### ON DOMINATION OF SIERPINSKI GRAPHS

M. NANJUNDASWAMY, PUTTASWAMY, NAYAKA S. R., M. PAVITHRA, AND P. SIVA KOTA REDDY\*

ABSTRACT. In this article, we determine the exact values of the double Italian and perfect double Italian domination number of generalized Sierpiński graphs S(G, t), when t = 2. In particular, when  $G = C_n$  or a graph having exactly one universal vertex or a graph having at least two universal vertices.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper we study the Roman- $\{3\}$  domination or double Italian domination number and the perfect double Italian domination number of the generalised Sierpiński graph S(G,t). Let us begin with some required terminology. Let G = (V, E) be a graph of order n with vertex set V = V(G) and edge set E = E(G). Let  $C_n, P_n$  and  $K_n$  be respectively the cycle, path and complete graph on n vertices. A tree is a connected graph with no cycles. The open neighbourhood of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is the set  $N(v) = \{u : uv \in E(G)\}$ . The closed neighborhood of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . |N(v)| is called the degree of the vertex  $v \in G$  and is denoted by d(v). The maximum degree of a vertex in G is denoted by  $\Delta(G)$ . A vertex of degree 0 is known as an isolated vertex of G. A vertex of degree 1 is called a leaf vertex. A vertex of degree n-1 is a universal vertex, where n = |V(G)|. If S is a non-empty subset of the vertex set V of the graph G then the sub-graph induced by S is defined as the graph having vertex set S and edge set consisting of edges of G having both ends in S. All graphs considered here are simple and undirected. For any graph theoretic terminology, definition or notation not mentioned in this article, the readers may refer to [4, 3, 5, 6, 9].

In [9], Mojdeh and Volkmann introduced the concept of double Italian domination, which is a variant of Roman domination. The origin of Roman domination was motivated by the defense strategies used to defend the Roman Empire during the reign of the Great, Emperor Constantine. Double Italian domination is an optimization of a stronger version of the Roman domination. In [4], Hao et al. initiated the study of perfect double Italian domination. They evaluated the  $\gamma_{dI}^{P}$  of some standard graphs and examined the corresponding  $\gamma_{dI}$ . In [10], the Roman domination number of generalized Sierpiński graph S(G, t) is studied and general upper bound is found and its tightness observed. In [12], the exact Italian

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C69, 05C76.

Key words and phrases. Dominating set, Double Italian domination, Perfect double Italian domination, Sierpiński graph.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors.

domination, perfect Italian domination and double Roman domination number of generalized Sierpiński graph S(G, 2) are obtained, where G can be  $C_n$ ,  $n \ge 4$ ,  $K_{1,q}$  or  $K_{2,q}, q \ge 2$  and a bistar  $B_{m,n}, m, n \ge 3$ . In [1, 2], a bound for the double Roman domination number of generalized Sierpiński graph is obtained and exact value of  $\gamma_{dR}(S(K_n, 2))$  is found precisely.

**Definition 1.1.** For a graph G, a Roman $\{3\}$ -dominating function or double Italian dominating function (abbreviated DIDF) is a function  $f: V \to \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  having the property that for every vertex  $u \in V$ , if  $f(u) \in \{0, 1\}$ , then  $f(N[u]) \ge 3$ . Formally, a Roman  $\{3\}$ -dominating function  $f: V \to \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  has the property that for every vertex  $v \in V$ , with f(v) = 0, there exist at least either three vertices in  $V_1 \cap N(v)$  or one vertex in  $V_1 \cap N(v)$  and one in  $V_2 \cap N(v)$  or two vertices in  $V_2 \cap N(v)$  or one vertex in  $V_3 \cap N(v)$  and for every vertex  $v \in V$ , with f(v) = 1, there exist at least either two vertices in  $V_1 \cap N(v)$  or one vertex in  $(V_2 \cup V_3) \cap N(v)$ .

