}^N(x * y)\}$
 $= \min\{v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x * y)\}$

Therefore $v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(y * z) \geq \min\{v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x * y)\}$

Therefore $\square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem: 2

If A and B are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\square(A \cap B) = \square A \cap \square B$

is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Proof: Let A and B are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Consider 0, x, y, z $\in A \cap B$ then 0, x, y, z $\in A$ and 0, x, y, z $\in B$.

(i) Now $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset (A \cap B)}^P(0) = \mu_{\alpha A \cap B}^P(0)$
 $= \min\{\mu_{\alpha A}^P(0), \mu_{\alpha B}^P(0)\}$
 $\geq \min\{\mu_{\alpha A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha B}^P(x)\}$
 $= \min\{\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^P(x), \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset B}^P(x)\}$
 $= \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A \cap B}^P(x)$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset (A \cap B)}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A \cap B}^P(x)$

Now $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset (A \cap B)}^N(0) = \mu_{\alpha A \cap B}^N(0)$
 $= \max\{\mu_{\alpha A}^N(0), \mu_{\alpha B}^N(0)\}$
 $\leq \max\{\mu_{\alpha A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha B}^N(x)\}$
 $= \max\{\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A}^N(x), \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset B}^N(x)\}$
 $= \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A \cap B}^N(x)$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset (A \cap B)}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha \sqsupset A \cap B}^N(x)$

(ii) Now $\mu_{\alpha \sqsupset (A \cap B)}^P(y * z) = \mu_{\alpha A \cap B}^P(y * z)$
 $= \min\{\mu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z), \mu_{\alpha B}^P(y * z)\}$
 $\geq \min\{\min\{\mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\}, \min\{\mu_{\alpha B}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha B}^P(x * y)\}\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z) \}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y), \mu_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= \min \{ \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square B}}^P(x * z) \}, \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y), \mu_{\alpha_{\square B}}^P(x * y) \} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * y) \} \\
 \text{Therefore } &\mu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iii) Now } &\mu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) = \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(y * z) \} \\
 &\leq \max \{ \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= \max \{ \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z) \}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y), \mu_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= \max \{ \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square B}}^N(x * z) \}, \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y), \mu_{\alpha_{\square B}}^N(x * y) \} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 \text{Therefore } &\mu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv) Now } &\nu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^P(0) = 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^P(0) \\
 &= 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^P(0) \\
 &\leq 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x) \\
 &= \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } &\nu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(0) \\
 &= -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^N(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x) \\
 &= \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \nu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(v) Now } &\nu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) = 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^P(y * z) \\
 &= 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \max \{ 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * z), 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * y) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Therefore } &\nu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{ \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^P(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(vi) Now } &\nu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) = -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) \\
 &\geq \min \{ -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * z), -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 &= \min \{ \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Therefore } &\nu_{\alpha_{\square(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{ \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_{\square A \cap \square B}}^N(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\square(A \cap B) = \square A \cap \square B$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem: 3

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Proof: Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Consider $0, x, y, z \in A$.

$$(i) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(0) &= 1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^P(0) \\ &\geq 1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^P(x) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(0) &= -1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^N(0) \\ &\leq -1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^N(x) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x)$

$$(ii) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(y * z) &= 1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z) \\ &\geq \min \{1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), 1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\} \\ &= \min \{\mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{\mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x * y)\}$

$$(iii) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(y * z) &= -1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^N(y * z) \\ &\leq \max \{-1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), -1 - \nu_{\alpha A}^N(x * y)\} \\ &= \max \{\mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{\mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x * y)\}$

$$(iv) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Now } \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(0) &= \nu_{\alpha A}^P(0) \\ &\leq \nu_{\alpha A}^P(x) \\ &= \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(0) \leq \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(0) &= \nu_{\alpha A}^N(0) \\ &\geq \nu_{\alpha A}^N(x) \\ &= \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x)$

$$(v) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Now } \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(y * z) &= \nu_{\alpha A}^P(y * z) \\ &\leq \max \{\nu_{\alpha A}^P(x * z), \nu_{\alpha A}^P(x * y)\} \\ &= \max \{\nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x * z), \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{\nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x * z), \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x * y)\}$

$$(vi) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Now } \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(y * z) &= \nu_{\alpha A}^N(y * z) \\ &\geq \min \{\nu_{\alpha A}^N(x * z), \nu_{\alpha A}^N(x * y)\} \\ &= \min \{\nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x * z), \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{\nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x * z), \nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^N(x * y)\}$

