

**EXTENSIVE SUBCLASSES OF MULTIVALENT ANALYTIC
FUNCTIONS INTERPRETED BY GENERALIZED q –
VARIANCE DIFFERINTEGRAL OPERATOR**

VINOD KUMAR*, PRACHI SRIVASTAVA

ABSTRACT. In present paper, we defined the generalized q – variance differintegral operator inspired by theory of q – calculus. We developed two subclasses of star like functions and determined some conditions such as coefficient estimation, deformation axiom, operations of q – fractional calculus, Fekete-Szego inequality and integral representation.

MSC: 30A10, 30C45

KEYWORDS: Analytic Function, Starlike Function, Fekete-Szego Inequality, Integral representation, q – calculus.

1. Introduction

Let A_p denote the class of all functions of the type

$$h(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad k > p; \quad k, p \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1-1)$$

we consider the open unit disk represented by $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and having all the functions of the class A_p and let $H(p, k)$ be the subclass of A_p and a function $h(z) \in H(p, k)$ is defined by

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad k > p; \quad k, p \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1-2)$$

For the functions $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to D , the function $h(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$, written as $h(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a function $w(z)$, which is analytic in D with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1, (z \in D)$ such that $h(z) = g(w(z)), (z \in D)$. Moreover, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in D , then the following relation satisfies

$$h(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow h(0) = g(0) \ \& \ h(D) \subset g(D)$$

For detail see [16], [17]

Earlier, many researchers proposed and examined about an extended fractional differintegral operator. For detail see the work of Patel & Mishra [19], also see [1], [14], [20], [26].

Two renowned authors introduced in [19] about an extended fractional differintegral operator $\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h : A_p \rightarrow A_p$ and for a function h of the type (1-1) we have:

$$\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}; \lambda \in (-\infty, p+1) \subset \mathfrak{R}; p \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1-3)$$

$$\text{Where, } C_{p,k}^{\lambda} = \frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)\Gamma(p+k+1)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(p+k-\lambda+1)} \quad (1-4)$$

We define a function $T(p,k;\lambda)$ the subclass of A_p , which contains the functions of the type:

$$\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, z \in D \quad (1-5)$$

Within a few years, many researchers have outlined their concerns about the concept of q -calculus theory in geometric function theory because of its extensive advanced use in mathematical and physical sciences. Jackson [9-10] pioneered the theory of q -calculus. Ismail et al. [8] introduced the concept of derivative in q -calculus. Its intensive operations in the field of geometric function theory were given by Srivastava in a book chapter (see, for more details, [21] (pp. 347 et seq.)). In the same chapter Agrawal and Sahoo [2], given the use of q -hyper geometric functions. The contemporary contribution of this subject is given by Srivastava et al. [22-23]. Kanas and Raducanu [11] also worked and studied further in [3-15]. There are many researchers who have introduced some special classes of multivalent functions using q -calculus. For detailed contributions see [4-24]. Recently MirajUl-Haq et al. have been working on the theory of q -calculus in [18].

The q -difference operator, which was introduced by Jackson [9], and Heine [7], is defined by

$$\partial_q h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{h(qz) - h(z)}{(q-1)z} & z \neq 0 \\ h'(0) & z = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1-6)$$

A.H. El-Qadeem et al. explained and generalized the q -difference operator in [5].

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_q^0 h(z) &= h(z), \quad \partial_q^1 h(z) = \partial_q h(z), \quad \partial_q^2 h(z) = \partial_q (\partial_q h(z)) \\ \partial_q^m h(z) &= \partial_q (\partial_q^{m-1} h(z)), \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \tag{1-7}$$

If $h(z) \in H(p, k)$ and given by (1-2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_q^1 h(z) = \partial_q h(z) = h(z) &= [p]_q z^{p-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [p+k]_q a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad z \neq 0 \\ [k]_q &= \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} \end{aligned} \tag{1-8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_q^m h(z) &= \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m [p-i+1]_q \right\} z^{p-m} \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m [k-i+1]_q \right\} a_{p+k} z^{p+k-m} \end{aligned} \tag{1-9}$$

($m \in \mathbb{N}; z \neq 0$)

Following the idea of A.H. El-Qadeem and M.A. Mamon [5], we introduced generalized q-variance differintegral operator for any integer λ given by

$$\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)}; \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad \lambda < p + 1$$

For $h \in T(p, k; \lambda)$, we have

$$\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h = [p]_q z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [p+k]_q C_{p,k}^\lambda a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad z \in D; \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1-10}$$

Definition 1.1 Let $h(z) \in T(p, k; \lambda)$ and also $h(z) \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; \psi)$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left\{ \frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{[p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} - \alpha \right\} \prec \psi(z) \tag{1-11}$$