The weight of a Roman {3} dominating function or double Italian dominating function (See [9]) is the sum  $w_f = \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$  and the minimum weight of a Roman {3}-dominating function f is the Roman {3}-domination number or double Italian domination number, denoted by  $\gamma_{R3}(G)$  or  $\gamma_{dI}(G)$  and we can write  $f = (V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3)$ , where  $V_i = \{v \in V(G) : f(v) = i\}$  for i = 0, 1, 2, 3.

**Definition 1.2.** A perfect double Italian dominating function (abbreviated, PDIDF or PDID function) is a function  $f: V \to \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  having the property that,

- (1) For any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , if f(v) = 0, then v is either adjacent to at least 3 and at most 4 vertices in  $V_1$  and no vertex in  $V_2 \cup V_3$ , or is adjacent to at least 1 vertex and at most 2 vertices in  $V_1$  and exactly one vertex in  $V_2$  and no vertex in  $V_3$ , or is adjacent to at most one vertex in  $V_1$  and exactly one vertex in  $V_3$  and no vertex in  $V_2$ , or is adjacent to two vertices in  $V_2$  and no vertex in  $V_1 \cup V_3$ .
- (2) If f(v) = 1, then v either is adjacent to at least 2 vertices and at most 3 vertices in  $V_1$  and no vertex in  $V_2 \cup V_3$ , or is adjacent to at most one vertex of  $V_1$  and exactly one vertex in  $V_2$  and no vertex in  $V_3$ , or is adjacent to exactly one vertex in  $V_3$  and no vertex in  $V_1 \cup V_3$ . The weight of a perfect double Italian dominating function f is the sum  $w_f = f(V) =$  $\Sigma_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$  ie, we have, for  $v \in V_0 \cup V_1, 3 \leq \Sigma_{u \in N[v]} f(u) \leq 4$ . And the minimum weight of a perfect double Italian dominating function on G is the perfect double Italian domination number (abbreviated, PDID or PDID number ) of G, denoted by  $\gamma_{dI}^P(G)$  [4].

**Definition 1.3.** Let G = (V, E) be a non-empty graph of order  $n \ge 2$ , and t a positive integer.Let  $V^t$  denote the set of words of length t on alphabet V. The letters of a word u of length t are denoted by  $u_1u_2\ldots u_t$ . In [9] Klavžar and Milutinović introduced the graph  $S(K_n, t), t \ge 1$ , S(t, n) in their notation whose vertex set is  $V^t$ , where  $\{u, v\}$  is an edge if and only if there exists  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$  such that

(i)  $u_j = v_j$ , if j < i

(ii)  $u_i \neq v_i$ 

(iii)  $u_j = v_i$  and  $v_j = u_i$  if j > i.

Later, those graphs were called Sierpiński graphs in [8].

This construction was generalized for any graph G = (V, E), by defining the *t*-th generalized Sierpiński graph of G, denoted by S(G, t), as the graph with vertex set  $V^t$  and edge set

 $\left\{\left\{wu_{i}u_{j}^{r-1}, wu_{j}u_{i}^{r-1}\right\} : \left\{u_{i}, u_{j}\right\} \in E, i \neq j; r \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}; w \in V^{t-r}\right\}.$ 

Vertices of the form  $xx \ldots x$  are called extreme vertices of S(G, t). Note that for any graph G of order n and any integer  $t \ge 2$ , S(G, t) has n extreme vertices and, if x has degree d(x) in G, then the extreme vertex  $xx \ldots x$  of S(G, t) also has degree d(x) [1, 12, 7, 10]. Figure 1, gives  $S(C_6, 1)$  and  $S(C_6, 2)$ .



FIGURE 1.  $S(C_6, t)$ , when t = 1, 2.

See that S(G, 1) is G itself. If  $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  is the vertex set of G, then  $V_i = \{ij : j = 1, 2, ..., n\}$  induces a copy of G in S(G, 2) for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . The sub-graph induced by  $V_i$  is denoted by  $G^i$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  [12]. The following theorem and observations are useful in this paper.