Therefore $\diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Theorem: 4

If A and B are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X , then $\diamond(A \cap B) = \diamond A \cap \diamond B$

is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Proof: Let A and B are bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Consider $0, x, y, z \in A \cap B$ then $0, x, y, z \in A$ and $0, x, y, z \in B$.

$$(i) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha \diamond(A \cap B)}^P(0) &= 1 - \nu_{\alpha A \cap B}^P(0) \\ &= 1 - \max \{\nu_{\alpha A}^P(0), \nu_{\alpha B}^P(0)\} \\ &\geq 1 - \max \{\nu_{\alpha A}^P(x), \nu_{\alpha B}^P(x)\} \\ &= 1 - \max \{\nu_{\alpha \diamond A}^P(x), \nu_{\alpha \diamond B}^P(x)\} \\ &= 1 - \nu_{\alpha \diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha \diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha \diamond(A \cap B)}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha \diamond A \cap \diamond B}^P(x)$

$$\text{Now } \mu_{\alpha \diamond(A \cap B)}^N(0) = -1 - \nu_{\alpha A \cap B}^N(0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -1 \cdot \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(0), v_{\alpha_B}^N(0) \} \\
 &\leq -1 \cdot \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x) \} \\
 &= -1 \cdot \min \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi A}}^N(x), v_{\alpha_{\phi B}}^N(x) \} \\
 &= -1 \cdot v_{\alpha_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}^N(x) \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}^N(x) \\
 \text{Therefore } &\mu_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}^N(x) \\
 \text{(ii) Now } &\mu_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) = 1 - v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) \\
 &= 1 - \max \{ \mathbb{U}_{\phi A}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), \mathbb{U}_{\phi B}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \} \\
 &\geq 1 - \max \{ \min \{ \mathbb{U}_{\phi A}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), \mathbb{U}_{\phi A}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \}, \min \{ \mathbb{U}_{\phi B}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), \mathbb{U}_{\phi B}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \} \} \\
 &= 1 - \min \{ \max \{ \mathbb{U}_{\phi A}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), \mathbb{U}_{\phi B}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \}, \max \{ \mathbb{U}_{\phi A}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), \mathbb{U}_{\phi B}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \} \} \\
 &= 1 - \min \{ \max \{ \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi A}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi B}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \}, \max \{ \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi A}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi B}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \} \} \\
 &= 1 - \min \{ \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \} \\
 &= \min \{ 1 - \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), 1 - \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \} \\
 &= \min \{ \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}), \mathbb{U}_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{U} * \mathbb{U}) \} \\
 \text{Therefore } &\mu_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^P(x * y) \} \\
 \text{(iii) Now } &\mu_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) = -1 - v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= -1 \cdot \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(y * z) \} \\
 &\leq -1 \cdot \min \{ \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}, \max \{ v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= -1 \cdot \max \{ \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z) \}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= -1 \cdot \max \{ \min \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\phi B}}^N(x * z) \}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi A}}^N(x * y), v_{\alpha_{\phi B}}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= -1 \cdot \max \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 &= \max \{ -1 - v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x * z), -1 - v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 \text{Therefore } &\mu_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 \text{(iv) Now } &v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^P(0) = v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^P(0) \\
 &= \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(0), v_{\alpha_B}^P(0) \} \\
 &\leq \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x) \} \\
 &= \max \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi A}}^P(x), v_{\alpha_{\phi B}}^P(x) \} \\
 &= v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^P(x) \\
 \text{Therefore } &v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^P(x) \\
 \text{Now } &v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^N(0) = v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^N(0) \\
 &= \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(0), v_{\alpha_B}^N(0) \} \\
 &\geq \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x) \} \\
 &= \min \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi A}}^N(x), v_{\alpha_{\phi B}}^N(x) \} \\
 &= v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x) \\
 \text{Therefore } &v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^N(x) \\
 \text{(v) Now } &v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) = v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) \\
 &= \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(y * z) \} \\
 &\leq \max \{ \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \}, \max \{ v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= \max \{ \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * z) \}, \max \{ v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y), v_{\alpha_B}^P(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= \max \{ \max \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\phi B}}^P(x * z) \}, \max \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi A}}^P(x * y), v_{\alpha_{\phi B}}^P(x * y) \} \} \\
 &= \max \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^P(x * y) \} \\
 \text{Therefore } &v_{\alpha_{\phi(A \cap B)}}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{ v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\phi_{\phi A \cap \phi B}}}^P(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(vi)} \quad & \text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\diamond(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) = v_{\alpha_{A \cap B}}^N(y * z) \\
 & = \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(y * z) \} \\
 & \geq \min \{ \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 & = \min \{ \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * z) \}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y), v_{\alpha_B}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 & = \min \{ \min \{ v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond B}}^N(x * z) \}, \min \{ v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * y), v_{\alpha_{\diamond B}}^N(x * y) \} \} \\
 & = \min \{ v_{\alpha_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 \text{Therefore } & v_{\alpha_{\diamond(A \cap B)}}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{ v_{\alpha_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond A \cap \diamond B}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 \text{Therefore } & \diamond(A \cap B) = \diamond A \cap \diamond B \text{ is also a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy } \alpha\text{-ideal} \\
 & \text{of X.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 5