($0 \leq \alpha < p; z \in D$); $p \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$

Definition 1.2 The function $T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$ is said to be the subclass of functions $h(z) \in T(p, k; \lambda)$ and satisfies the condition

$$\frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} \prec [p]_q (p-\alpha) \frac{(1+Az)}{(1+Bz)} + \alpha [p]_q \tag{1-12}$$

$$-1 \leq A < B \leq 1 \quad \& \quad 0 \leq \alpha < [p]_q$$

It is equivalent

$$T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h(z) \in T(p, k; \lambda) : \left| \frac{\frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} - p[p]_q}{B \frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} + \{Ap + (A - B)\alpha\}[p]_q} \right| < 1, z \in D \end{array} \right\}$$

where $\alpha \in [0, [p]_q]$, $q \in [0, 1)$; $A, B \in [-1, 1]$; $a < b$; $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$

In q -calculus the q -integral operator $\mathfrak{I}_{t,p}^q$ is expressed as

$$\mathfrak{I}_{t,p}^q h(z) = \frac{[t+p]_q}{z^t} \int_0^z u^{t-1} h(u) d_q u \quad (1-13)$$

For the function $h(z)$ defined by (1-2) we express

$$\mathfrak{I}_{t,p}^q h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{[t+p]_q}{[t+k]_q} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad (t < -p; p \in \mathbb{N}; 0 < q < 1) \quad (1-14)$$

Gasper and Rahman in [6] introduced the “ q -Gamma function” for

$z \in \mathbb{C}, z \neq -n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\Gamma_q(z) = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(q^z; q)_{\infty}} (1-q)^{1-z}, \quad q \in (0, 1) \quad (1-15)$$

Where $(\alpha; q)_{\infty} = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha q^m)$, $q \in (0, 1)$

Koepf in [13] introduced by using q -gamma function the q -analogue of the factorial for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[k]_q! = faq(k, q) = \frac{(q; q)_k}{(1-q)^k} = \Gamma_q(k+1) \quad (1-16)$$

The fractional q -integral operator is defined by Wongsajjai and Sukantamala in [25] for $h \in H(p, k)$.

$$D_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} h(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\varepsilon)} \int_0^z (z-qu)_{1-\varepsilon} h(u) d_q u; \varepsilon > 0 \tag{1-17}$$

Where, $(z-qu)_{1-\varepsilon}$ is called as q -binomial and defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (z-qu)_{1-\varepsilon} &= z^{\varepsilon-1} \phi_0 \left[q^{-\varepsilon+1}; -; q; \frac{uq^\varepsilon}{z} \right] \\ &= z^{\varepsilon-1} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - \left(\frac{uq}{z}\right) q^m}{1 - \left(\frac{uq}{z}\right) q^{\varepsilon+m-1}} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1-18}$$

The series $\phi_0[\varepsilon; -; q; z]$ is a singular when $|\arg z| < \pi, |z| < 1$ so that

$$(z-qu)_{1-\varepsilon} \text{ is singular if } \left| \arg \left(\frac{-uq^\varepsilon}{z} \right) \right| < \pi, |uq^\varepsilon| < 1 \text{ and } |z| < 1$$

Thus, if $h(z)$ defined by (1-2) then we have,

$$D_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} h(z) = \frac{[p]_q!}{[p+\varepsilon]_q!} z^{p+\varepsilon} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[k]_q!}{[k+\varepsilon]_q!} a_{p+k} z^{p+k+\varepsilon} \tag{1-19}$$

L. Shi and Q. Khan in [24] defined an operator named q -derivative operator of order ε ($0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$) represented by $\Delta_{q,z}^\varepsilon$ of $h \in H(p, k)$

$$\Delta_{q,z}^\varepsilon h(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(1-\varepsilon)} \partial_q \int_0^z (z-qu)_{-\varepsilon} h(u) d_q u \tag{1-20}$$

$$\Delta_{q,z}^\varepsilon h(z) = \frac{[p]_q!}{[p-\varepsilon]_q!} z^{p-\varepsilon} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[k]_q!}{[k-\varepsilon]_q!} a_{p+k} z^{p+k-\varepsilon} \tag{1-21}$$

2. Coefficient Estimation

Theorem 2.1 If any function h is expressed by (1-5) satisfying the conditions, $\alpha \in [0, [p]_q]; q \in [0, 1); A, B \in [-1, 1]; b > a; k, p \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; a, b) \iff$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} \left[\{(1+A)p - (A-B)\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+k]_q \right] a_{p+k} \\ & \leq \{A - (A-B)\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q \end{aligned} \quad (2-1)$$