**Theorem 1.4.** For any tree  $T, \gamma_{dI}(T) = \gamma_{dR}(T)$ ...

**Observation 1.1:** [9] For any graph  $G, \gamma_{dI}(G) \leq \gamma_{dR}(G)$  ie, by definition every double Roman dominating function is a double Italian dominating. In fact, double Italian domination is a variant of double Roman domination.

**Observation 1.2:** [9] If G is a graph of order  $n \ge 2$ , then  $\gamma_{dI}(G) \ge 3$ , with equality if and only if G has at least one universal vertex. **Observation 1.3:** [9, 4] Let  $n \ge 1$ . Then

$$\gamma_{dI}(P_n) = \gamma_{dI}^P(P_n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ n+1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Observation 1.4:** [9, 4] For a cycle  $C_n$ , we have  $\gamma_{dI}(C_n) = \gamma_{dI}^P(C_n) = n$ . **Observation 1.5:** [4]. For any graph  $G, \gamma_{dI}(G) \leq \gamma_{dI}^P(G)$  by the definition. **Observation 1.6:** [9, 12, 11] For any tree T and any positive integer t, S(T, t) is a tree. Then the double Italian domination number of generalised Sierpinski graph  $S(B_{m,n}, 2)$  is  $\gamma_{dI}(S(B_{m,n}, 2)) = 6(m + n + 1), m, n \geq 3$ .

# 2. Double Italian and Perfect Double Italian Domination number of $S\left(C_n,2\right)$

In this section, we obtain the exact double Italian and perfect double Italian domination number of  $S(C_n, 2)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** The double Italian domination number of generalized Sierpiński graph  $S(C_n, 2)$ ,  $\gamma_{dI}(S(C_n, 2)) = n(n-1)$  for  $n \ge 3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then  $S(C_n, 2)$  has the vertex set

$$\{v_i v_j : i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

and edge set  $\{(v_iv_j, v_iv_k) : v_jv_k \in E(C_n)\} \cup \{(v_iv_j, v_jv_i)\} : v_iv_j \in E(C_n)\}$ . Now, consider the function,

$$f(v_i v_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots n\}, j = i + 1 \pmod{n} \\ 2 & i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots n\}, j = i + 1 + 2l \pmod{n}, l = 1, 2, 3, \dots n - 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, this is a DIDF on  $S(C_n, 2)$ . So,  $f(S(C_n, 2)) \leq n + 2n + 2\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)n = n(n-1)$ . Conversely, in each  $C_n^i, v_i v_i$  is the extreme vertex and  $v_i v_{i-1}, v_i v_{i+1}$  are the only vertices adjacent to other copies of  $C_n$ . The remaining vertices (other than  $v_i v_{i-1}, v_i v_i, v_i v_{i+1}$ ) form a path on n-3 vertices, say  $P_{n-3}^i$ . Let f be a DIDF of  $S(C_n, 2)$ . Note that the extreme vertices  $v_i v_i$  and adjacent vertices  $v_i v_{i-1}, v_i v_{i+1}$  of all n copies of  $C_n$  form a cycle C of length 3n.

If  $f(v_iv_{i-1}) + f(v_iv_{i+1}) = 0$ . Then  $f(v_iv_{i-1}) = f(v_iv_{i+1}) = 0$  such that  $f(v_iv_i) \ge 2$ , the remaining vertices form a path of length  $n - 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $f\left(P_{n-3}^i\right) \ge n - 3$ . So,  $f\left(C_n^i\right) \ge n - 3 + 2 = n - 1$ .

If  $f(v_i v_{i-1}) + f(v_i v_{i+1}) = 1$ . For definiteness let  $f(v_i v_{i-1}) = 0$  and  $f(v_i v_{i+1}) = 1$ 1 then clearly  $f(v_i v_i) \ge 2$  and  $f(P_{n-3}^i - (v_i v_{i+2})) \ge n - 4 + 1 = n - 3$ . So,  $f(C_n^i) \ge n - 3 + 2 + 1 = n$ .