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\square\square A = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Proof: Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Consider 0, x, y, z \in A.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^P(0) = \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) \\
 & = \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\
 & \geq \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\
 & = \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Therefore } & \mu_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x) \\
 \text{Now } & \mu_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^N(0) = \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(0) \\
 & = \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\
 & \leq \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\
 & = \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii)} \quad & \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^P(y * z) = \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(y * z) \\
 & = \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\
 & \geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \} \\
 & = \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iii)} \quad & \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^N(y * z) = \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) \\
 & = \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\
 & \leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \\
 & = \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv)} \quad & \text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^P(0) = 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) \\
 & = 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\
 & \leq 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\
 & = v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } & v_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^N(0) = -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(0) \\
 & = -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\
 & \geq -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\
 & = v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(v)} \quad & \text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\square\square A}}^P(y * z) = 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(y * z) \\
 & = 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \max\{1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\} \\ &\quad = \max\{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y)\} \\ \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(y * z) &\leq \max\{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y)\} \\ \text{(vi) Now } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) &= -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) \\ &= -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\ &\geq \min\{-1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\} \\ &\quad = \min\{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y)\} \\ \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) &\geq \min\{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y)\} \\ \text{Therefore } \square\square A = \square A &\text{ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy } \alpha\text{-ideal of } X. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 6

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X , then $\square\diamond A = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Proof: Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Consider $0, x, y, z \in A$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i) Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^P(0) &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(0) \\ &= 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\ &\geq 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\ &\quad = \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x) \\ \text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^P(0) &\geq \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x) \\ \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^N(0) &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(0) \\ &= -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\ &\leq -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\ &\quad = \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x) \\ \text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^N(0) &\leq \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x) \\ \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^P(y * z) &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(y * z) \\ &= 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\ &\geq \min\{1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\} \\ &\quad = \min\{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y)\} \\ \text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^P(y * z) &\geq \min\{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y)\} \\ \text{(iii) Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^N(y * z) &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(y * z) \\ &= -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\ &\leq \max\{-1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\} \\ &\quad = \max\{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * y)\} \\ \text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^N(y * z) &\leq \max\{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * y)\} \\ \text{(iv) Now } v_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^P(0) &= 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(0) \\ &= 1 - [1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(0)] \\ &= v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\ &\leq v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\ &\quad = v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x) \\ \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^P(0) &\leq v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x) \\ \text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^N(0) &= -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(0) \\ &= -1 - [-1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(0)] \\ &= v_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\ &\geq v_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\ &\quad = v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x) \\ \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^N(0) &\geq v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x) \\ \text{(v) Now } v_{\alpha_{\square\diamond A}}^P(y * z) &= 1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(y * z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1 - [1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z)] \\
 &= v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\
 &\leq \max \{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\} \\
 &= \max \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y)\} \\
 &\text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y)\} \\
 \text{(vi)} \quad &\text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) = -1 - \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= -1 - [-1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z)] \\
 &= v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\
 &\geq \min \{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\} \\
 &= \min \{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y)\} \\
 &\text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y)\} \\
 &\text{Therefore } \square \diamond A = \diamond A \text{ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy } \alpha\text{-ideal of X.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 7