Proof. It is supposed that the result (2-1) holds true, we concluded from (1-2), (1-12) and (2-1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h - p [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h \right| - \\ & \left| Bz \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h - \{(A-B)\alpha - Ap\} [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h \right| \\ & = \left| [p]_q (1-p) z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} a_{p+k} \{p [p]_q - [p+k]_q\} z^{p+k} \right| - \\ & \left| [p]_q \{A - \mathcal{G}\alpha + Ap\} z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} a_{p+k} \left[\{\mathcal{G}\alpha - Ap\} [p]_q - [p+k]_q \right] z^{p+k} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

$$A - B = \mathcal{G}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq [p]_q \{(1-p) + B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + Ap\} |z|^p + \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} a_{p+k} \left[\{\mathcal{G}\alpha - Ap + p\} [p]_q - (1+B)[p+k]_q \right] |z|^{p+k} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h - p [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h \right| - \\ & \left| Bz \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h - \{\mathcal{G}\alpha - Ap\} [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h \right| \\ & < -[p]_q \{\mathcal{G}\alpha + (1-A)p - 1\} |z|^p + \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} a_{p+k} \left[\{\mathcal{G}\alpha + (1-A)p\} [p]_q - (1+B)[p+k]_q \right] |z|^{p+k} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply the principle of maximum modulus, we obtained that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h} - p [p]_q}{B \frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h} + \{Ap + \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q} \right| < 1$$

Therefore, $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$

Contrariwise, let us suppose $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$ be expressed by (1-5), then from (1-5) and (1-10), we obtained that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h} - p[p]_q}{B \frac{z\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h} + \{Ap + \mathcal{G}\alpha\}[p]_q} \right| = \left| \frac{(1-p)[p]_q z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} \{p[p]_q - [p+k]_q\} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}}{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + Ap\}[p]_q z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} [B[p+k]_q - \{\mathcal{G}\alpha - Ap\}[p]_q] a_{p+k} z^{p+k}} \right| < 1$$

Since we know that, $\Re e(z) \leq |z|, \forall z$, then we conclude

$$\Re e \left\{ \frac{(1-p)[p]_q z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} \{p[p]_q - [p+k]_q\} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}}{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + Ap\}[p]_q z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} [B[p+k]_q - \{\mathcal{G}\alpha - Ap\}[p]_q] a_{p+k} z^{p+k}} \right\} < 1 \tag{2-2}$$

Now, if z is taken on the real axis, so that the expression $\frac{z\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h}$ becomes

real. After simplifying equation (2-2) and assuming $z \rightarrow 1^-$ for the real z , we obtained

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} \{p[p]_q - [p+k]_q\} a_{p+k} \leq \{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\}[p]_q - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} [B[p+k]_q - \{\mathcal{G}\alpha - Ap\}[p]_q] a_{p+k}$$

Thus the required condition is obtained.

Corollary 1 If $h(z)$ is expressed by (1-5) and

$h(z) \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$, then

$$a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\}[p]_q}{C_{p,k}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\}[p]_q - (1-B)[p+k]_q \right]}, \quad A - B = \mathcal{G} \quad (2-3)$$

This obtained conclusion is sharpened for h defined by

$$h = z^p - \frac{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\}[p]_q}{C_{p,k}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\}[p]_q - (1-B)[p+k]_q \right]} z^k \quad (2-4)$$

3. Deformation Axiom

In this section, we define deformation axiom for the class $T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$.

Theorem 3.1 If h is expressed by (1-5) and $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$ then for a function given by (1-8) and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtained

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m [p-i+1] - \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m [k-i+1]_q \{A - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\}[p]_q}{[(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha][p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p-m},$$

$$A - B = \mathcal{G}$$

$$\leq \left| \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h \right|$$

$$\leq \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m [p-i+1] + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m [k-i+1]_q \{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\}[p]_q}{[(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha][p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p-m} \quad (3-1)$$

The above obtained result is sharpened for the function h defined by

$$h = z^p - \frac{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\}[p]_q}{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\}[p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q \right]} |z|^{p+n} \quad (3-2)$$

Proof Assuming the inequality (2-1) holds true, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q \right]}{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q} \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{p+k}, \quad A - B = \mathcal{G} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{C_{p,k}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+k]_q \right]}{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q} a_{p+k} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now we obtained,

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{p+k} \leq 1 \frac{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q \right]} \quad (3-3)$$

Then, theorem 3.1 would follow from (1-10) and (3-3).

4. Operations of theory of q-fractional

This segment contains the examination of deformation axiom for functions of $T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$ by using the operators $\mathfrak{I}_{t,p}^q, \Delta_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon}$, and $\Delta_{q,z}^\varepsilon$, provided that $(0 < \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}, t > -p, p \in \mathbb{N})$.