If  $f(v_i v_{i-1}) + f(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2$ , let  $f(v_i v_{i-1}) = 0$  and  $f(v_i v_{i+1}) = 2$  for definiteness then,  $f(v_i v_i) \ge 1$ . Also,  $f(P_{n-3}^i - (v_i v_{i+2})) \ge n-3$  hence  $f(C_n^i) \ge n$  or consider the case when  $f(v_i v_{i-1}) = 1$  and  $f(v_i v_{i+1}) = 1$  then  $f(v_i v_i) \ge 1$  and if  $f(v_{i-1}v_i) = 2$ ,  $f(v_{i+1}v_i) = 2$  then  $f(P_{n-3}^i - (v_i v_{i+2}), (v_i v_{i-2})) \ge n-5+1 = n-4$ . So,  $f(C_n^i) \ge n-4+3 = n-1$ .

If  $f(v_iv_{i-1}) + f(v_iv_{i+1}) = 3$ . Then either  $f(v_iv_{i-1}) = 0$  and  $f(v_iv_{i+1}) = 3$  or vice versa or  $f(v_iv_{i-1}) = 1$  and  $f(v_iv_{i+1}) = 2$  or vice versa. For let,  $f(v_iv_{i-1}) = 0$  and  $f(v_iv_{i+1}) = 3$  then,  $f(v_iv_i) = 0$ .

Again  $f(P_{n-3}^{i} - (v_{i}v_{i+2})) \ge n - 4 + 1 = n - 3$ . So,  $f(C_{n}^{i}) \ge n - 3 + 3 = n$ . If  $f(v_{i}v_{i-1}) = 1$  and  $f(v_{i}v_{i+1}) = 2$  then,  $f(v_{i}v_{i}) = 0$ ,  $f(P_{n-3}^{i} - (v_{i}v_{i+2})) \ge n - 3$  and  $f(C_{n}^{i}) \ge n$ . Here consider the particular case when  $f(v_{i-1}v_{i}) = 2$  and  $f(P_{n-3}^{i} - (v_{i}v_{i+2}), (v_{i}v_{i-2})) \ge n - 5 + 1 = n - 4$ . Hence,  $f(C_{n}^{i}) \ge n - 4 + 3 = n - 4$ .

n - 1.

If 
$$f(v_i v_{i-1}) + f(v_i v_{i+1}) \ge 4$$
. Then  $f(P_{n-3}^i - (v_i v_{i+2}), (v_i v_{i-2})) \ge n - 5 + 1 = n - 4$ . So,  $f(C_n^i) \ge n - 4 + 4 = n$ .

Thus in all cases,  $f(C_n^i) \ge n - 1 \forall i$ . So,  $f(S(C_n, 2)) \ge n(n-1)$ . Hence,  $f(S(C_n, 2)) = n(n-1)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** The perfect double Italian domination number of generalised Sierpiński graph  $S(C_n, 2)$  is  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(C_n, 2)) = n(n-1)$  for  $n \ge 3$ .

Proof. Consider the function,

$$f(v_i v_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots n\}, j = i + 1 \pmod{n} \\ 2 & i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots n\}, j = i + 1 + 2l \pmod{n}, l = 1, 2, 3, \dots n - 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

in Theorem 2.1, it is a PDIDF on  $S(C_n, 2)$  as well.So,  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(C_n, 2)) \leq n + 2n + 2\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)n = n(n-1)$  and  $\gamma_{dI}(S(C_n, 2)) \leq \gamma_{dI}^P(S(C_n, 2))$ . Hence by Theorem 2.1,  $n(n-1) \leq \gamma_{dI}^P(S(C_n, 2))$ .So,  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(C_n, 2)) = n(n-1)$ .

Remark 2.3. In [12],  $\gamma_{dR}$  ( $S(C_n, 2)$ ) is found and we get  $\gamma_{dI}$  ( $S(C_n, 2)$ )  $< \gamma_{dR}$  ( $S(C_n, 2)$ ) for  $n \neq 3k+1, k \ge 1$ . Note that we have used the same technique to prove so.