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\diamond \square A = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Proof: Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Consider 0, x, y, z \in A.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad &\text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) = 1 - v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) \\
 &= 1 - [1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0)] \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\
 &\geq \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x) \\
 &\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x) \\
 \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(0) &= -1 - v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(0) \\
 &= -1 - [-1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0)] \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\
 &\leq \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x) \\
 &\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x) \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad &\text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(y * z) = 1 - v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(y * z) \\
 &= 1 - [1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z)] \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\
 &\geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \} \\
 &= \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y) \} \\
 &\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y) \} \\
 \text{(iii)} \quad &\text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(y * z) = -1 - v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= -1 - [-1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z)] \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\
 &\leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 &\text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * y) \} \\
 \text{(iv)} \quad &\text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) = v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) \\
 &= 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\
 &\leq 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x) \\
 &\text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x) \\
 &\text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(0) = v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\
 &\geq -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x) \\
 \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(0) &\geq v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x) \\
 \text{(v) Now } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(y * z) &= v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(y * z) \\
 &= 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\
 &\leq \max \{1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\} \\
 &= \max \{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y)\} \\
 \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(y * z) &\leq \max \{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y)\} \\
 \text{(vi) Now } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) &= v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\
 &\geq \min \{-1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\} \\
 &= \min \{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y)\} \\
 \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(y * z) &\geq \min \{v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y)\} \\
 \text{Therefore } \diamond \square A = \square A &\text{ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy } \alpha\text{-ideal of } X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 8

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X , then $\diamond \diamond A = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Proof: Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Consider $0, x, y, z \in A$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i) Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^P(0) &= 1 - v_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^P(0) \\
 &= 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\
 &\geq 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x) \\
 \text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^P(0) &\geq \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x) \\
 \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^N(0) &= -1 - v_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^N(0) \\
 &= -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\
 &\leq -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x) \\
 \text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^N(0) &\leq \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x) \\
 \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^P(y * z) &= 1 - v_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^P(y * z) \\
 &= 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\
 &\geq \min \{1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\} \\
 &= \min \{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y)\} \\
 \text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^P(y * z) &\geq \min \{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y)\} \\
 \text{(iii) Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^N(y * z) &= -1 - v_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\
 &\leq \max \{-1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\} \\
 &= \max \{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * y)\} \\
 \text{Therefore } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^N(y * z) &\leq \max \{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * y)\} \\
 \text{(iv) Now } v_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^P(0) &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^P(0) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\
 &\leq v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x) \\
 \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^P(0) &\leq v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x) \\
 \text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^N(0) &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond \diamond A}}^N(0) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_A}^N(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq v_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\
 &\quad = v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x) \\
 \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(0) &\geq v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x) \\
 \text{(v) Now } v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(y * z) &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(y * z) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\
 &\leq \max \{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\} \\
 &\quad = \max \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x * y)\} \\
 \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(y * z) &\leq \max \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x * y)\} \\
 \text{(vi) Now } v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(y * z) &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\
 &\geq \min \{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\} \\
 &\quad = \min \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x * y)\} \\
 \text{Therefore } v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(y * z) &\geq \min \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x * y)\} \\
 \text{Therefore } \diamond\circ A = \diamond A &\text{ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy } \alpha\text{-ideal of } X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: 9

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\square\bar{A} = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Proof: Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Consider 0, x, y, z ∈ A.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i) Now } \mu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) &= v_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) \\
 &= 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\
 &\geq 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } \mu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(0) &= v_{\square\bar{A}}^N(0) \\
 &= -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\
 &\leq -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\
 &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii) Now } \mu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(y * z) &= v_{\square\bar{A}}^P(y * z) \\
 &= 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\
 &\geq \min \{1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), 1 - v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\} \\
 &= \min \{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x * y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iii) Now } \mu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(y * z) &= v_{\square\bar{A}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\
 &\leq \max \{-1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), -1 - v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\} \\
 &= \max \{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x * y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^N(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(iv) Now } v_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) &= \mu_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\
 &\leq v_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v_{\square\bar{A}}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha_{\diamond\circ A}}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } v_{\square\bar{A}}^N(0) &= \mu_{\square\bar{A}}^N(0) \\
 &= v_{\alpha_A}^N(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq v_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\ &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v_{\alpha_{\square\bar{A}}}^N(0) \geq v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(v)} \quad \text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\square\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) &= \mu_{\alpha_{\square\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) \\ &= v_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\ &\leq \max \{v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\} \\ &= \max \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v_{\alpha_{\square\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) \leq \max \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^P(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(vi)} \quad \text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\square\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) &= \mu_{\alpha_{\square\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) \\ &= v_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\ &\geq \min \{v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\} \\ &= \min \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v_{\alpha_{\square\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * z), v_{\alpha_{\diamond A}}^N(x * y)\}$

Therefore $\square\bar{A} = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem: 10