If $h(z)$ is given by (1-5), so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} \left(\left(\mathfrak{I}_{t,p}^q h \right) (z) \right) &= \tilde{\lambda}_1 z^{p+\varepsilon} - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \tilde{\lambda}_2 a_{p+k} z^{p+k+\varepsilon}, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_1 &= \frac{[p]_q!}{[p+\varepsilon]_q!}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_2 = \frac{[t+p]_q [k]_q!}{[t+k]_q [k+\varepsilon]!} \end{aligned} \quad (4-1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{q,z}^\varepsilon \left(\left(\mathfrak{I}_{t,p}^q h \right) (z) \right) &= \tilde{\lambda}_3 z^{p-\varepsilon} - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \tilde{\lambda}_4 a_{p+k} z^{p+k-\varepsilon}, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_3 &= \frac{[p]_q!}{[p-\varepsilon]_q!}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_4 = \frac{[t+p]_q [k]_q!}{[t+k]_q [k-\varepsilon]!} \end{aligned} \quad (4-2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_{t,p}^q \left(\Delta_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} (h(z)) \right) &= \frac{[t+p]_q [p]_q!}{[t+p+\varepsilon]_q [p+\varepsilon]_q!} z^{p+\varepsilon} \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{[t+p]_q [k]_q!}{[t+k+\varepsilon]_q [k+\varepsilon]_q!} a_{p+k} z^{p+k+\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (4-3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{t;p}^q \left(\Delta_{q,z}^\varepsilon (h(z)) \right) &= \frac{[t+p]_q [p]_q !}{[t+p-\varepsilon]_q [p-\varepsilon]_q !} z^{p-\varepsilon} \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[t+p]_q}{[t+k-\varepsilon]_q} \frac{[k]_q !}{[k-\varepsilon]_q !} a_{p+k} z^{p+k-\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (4-4)$$

Theorem 4.1 If h is expressed by (1-5) and $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} \left(\left(\mathfrak{S}_{t;p}^q h \right) (z) \right) \right| &\geq \\ \left\{ \tilde{\lambda}_1 - \tilde{\lambda}_2 \frac{\{b - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+a)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+a)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-b)[p+n]_q \right]} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p+\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (4-5)$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Delta_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} \left(\left(\mathfrak{S}_{t;p}^q h \right) (z) \right) \right| &\leq \\ \left\{ \tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2 \frac{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q \right]} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p+\varepsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (4-6)$$

Proof Let us suppose the function of the type

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= \frac{[p+\varepsilon]_q !}{[p]_q !} z^{-\varepsilon} \Delta_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} \left(\left(\mathfrak{S}_{t;p}^q h \right) (z) \right) \\ \varphi(z) &= z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[t+p]_q}{[t+k]_q} \frac{[k]_q ! [p+\varepsilon]_q !}{[k+\varepsilon]_q ! [p]_q !} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho(k) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \\ \rho(k) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[t+p]_q}{[t+k]_q} \frac{[k]_q ! [p+\varepsilon]_q !}{[k+\varepsilon]_q ! [p]_q !} \end{aligned} \quad (4-7)$$

Since $\rho(k)$ decreases according as $k(n \leq k)$, then for $0 < \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$0 < \rho(k) \leq \rho(n) = \frac{[t+p]_q}{[t+n]_q} \frac{[n]_q ! [p+\varepsilon]_q !}{[n+\varepsilon]_q ! [p]_q !} \quad (4-8)$$

Therefore, from (3-3) and (4-8), we obtained that

$$|\varphi(z)| \geq |z|^p - \rho(n) |z|^n \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \geq$$

$$|z|^p - \frac{[t+p]_q [n]_q! [p+\varepsilon]_q!}{[t+n]_q [n+\varepsilon]_q! [p]_q} \frac{\{A - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q \right]} |z|^n \tag{4-9}$$

and,

$$|\varphi(z)| \leq |z|^p + \rho(n) |z|^n \sum_{k=n}^\infty a_{p+k} \geq |z|^p + \frac{[t+p]_q [n]_q! [p+\varepsilon]_q!}{[t+n]_q [n+\varepsilon]_q! [p]_q} \frac{\{A - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q \right]} |z|^n \tag{4-10}$$

Thus we obtained the inequalities (4-5) and (4-6) of theorem 4.1

The inequalities in (4-5) and (4-6) are also obtained for the function h given in (3-2)

$$\Delta_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} \left((\mathfrak{S}_{i,p}^q h)(z) \right) = \left\{ \frac{[p]_q!}{[p+\varepsilon]_q!} \frac{[t+p]_q [n]_q!}{[t+n]_q [n+\varepsilon]_q!} \frac{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q \right]} z^n \right\} z^{p+\varepsilon} \tag{4-11}$$

or

$$(\mathfrak{S}_{i,p}^q h)(z) = z^p - \frac{[t+p]_q}{[t+n]_q} \frac{\{B - \mathcal{G}\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \mathcal{G}\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q \right]} |z|^n \tag{4-12}$$

Thus, the required proof of theorem 4.1 is obtained.