## 3. Double Italian domination number and perfect double Italian domination number of S(G, 2) with certain conditions

Fir,stly we obtain, the double Italian domination number of S(G, 2) where G has a universal vertex followed by determining the perfect double Italian domination number of S(G, 2) where G has exactly one universal vertex and then the perfect double Italian domination number of S(G, 2) where G has at least two universal vertices.

**Theorem 2.3.** If a graph G has a universal vertex, then  $\gamma_{dI}(S(G,2)) = 3n - 1$ .

Proof. The case when n = 1, 2 can be proved through inspection.Let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  be the vertex set of G having a universal vertex  $v_1$ . Then let  $\{v_1v_1, v_2v_1, \ldots, v_nv_1\}$  be the corresponding vertices on each copy of G, say  $G^i, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \in S(G, 2)$ .Then  $G^1$  contains the extreme vertex  $v_1v_1$ .Now consider a DIDF function f such that  $f(v_iv_1) = 3$  for all  $i \neq 1$  and  $f(v_1v_1) = 2$ .So,  $\gamma_{dI}(S(G,2)) \leq 3(n-1)+2=3n-1$ . Conversely, let f be a  $\gamma_{dI}$  - function and to double Italian dominate the extreme vertex  $v_iv_i$  in  $G^i$ , either  $f(v_iv_i) = 2, 3$  or if  $f(v_iv_i) \in \{0,1\}$  then,  $f(N[v_iv_i]) \geq 3$  and  $f(G^i) \geq 3$ . We know  $\gamma_{dI}(S(G,2)) \leq 3n-1$  and  $f(G^i) \geq 3 \forall i$  except possibly when  $f(v_iv_i) = 2 = f(G^i)$ . So, in the latter case, to double Italian dominate  $v_iv_j, j \neq i, v_iv_j$  must be adjacent to all  $v_jv_i$  indicating that  $v_i$  is a universal vertex in the base graph say,  $v_i = v_1$ . To double Italian dominate  $v_iv_j, j \neq 1, v_jv_1$  must have weight at least 1. If  $v_jv_1$  has weight 2 then  $G^j$  contains at least one more vertex with weight at least 1 if not

 $v_j v_j$  cannot be double Italian dominated. Therefore,  $f(G^j) \ge 3 \ \forall j \ne 1$ . Hence,  $\gamma_{dI}(S(G,2)) \ge 3(n-1) + 2 = 3n-1$ . So,  $\gamma_{dI}(S(G,2)) = 3n-1$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Clearly  $\gamma_{dI}(S(G,2)) = \gamma_{dR}(S(G,2)) = 3n-1$  because if there exists a  $\gamma_{dI}$  - function f such that f(v) = 1 for no  $v \in V(G)$  then  $\gamma_{dI}(G) = \gamma_{dR}(G)$ . In particular  $\gamma_{dI}(S(K_n,2)) = 3n-1$  and  $\gamma_{dI}(S(K_{1,q},2)) = 3q+2$ . Note that for a graph G with no edges and n vertices,  $\gamma_{dI}(G) = \gamma_{dI}^P(G) = 2n$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Note that if G contains no universal vertex  $\gamma_{dI}(S(G,2)) > 3n - 1$ . The motivation for the above proof is from [1, 2].

**Theorem 2.4.** The perfect double Italian domination number of the generalised Sierpiński graph S(G,2) is given by

$$\gamma^{P}_{dI}(S(G,2)) = \begin{cases} 3n-1 & G \in \mathcal{G} \\ 3n & otherwise \end{cases}$$

where,  $\mathcal{G}$  is the class of graphs with exactly one universal vertex and all other vertices of degree n-2 where  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , for  $n \ge 3$ .