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\diamond\bar{A} = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Proof: Given A is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Consider 0, x, y, z \in A.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(0) &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(0) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\ &\geq \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(0) \geq \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(0) &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(0) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\ &\leq \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(0) \leq \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\ &\geq \min \{\mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y)\} \\ &= \min \{\mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) \geq \min \{\mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad \text{Now } \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) &= v_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\ &\leq \max \{\mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y)\} \\ &= \max \{\mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) \leq \max \{\mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), \mu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv)} \quad \text{Now } v_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(0) &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(0) \\ &= 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(0) \\ &\leq 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x) \\ &= v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(0) \leq v_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x)$

Now $v_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(0) = \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(0) \\
 &\geq -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x) \\
 &= \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\nu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(0) \geq \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(v)} \quad \text{Now } \nu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) \\
 &= 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(y * z) \\
 &\leq \max \{ 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * z), 1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^P(x * y) \} \\
 &= \max \{ \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y) \} \\
 \text{Therefore } \nu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^P(y * z) &\leq \max \{ \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^P(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(vi)} \quad \text{Now } \nu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) &= \mu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) \\
 &= -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(y * z) \\
 &\geq \min \{ -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * z), -1 - \mu_{\alpha_A}^N(x * y) \} \\
 &= \min \{ \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\nu_{\alpha_{\diamond\bar{A}}}^N(y * z) \geq \min \{ \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * z), \nu_{\alpha_{\square A}}^N(x * y) \}$

Therefore $\diamond\bar{A} = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem: 11

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem:12

If A and B are bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\square (A \cap B) = \square A \cap \square B$ is also a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem:13

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem:14

If A and B are bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\diamond (A \cap B) = \diamond A \cap \diamond B$ is also a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem:15

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\square\square A = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem:16

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\square\diamond A = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem:17

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X, then $\diamond\square A = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X.

Theorem:18

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X , then $\diamond \diamond A = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Theorem:19

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X , then $\square \bar{A} = \diamond A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X .

Theorem: 20

If A is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X , then $\diamond \bar{A} = \square A$ is a bipolar intuitionistic anti fuzzy α -ideal of X .

4. REFERENCES

1. S.Abdullah and M.M.M. Aslam, Bipolar fuzzy ideals in LA-semigroups, World Appl. Sci. J., 17.12 (2012), 1769-1782.
2. S.S.Ahn and J.S.Han, On BP-algebra, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 42 (2013), 551-557.
3. K.Chakrabarthy, Biswas R.Nanda, A note on union and intersection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, 3(4), 1997.
4. K.J.Lee and Y.B.Jun, Bipolar fuzzy a-ideals of BCI-algebras, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 26.4 (2011), 531-542.
5. K.J.Lee, Bipolar fuzzy subalgebras and bipolar fuzzy ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 32.3 (2009), 361-373.
6. K.J.Lee, Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their basic operations, Proc. Int. Conf., Bangkok, Thailand, 2007, 307-317.
7. J,Meng and X.Guo, On fuzzy ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, Fuzzy Sets, and Systems, 149.3 (2005), 509-525.
8. Osama Rashad El-Gendy, Bipolar fuzzy α -ideal of BP -algebra, American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 2020, 10(2): 33-37.
9. M.Palanivelrajan and S.Nandakumar, Intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semiprimary ideal, Indian Journal of Applied Research, Vol.1, 2012, No. 5, 159-160.
10. M.Palanivelrajan and S.Nandakumar, Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semi primary ideals, Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets, 18, No.3 (2012), 68-74.
11. M.Palanivelrajan and S.Nandakumar, Some operations of intuitionistic fuzzy primary and semiprimary ideal, Asian journal of algebra 5, No.2 (2012), 44-49.
12. A. Rajeshkumar, Fuzzy Algebra: Volume I (Publication division, University of Delhi).
13. L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information Control, 8 (1965), 338-353.
14. W.R.Zhang, Bipolar fuzzy sets, Part I, Proc. of FUZZ-IEEE, 2 (1998), 835-840.
15. K.Gunasekaran, S.Nandakumar and S.Sivakaminathan, Bipolar intuitionistic fuzzy α -ideal of a BP-algebra, Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University, 17(8), 2021, 8-24.

S.SIVAKAMINATHAN : Ramanujan Research Centre, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous),(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli), Kumbakonam - 612002, Tamilnadu, India.

Email: snathan394@gmail.com

DR. K.GUNASEKARAN : Principal(incharge), Government Arts and Science College, (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli), Kuttalam-609 808,Tamilnadu, India.

Email: drkgmath@gmail.com

DR. S.NANDAKUMAR: PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College,(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli), Ariyalur- 621 713, Tamilnadu, India.

Email: udmnanda@gmail.com