Theorem 4.2 If h is expressed by (1-5) and $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$ then

$$\left| \Delta_{q,z}^{\varepsilon} \left(\mathfrak{S}_{t,p}^q h \right) (z) \right| \geq \left\{ \tilde{\lambda}_3 - \tilde{\lambda}_4 \frac{\{B - \vartheta\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^{\lambda} [\{(1+A)p - \vartheta\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q]} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p-\varepsilon} \quad (4-13)$$

and,

$$\left| \Delta_{q,z}^{\varepsilon} \left(\mathfrak{S}_{t,p}^q h \right) (z) \right| \leq \left\{ \tilde{\lambda}_3 + \tilde{\lambda}_4 \frac{\{B - \vartheta\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^{\lambda} [\{(1+A)p - \vartheta\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q]} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p-\varepsilon} \quad (4-14)$$

the results obtained in (4-13) and (4-14) are sharpened.

Theorem 4.3 If h is expressed by (1-5) and $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$, then

$$\left| \mathfrak{S}_{t,p}^q \left(\Delta_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} (h(z)) \right) \right| \geq \left\{ \frac{\frac{[t+p]_q [p]_q!}{[t+p+\varepsilon]_q [p+\varepsilon]_q!} - \frac{[t+p]_q}{[t+n+\varepsilon]_q} \frac{[n]_q!}{[n+\varepsilon]_q!}}{\{B - \vartheta\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q} \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{C_{p,n}^{\lambda} [\{(1+A)p - \vartheta\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q]} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p+\varepsilon}$$

and

$$\left| \mathfrak{S}_{t,p}^q \left(\Delta_{q,z}^{-\varepsilon} (h(z)) \right) \right| \leq \left\{ \frac{\frac{[t+p]_q [p]_q!}{[t+p+\varepsilon]_q [p+\varepsilon]_q!} + \frac{[t+p]_q}{[t+n+\varepsilon]_q} \frac{[n]_q!}{[n+\varepsilon]_q!}}{\{B - \vartheta\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q} \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{C_{p,n}^{\lambda} [\{(1+A)p - \vartheta\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q]} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p+\varepsilon}$$

Theorem 4.4 If h is expressed by (1-5) and $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$, then

$$\left| \mathfrak{S}_{t,p}^q \left(\Delta_{q,z}^{\varepsilon} (h(z)) \right) \right| \geq \left\{ \frac{\frac{[t+p]_q [p]_q!}{[t+p-\varepsilon]_q [p-\varepsilon]_q!} - \frac{[t+p]_q}{[t+n-\varepsilon]_q} \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-\varepsilon]_q!}}{\{B - \vartheta\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q} \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{C_{p,n}^{\lambda} [\{(1+A)p - \vartheta\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q]} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p+\varepsilon} \quad (4-15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \mathfrak{S}_{t,p}^q \left(D_{q,z}^\varepsilon (h(z)) \right) \right| \leq \\
 \text{and } & \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{[t+p]_q [p]_q!}{[t+p-\varepsilon]_q [p-\varepsilon]_q!} + \frac{[t+p]_q [n]_q!}{[t+n-\varepsilon]_q [n-\varepsilon]_q!} \\ & \frac{\{B - \vartheta\alpha + (1+A)p - 1\} [p]_q}{C_{p,n}^\lambda \left[\{(1+A)p - \vartheta\alpha\} [p]_q - (1-B)[p+n]_q \right]} \end{aligned} \right\} |z|^{p+\varepsilon} \quad (4-16) \\
 & \left[|z|^n \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

5. Fekete-Szegő Inequality for the class $T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$

This segment contains Fekete-Szegő inequality, Integral representation formula for the subclasses $T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; \psi)$ and $T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$

Theorem 5.1 If $h \in T(p, k; \lambda)$ and is given by (1-5), with $\alpha \in [0, p)$, $A, B \in [-1, 1]$; $A < B$, if $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$, then

$$\left| A_{p+2} - \chi A_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \tau_2 \max \{1, |\eta|\} \quad (5-1)$$

where $\eta = \frac{\tau_1 - \chi\tau_3}{\tau_2}$, $\tau_1 = \frac{B\{[p+1]_q - \alpha[p]_q\} - A[p]_q(p-\alpha)}{C_{p,2}^\lambda (p[p]_q - [p+1]_q)(p[p]_q - [p+2]_q)}$

$$\tau_2 = \frac{\{A(p-\alpha) - B(1-\alpha)\} [p]_q}{C_{p,2}^\lambda (p[p]_q - [p+2]_q)}$$

$$\tau_3 = \left[\frac{\{A(p-\alpha) - B(1-\alpha)\} [p]_q}{C_{p,1}^\lambda (p[p]_q - [p+1]_q)} \right]^2 \quad (5-2)$$

the result obtained in (5-1) is sharpened.