*Proof.* Consider S(G, 2). Let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  be the vertex set of G having exactly one universal vertex  $v_1$ . Then let  $\{v_1v_1, v_2v_1, \ldots, v_nv_1\}$  be the corresponding vertices on each copy of G, say  $G^i, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots n$ . in S(G, 2). Then  $G^1$  contains the extreme vertex  $v_1v_1$ . Consider the function

$$f(u) = \begin{cases} 3 & v_i v_1 \in \{2, 3, \dots n\}, u = v_1 v_j \text{ for some } j \neq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, this function is a PDIDF of S(G, 2). So,  $\gamma_{dI}^P S(G, 2) \leq 3n$ . Now conversely,  $\gamma_{dI}(S(G,2)) \leq \gamma_{dI}^P(S(G,2))$ . So, by Theorem 3.1,  $3n - 1 \leq \gamma_{dI}^P(S(G,2))$ . If possible let f be a  $\gamma_{dI}^P$  - function such that f(S(G,2)) = 3n - 1, then G has a universal vertex by Remark 3.3. Since G has exactly one universal vertex  $v_1, f(v_1v_1) = 2 = f(G^1)$  by Theorem 3.1. If  $f(v_jv_1) = 3$  for some  $j \neq 1$ , then  $f(N[v_jv_1]) = 5$ . To perfect double italian dominate we must have  $3 \leq f(N[v_jv_1]) \leq 4$ . Hence  $1 \leq f(v_jv_1) \leq 2$  and  $f(G^j) = 3$ , for  $j \neq 1$ . There arise two cases.

Case 1. For some  $j, f(v_j v_j) \neq 0$  If  $f(v_j v_1) = 1$ , either  $f(v_j v_j) = 1$  and  $f(v_j v_k) = 1$  such that  $v_j v_k$  and  $v_j v_j$  are adjacent in  $G^j$  or  $f(v_j v_j) = 2$ . If  $f(v_j v_1) = 2$ , then  $f(v_j v_j) = 1$ . Consider  $G^j$ , since there exists only one universal vertex in the base graph which corresponds to  $v_j v_1$  in  $G^j$  there exists at least one vertex  $v_j v_s$  perfect double italian dominated by  $v_s v_j$ ,  $s \neq 1, j, k$ . ie,  $v_j v_s$  is adjacent to  $v_j v_j$ . This is true for all such S. Then  $v_j v_j, j \neq 1$  is adjacent to all other vertices in  $G^j$ , a contradiction.

Case 2.  $f(v_j v_j) = 0$  for all j. If  $f(v_j v_j) = 0$  then either  $f(v_j v_1) = 1$  and  $f(v_j v_k) = 2$  or vice versa such that  $v_j v_k$  and  $v_j v_j$  are adjacent in  $G^j$ . For definiteness let  $f(v_j v_1) = 1$  for any j. First let us prove the following Claim A.

Claim A:  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G,2)) = 3n-1$  for  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  where,  $\mathcal{G}$  is the class of graphs with exactly one universal vertex and all other vertices of degree n-2 and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , for  $n \geq 3$ .

#### ON DOMINATION OF SIERPINSKI GRAPHS

Suppose there exists at least one vertex with degree at most n-3, say  $v_l$  in G. If there exists no  $G^k$ ,  $k \neq l$ , such that  $v_l v_k$  is perfect double Italian dominated by  $v_k v_l$  ie, in  $G^l$  all vertices  $v_l v_k$ , for all k, is perfect double Italian dominated by  $G^l$  alone, a contradiction as base graph G has exactly one universal vertex. So, there exists a  $G^k, k \neq l$ , such that  $v_l v_k$  is perfect double Italian dominated by  $v_k v_l$  ie,  $f(v_k v_l) = 2$  because  $f(v_l v_1) = 1$  and  $f(v_k v_1) = 1$  then, there exists at least two vertices  $v_k v_i$  and  $v_k v_i$  not perfect double Italian dominated by  $v_k v_l$  in  $G^k, i, j \neq k, l$  and  $i \neq j$ . So,  $f(v_i v_k) = 2$  and  $f(v_i v_k) = 2$ . Again in  $G^j$  and  $G^i$ there exists at least one vertex  $v_i v_s$  (correspondingly  $v_i v_s$ ) perfect double Italian dominated by  $v_s v_j$  (correspondingly  $v_s v_i$ ). We have  $f(v_s v_j) = 2$  and  $f(v_s v_i) = 2$ in  $G^s$ ,  $s \neq i, j$ . So,  $f(G^s) > 3$ , a contradiction. So, all vertices in G are of degree greater than or equal to n-2 and exactly one of which is a universal vertex. Now to prove  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , the number of edges in G will be  $\frac{(n-1)^2}{2}$ , which is a positive integer and so n is odd. Due to the symmetry in G, let us assume that  $v_2$  is not adjacent to  $v_3, v_4$  not adjacent to  $v_5$  and so on. We have  $f(v_2 v_2) = 0$  and  $f(v_2 v_1) = 1$ , then we let  $f(v_2 v_j) = 2$  for some  $j \neq 3$ , then there exists some k such that  $v_2 v_k$ is perfect double Italian dominated by  $v_k v_2$  (as  $v_k$  is not adjacent to  $v_j$  in base graph G) that is,  $f(v_2 v_k) = 0$  if and only if  $f(v_k v_2) = 2$ , then  $f(v_k v_3) = 0$  if and only if  $f(v_3 v_k) = 2$  (as  $v_2$  is not adjacent to  $v_3$  in base graph G) and that forces  $f(v_3 v_i) = 0$  if and only if  $f(v_i v_3) = 2$  then eventually  $f(v_i v_2) = 0$  if and only if  $f(v_2 v_i) = 2$  which is true. This assignment perfect double Italian dominates vertices in four distinct copies  $G^2, G^3, G^j$  and  $G^k$  together with  $G^1$ . Now consider  $G^s$ ,  $s \neq 1, 2, 3, j, k$  and repeat the process above, then we will get another four distinct copies of G perfect double Italian dominated and so on. So, n must be such that  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  (See Figure 2).



Figure 2. S(G, t), when t = 1, 2 and  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ .

In Figure 2, the majenta colour vertices represent those vertices with weight 2 and cyan colour vertices represent those with weight 1 and all other vertices are of weight 0 and it represents the  $\gamma_{dI}^P$  - function given below. If  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  the above process of perfect double Italian domination cannot be done for the last remaining two distinct copies of G in S(G, 2). Now consider the function,

$$f(v_i v_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in \{2, 3, \dots n\}, j = 1\\ 2 & i \in \{2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, \dots n - 1\}, j = i + 1 \text{ or}\\ & i \in \{5, 9, 13 \dots n\}, j = i - 3 \text{ or } i = j = 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, this is a PDIDF with f(S(G, 2)) = (n - 1) + 2n = 3n - 1. So,  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2)) \leq 3n - 1$  for  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  alone, leading to  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2)) = 3n - 1$  for  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . This proves the Claim A. Then  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2)) > 3n - 1$  for  $G \notin \mathcal{G}$ . Hence  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2)) \geq 3n$ . So,  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2)) = 3n$  otherwise.

**Remark 3.5**. In particular,  $\gamma_{dI}^{P}(S(K_{1,q}, 2)) = 3(q+1)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** The perfect double Italian domination number of generalised Sierpinski graph S(G, 2) such that G has at least two universal vertices is  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2)) = 3n - 1$ .

*Proof.* Consider S(G, 2). Let  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  be the vertex set of G having atleast two universal vertices say,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Then let  $\{v_1v_1, v_2v_1, \ldots, v_nv_1\}$  and  $\{v_1v_2, v_2v_2, \ldots, v_nv_2\}$  be the corresponding vertices on each copy of G, say  $G^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  in S(G, 2). Since  $\gamma_{dI}(S(G, 2)) \leq \gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2)), 3n - 1 \leq \gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2))$ . Now conversely, consider the function,

$$f(v_i v_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots, n\}, j = 1\\ 2 & i \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots, n\}, j = 2, \text{ and } v_1 v_1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly f is a PDIDF of S(G, 2) such that f(S(G, 2)) = 1(n-1) + 1 + 2(n-1) + 2 = 3n - 1. So,  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2)) \leq 3n - 1$ . Hence  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G, 2)) = 3n - 1$ .  $\Box$ 

#### Conclusion

In this paper, we computed the exact value of double Italian and perfect double Italian domination numbers of generalised Sierpiński graph S(G, 2) and found that  $\gamma_{dI}(S(C_n, 2)) = n(n-1), \gamma_{dI}(S(G, 2)) = 3n-1$  where G has a universal vertex,

$$\gamma^P_{dI}(S(G,2)) = \begin{cases} 3n-1, & G \in \mathcal{G} \\ 3n, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the class of graphs with exactly one universal vertex and all other vertices of degree n-2 and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , for  $n \geq 3$  and  $\gamma_{dI}^P(S(G,2)) = 3n-1$  if G has at least 2 universal vertices.