Proof Since $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{p-\alpha} \left[\frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h}{[p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h} - \alpha \right] = \frac{1 + Aw}{1 + Bw} \quad (5-3)$$

where $w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty w_k z^k$ is a bounded analytic function and satisfying the condition $w(0) = 0$ and $|z| < 1, \forall z \in D$, or

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[Bz\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h(z) - \{Ap - \alpha\mathcal{G}\} [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h(z) \right] w(z) \\
& = p[p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h(z) - z\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} h(z)
\end{aligned} \tag{5-4}$$

Writing corresponding series expansion in (5-4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[-\{A(p - \alpha) - B(1 - \alpha)\} [p]_q z^p - \right. \\
& \left. \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} \left\{ B([p+k]_q - \alpha[p]_q) - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. A[p]_q (p - \alpha) \right\} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \right] (w_1 z + w_2 z^2 + \dots) \\
& = (p-1)[p]_q z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_{p,k}^{\lambda} (p[p]_q - [p+k]_q) z^{p+k}, \quad A - B = \mathcal{G}
\end{aligned}$$

Equating the coefficient of z^{p+1} and z^{p+2}

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\{A(p - \alpha) - B(1 - \alpha)\} [p]_q w_1 = -C_{p,1}^{\lambda} (p[p]_q - [p+1]_q) a_{p+1} \\
& a_{p+1} = \frac{\{A(p - \alpha) - B(1 - \alpha)\} [p]_q w_1}{C_{p,1}^{\lambda} (p[p]_q - [p+1]_q)}
\end{aligned} \tag{5-5}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\{A(p - \alpha) - B(1 - \alpha)\} [p]_q w_2 - \\
& C_{p,1}^{\lambda} \left[B\{[p+1]_q - \alpha[p]_q\} - A[p]_q (p - \alpha) \right] a_{p+1} w_1 \\
& = -C_{p,2}^{\lambda} (p[p]_q - [p+2]_q) a_{p+2} \\
& \quad \{A(p - \alpha) - B(1 - \alpha)\} [p]_q w_2 - \\
& \quad C_{p,1}^{\lambda} \left[B\{[p+1]_q - \alpha[p]_q\} - A[p]_q (p - \alpha) \right] \\
& \quad \frac{\{A(p - \alpha) - B(1 - \alpha)\} [p]_q w_1^2}{C_{p,1}^{\lambda} (p[p]_q - [p+1]_q)} \\
& = -C_{p,2}^{\lambda} (p[p]_q - [p+2]_q) a_{p+2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$a_{p+2} = \frac{-\{A(p-\alpha) - B(1-\alpha)\}[p]_q}{-C_{p,2}^\lambda (p[p]_q - [p+2]_q)} \tag{5-6}$$

$$\left[\frac{B\{[p+1]_q - \alpha[p]_q\} - A[p]_q(p-\alpha)}{\{A(p-\alpha) - B(1-\alpha)\}[p]_q (p[p]_q - [p+1]_q)} w_1^2 - w_2 \right]$$

Let χ be a complex quantity then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| a_{p+2} - \chi a_{p+1}^2 \right| = \\ & \left| \frac{\{A(p-\alpha) - B(1-\alpha)\}[p]_q}{C_{p,2}^\lambda (p[p]_q - [p+2]_q)} \right. \\ & \left. \left[\frac{B\{[p+1]_q - \alpha[p]_q\} - A[p]_q(p-\alpha)}{(p[p]_q - [p+1]_q)\{A(p-\alpha) - B(1-\alpha)\}[p]_q} w_1^2 - w_2 \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \chi \left[\frac{\{A(p-\alpha) - B(1-\alpha)\}[p]_q w_1}{C_{p,1}^\lambda (p[p]_q - [p+1]_q)} \right]^2 \right| \\ & = \left| \tau_1 w_1^2 - \tau_2 w_2 - \chi \tau_3 w_1^2 \right| \\ & = \tau_2 \left| w_2 - \eta w_1^2 \right| \tag{5-7} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where, } \eta = \frac{\tau_1 - \chi \tau_3}{\tau_2} \tag{5-8}$$

From the result of Keogh and Mcker [12], If η be any complex number, it is given

$$\left| w_2 - \eta w_1^2 \right| \leq \max \{1, |\eta|\},$$

This result is sharpened for the functions

$$h_0(z) = z^p \text{ and } h_1(z) = z^{p+1} \text{ for } |\eta| \geq 1 \text{ \& } |\eta| < 1 \text{ respectively}$$

From (5-7), it follows that

$$\left| a_{p+2} - \chi a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \tau_2 \max \{1, |\eta|\}$$

Where, η is given by (5-7).

**6. Integral Representations for the Classes $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; \psi)$
and**

$$h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$$

Theorem 6.1 Let $h(z) \in T(p, k; \lambda)$ of the form (1-5) be in the class $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; \psi)$ if and only if there exist a Schwarz function $w(z)$ such that

$$\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} = z^{\alpha[p]_q} \exp \int_0^z \frac{[p]_q (p - \alpha) \psi(w(z))}{t} d_q t \quad (6-1)$$

In particular, if $h \in T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$

$$\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h = \exp \left(\alpha[p]_q \int_0^z \frac{\left[\frac{p}{\alpha} - \left\{ \vartheta - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\} L(t) \right]}{t(1 - BQ(t))} d_q t \right) \quad (6-2)$$

Where $|L_z| < 1$ and

$$\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h = \left(\frac{z}{1 - yzB} \right)^{p[p]_q} \exp \int_0^z \log(1 - yzB)^{\frac{\left\{ \vartheta - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\} y \alpha [p]_q}{B}} d_q \mu(y)$$

Where $\mu(y)$ be the probability measure on the set $Y = \{y : |y| = 1\}$.

Proof Since $h \in A_p$ is supposed to be in the subclass $T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; \psi)$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{p - \alpha} \left[\frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{[p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} - \alpha \right] \prec \psi(z) \quad (6-3)$$

$$\frac{\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} - \frac{\alpha [p]_q}{z} = \frac{[p]_q (p - \alpha) \psi(w(z))}{z} \quad (6-4)$$

After integrating we obtained

$$\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h = z^{\alpha[p]_q} \exp \int_0^z \frac{[p]_q (p - \alpha) \psi(w(z))}{t} d_q t \quad (6-5)$$

Again, from the condition of the subclass $T_q(\Omega_z, \lambda, p, \alpha; A, B)$

$$\left| \frac{\frac{w - \frac{p}{\alpha}}{Bw - \left\{ \mathfrak{g} - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\}}}{\alpha [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} \right| < 1, \text{ where } w = \frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{\alpha [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}$$

$$\frac{\frac{w - \frac{p}{\alpha}}{Aw - \left\{ \mathfrak{g} - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\}}}{\alpha [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} = L_z \text{ Then, } |L_z| < 1$$

Finally we have

$$\frac{z \partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{\alpha [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} = \frac{\frac{p}{\alpha} - \left\{ \mathfrak{g} - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\} L_z}{1 - BL_z} \tag{6-6}$$

$$\frac{\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} = \frac{\alpha [p]_q \left[\frac{p}{\alpha} - \left\{ \mathfrak{g} - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\} L_z \right]}{z(1 - BL_z)}$$

On Integrating we obtained

$$\log \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h = \alpha [p]_q \int_0^z \frac{\left[\frac{p}{\alpha} - \left\{ \mathfrak{g} - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\} L_t \right]}{t(1 - BL_t)} d_q t \tag{6-7}$$

Therefore we get (6-1).

For obtaining the third representation let $Y = \{y : |y| = 1\}$ then, we have

$$\frac{\frac{w - \frac{p}{\alpha}}{Bw - \left\{ \mathfrak{g} - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\}}}{\alpha [p]_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} = yz, y \in Y, z \in D$$

and then we conclude that

$$\frac{\partial_q \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h} = p [p]_q \left\{ \frac{1}{z} + \frac{yb}{1 - yzb} \right\} - \frac{\left\{ \mathfrak{g} - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\} y \alpha [p]_q}{(1 - yzB)} \tag{6-8}$$

On Integrating, we get

$$\log \Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h = p[p]_q \log \left(\frac{z}{1 - yzB} \right) + \frac{\left\{ \mathcal{G} - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\} y\alpha[p]_q}{B} \log(1 - yzB)$$

Or

$$\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} h = \left(\frac{z}{1 - yzB} \right)^{p[p]_q} \exp \int_0^z \log(1 - yzB) \frac{\left\{ \mathcal{G} - \frac{Ap}{\alpha} \right\} y\alpha[p]_q}{B} d_q \mu(y) \quad (6-9)$$

The function $\mu(y)$ is defined by the probability measure on $Y = \{y : |y| = 1\}$.