#### References

- Anu, V. and Aparna Lakshmanan, S.: The double Roman domination number of generalized Sierpiński graphs, *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications*, **12**(4) (2020), Article Id: 2050047.
- [2] Anu, V.: A study on two graph parameters double roman domination number and homometric number, Mahatma Gandhi University, Ph.D. Thesis, (2018).
- [3] Balakrishnan, R. and Ranganathan, K.: A textbook of graph theory, Springer Science & Business Media, (2012).

#### ON DOMINATION OF SIERPINSKI GRAPHS

- [4] Hao, G., Jalilolghadr, P. and Mojdeh, D. A.: Perfect double Italian domination of a graph, AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics, 20(3) (2023), 247–257.
- [5] Haynes, T. W., Hedetniemi, S. T. and Slater, P. J.: Fundamentals of domination in graphs, Pure Appl. Math., Marcel Dekker, 208, New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, Inc., (1998).
- [6] Haynes, T. W., Hedetniemi, S. T. and Slater, P. J.: Domination in graphs: Advanced topics, Pure Appl. Math., Marcel Dekker, 209, New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, Inc., (1998).
- [7] Klavžar, S. and Milutinović, U.: Graphs S(n, k) and a variant of the Tower of Hanoi problem, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 47(1) (1997), 95–104.
- [8] Klavžar, S., Milutinović, U. and Petr, C.: 1-perfect codes in Sierpiński graphs, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 66 (2002), 369–384.
- Mojdeh, D. A. and Volkmann, L.: Roman {3}-domination (double Italian domination), Discrete Appl. Math., 283 (2020), 555-564.
- [10] Ramezani, F., Rodriguez-Bazan, E. D. and Rodriguez-Velázquez, J. A.: On the roman domination number of generalized Sierpiński graphs, *Filomat*, **31**(20) (2017), 6515-6528.
- [11] Rodríguez-Velázquez, J. A., Rodríguez-Bazan, E. D. and Estrada-Moreno, A., On Generalized Sierpiński Graphs, Discuss. Math., Graph Theory, 37(3) (2017), 547–560.
- [12] Varghese, J., Anu, V. and Aparna Lakshmanan, S.: Domination parameters of generalized Sierpiński graphs, AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb., 21(1) (2022), 4-10.

M. NANJUNDASWAMY: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVERNMENT FIRST GRADE COLLEGE FOR WOMEN, BYRAPURA, T. NARASIPURA-571 124, INDIA & DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PET RESEARCH FOUNDATION (AFFILIATED TO UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE), P.E.S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, MANDYA-571 401, INDIA.

 $Email \ address: \verb"mnswamy1974@gmail.com"$ 

PUTTASWAMY: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PET RESEARCH FOUNDATION (AFFILIATED TO UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE), P.E.S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, MANDYA-571 401, INDIA. *Email address*: prof.puttaswamy@gmail.com

NAYAKA S. R.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PET RESEARCH FOUNDATION (AFFILIATED TO UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE), P.E.S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, MANDYA-571 401, INDIA. *Email address*: nayaka.abhi110gmail.com

M. Pavithra: Department of Studies in Mathematics, Karnataka State Open University, Mysuru-570 006, India.

Email address: sampavi08@gmail.com

P. SIVA KOTA REDDY: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JSS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY, MYSURU-570 006, KARNATAKA, INDIA.

Email address: pskreddy@jssstuniv.in; pskreddy@sjce.ac.in