References

1. Aouf M.K., A.O.Mostafa, and H.M.Zayed, Subordination and superordination properties of p -valent functions defined by a generalized fractional differintegral operator. Quaest. Math. 39, 545-560 (2016).
2. Agrwal, S.; Sahoo, S.K., A generalization of starlike functions of order α . Hokkaido Math. J. 2017, 46, 15-27.
3. Aldweby, H.; Darus, M. Some subordination results on q-analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, 958563.
4. Arif, M.; Ahmad, B., New sub family of meromorphic starlike functions in circular domain involving q-differential operator. Math. Slovaca 2018, 68, 1049-1056.
5. El-Qadeem A.H., M.A. Mamon, Comprehensive subclasses of multivalent functions with negative coefficients defined by using a q-difference operator. Transactions of A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute 172 (2018) 510-526
6. Gasper G., M. Rahman, Basic Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
7. Heine E., Handbuch der Kugelfunctionen, Theorie und Anwendungen, Vol. 1, G. Reimer, Berlin, 1878.
8. Ismail, M.E.H.; Merkes, E.; Styer, D., A generalization of starlike functions. Complex Var. Theory Appl. 1990, 14, 77-84.
9. Jackson, F.H. On q-functions and a certain difference operator. Trans. R. Soc. Edinb. 1990, 46, 253-281.
10. Jackson, F.H., On q-definite integrals. Q. J. Pure Appl. Math. 1910, 41, 193-203.
11. Kanas, S.; Raducanu, D., Some class of analytic functions related to conic domains. Math. Slovaca 2014, 64, 1183-1196.
12. Keogh F.R., and E.P. Merke, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 8-12.
13. Koepf, W., Hypergeometric Summation: An Algorithmic Approach to summation and special function identities. Braunschweig, Germany: Vieweg, pp. 26 and 30, 1998
14. Liu J.-L., J. Patel, Certain properties of multivalent functions associated with an extended fractional differintegral operator. Appl. Math. Comput. 203, 703-713 (2008)

15. Mohammed, A.; Darus, M.A generalized operator involving the q -hypergeometric function. *Math. Vesn.* 2013, 65, 454-465.
16. Miller S.S., P.T.Mocanu, Differential subordination and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*28 (1981) 157-171.
17. MillerS.S., P.T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations, Theory and Applications.* Monogr. Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. vol. 225. Marcel Dekker, New York (2000).
18. MirajUl-Haq, MohsanRaza, Muhammad Arif, Qaiser Khan and Huo Tang, q -Analogue of Differential Subordinations.*Mathematics* 2019, 7,724.
19. Patel J., A.K. Mishra, on certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with an extended fractional differintegral operator. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 332(2007), 109-122.
20. SharmaP., R.K.Raina, G.S.Salagean, Some geometric properties of analytic functions involving a new fractional operator. *Mediterr. J. Math.* 13, 4591-4605 (2016).
21. Srivastava, H.M. Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions in Univalent Functions. In *Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*; Srivastava, H.M., Owa, S.,Eds.; Hasted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited): Chichester, UK; Wiley, J. and Sons: New York, NY, USA; Chichester, UK ;Brisbane, Australia; Toronto ,ON, USA, 1989; pp. 329-354.
22. Srivastava, H.M.; Khan, B.; Khan, N.; Ahmad. Q.Z. Coefficient inequalities for q -starlike functions associated with the Janowski functions. *Hokkaido Math. J.* 2019, 48, 407-425.
23. Srivastava, H.M.; Bansal, D. Close-to-convexity of a certain family of q -Mittag-Leffer functions. *J. Non-linear Var. Anal.* 2017, 1, 61-69.
24. Shi, L.; Khan, Q.; Srivastava, G.; Liu, J.-L.; Arif, M.A study of multivalent q -starlike functions connected with circular domain. *Mathematics*, 2019,7, 670.
25. WongsajjaiB., N. Sukantamala, Applications of fractional q -calculus to certain subclass of analytic p -valent functions with negative coefficients, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* (2015), 273236.
26. Zhi-Gang Wang, Lei Shi, Some properties of certain extended fractional differintegral operator. *RACSAM*, DOI 10.1007/s13398-017-0404-9.

VINOD KUMAR: FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL & STATISTICAL SCIENCES,
SHRI RAMSHWAROOP MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW, 226001, U.P. INDIA
E-MAIL: VINODKASHYAP8@GMAIL.COM

PRACHI SRIVASTAVA: FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL & STATISTICAL SCIENCES,
SHRI RAMSHWAROOP MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY, LUCKNOW, 226001, U.P. INDIA
E-MAIL: PRACHI238@GMAIL.COM