Journal of Mathematical Control Science and Applications (JMCSA) Vol. 4, No. 1, January-June 2018, ISSN: 0974-0570

# **Robust Fuzzy Adaptive Predictive Control**

Sofiane Bououden & Salim Filali

**Abstract:** In this paper, we are going to propose an application of fuzzy model and generalized predictive control strategy for the construction of a robust model-based predictive fuzzy controller. A direct adaptive fuzzy model predictive control (DAFMPC) method design is developed for non-linear processes. In this method a GPC controller is used with the Takagi-Sugeno Kang (TSK) piece-wise fuzzy modeling approach. It is shown by simulations that the resulting robust fuzzy adaptive system is stable. The developed method is applied to control a surge tank system.

Keywords: Fuzzy model, robust direct adaptive, non-linear, predictive control

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Predictive control has become a very important area of research. However, so far few of the presented schemes have been implemented in industrial applications (R. Kennel, A. Linder, 2000). Nevertheless, after some further progress, it can be expected that the advantages of predictive algorithms would lead to an increased number of industrial implementations in the future. An interesting approach to unify the various ideas of predictive control is Generalized Predictive Control (GPC), (Clarke, Mohtadi, Tuffs, 1987; Clarke, Mohtadi, 1989; Clarke, 1994). It generates a sequence of (future) control signals within each sampling interval to optimize the control effort of the controlled system. This is achieved by minimizing a quadratic cost function. Recently, many systematic fuzzy controller design methods have been developed based on the Takagi-Tugeno Kang (TSK) model or the fuzzy dynamic model [1], [2]. Various methodologies that use fuzzy logic to control non-linear systems have been proposed in recent years [linkens et nyongesa 1996]. A common strategy is to use a fuzzy model in a direct adaptive scheme. In this class of controllers, the plant behavior is described over an extended time horizon by fuzzy relational model identified on the basis of closed loop. The main contribution of this paper is the introduction of a predictive controller based on a fuzzy identification algorithm that is more compatible with predictive control schemes. This paper presents a method for designing predictive controllers based on a fuzzy model of the process. In contrast to conventional models, fuzzy models can represent highly nonlinear processes. A new form of DAFMPC, called robust DAFMPC [3], [4]. The proposed MBPC strategy is based on a linear model that linearizes the non linear fuzzy model around the current operating point.

The paper is organized as follows: section 2 deals with the fuzzy model linearization technique and the controller structure. The description of the fuzzy process model is in section 3. Brief introduction to GPC and ordinary discrete time direct adaptive control algorithm with dead zone is reviewed in sections 4, 5 and 6 respectively. Section 7 presents a simulation example to illustrate the proposed adaptive control algorithm, Section 8 concludes the paper.

## 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Many physical systems are very complex in practice so that their rigorous mathematical models can be very difficult if not impossible to obtain. However, many physical systems can indeed be expressed in some form of

<sup>\*</sup> Laboratory of automatic and Robotic, University of Constantine, 25000, Algeria, Email: usartsofiane@yahoo.fr

local mathematical models or can be expressed [1, 2] an aggregation of a set of mathematical models. Various fuzzy models have been proposed in the last few years. Here, we consider using the following fuzzy model to represent a complex single-input-single-output (SISO) system that includes both fuzzy inference rules and local analytic linear models [5]:

$$R^{i}: \text{ IF } y(t) \text{ is } B^{i} \text{ THEN } y(t+1) = a_{1}^{i} y(t) + \dots + a_{na}^{i} y(t-na+1) + b_{1}^{i} u(t) + \dots + b_{nb}^{i} u(t-n_{b}+1)$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
(1)

where  $R^i$  denotes the *i*<sup>th</sup> fuzzy inference rule, *p* the number of inference rules,  $B^i$  is a fuzzy set, u(t) the system input variable, y(t) the output of the system, and  $a_1^i, \ldots, a_{na}^i, b_1^i, \ldots, b_{nb}^i$  are coefficients of the *i*<sup>th</sup> subsystem, and  $z(t)=[z_1,\ldots,z_p]$  some measurable system variables.

Consider the nonlinear system of the form:

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u) \tag{2}$$
$$y = g(x)$$

where *f* and *g* are smooth functions, and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . The plant in reality behaves in some complicated nonlinear fashion. Suppose that its state vector *X* evolves according to some nonlinear differential equation [6]:

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = f(X, U, t) \tag{3}$$

where U is the vector of inputs. Many processes are naturally described by implicit equations of the form f(X, dX/dt, U, t)=0 and the extraction of the explicit form (3) may not be easy, but that is not important here. Suppose the process is in some state,  $X = X_0$  with input,  $U = U_0$  and consider the effects of small perturbations  $X = X_0 + x$ ,  $U = U_0 + u$ , with ||x|| and ||u|| both small

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = f(X_0 + x, U_0 + u, t)$$

$$\approx f(X, U, t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial X}\Big|_{(X_0, U_0, t)} x + \frac{\partial f}{\partial U}\Big|_{(X_0, U_0, t)} u$$
(4)

where quadratic and higher-order terms in *x*, *u* have been neglected. The expressions  $\partial f / \partial X |_{(X0, U0, t)}$  and  $\partial f / \partial U |_{(X0, U0, t)}$  denote matrices of partial derivatives, evaluated at  $(X_o, U_o, t)$ . Denote these Jacobian matrices by  $A_c$  and  $B_c$ , respectively. Since  $X = X_0 + x$  and  $X_0$  is a particular value of *X*, we have dX/dt = dx/dt. Hence we have the linearized model

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A_c x + B_c u + f(x_0, U_0, t)$$
(5)

If  $(X_0, U_0)$  is an equilibrium point, that is, a possible steady state at time*t*, namely if,  $f(X_0, U_0, t) = 0$  then clearly this model simplifies further, to the familiar from of continuous-time linear state-space model used very widely in system and control theory, namely

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A_c x + B_c u \tag{6}$$

The outputs of a real plant are also determined from the state in a nonlinear way

$$Y = g(X, t)$$

where g is some nonlinear function and we have assumed no explicit dependence on the input U. Proceeding as before, suppose that  $Y_0 = g(X_0, t)$  and  $Y = Y_0 + y$ . Then

$$Y = g(X_0 + x, t)$$

$$\approx g(X_0, t) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\Big|_{(X_0, t)} x = Y_0 + C_y x$$
(7)

Since we use discrete time controllers, we want to have a discrete time plant so the next step is to discretize the system (6), (7) and here, for simplicity, we use a first order Euler approximation [7]. The discretized system can be expressed as

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k)$$
  

$$y(k) = C_y x + Y_0$$
(8)

where  $A = I + T_e Ac$ ,  $B = Te B_c$ . ( $T_e$  Sampling Time)

## 3. T-S FUZZY SYSTEM (TSFS) MODELING

The set of affine systems derived above can be used to construct a TSFS [7] that approximates the nonlinear system (2) as a convex combination of the set of affine systems. This can be performed by assigning a weighting to each of the affine systems based on the certainty that each model is valid. Let  $\rho_i$  be the certainty that the  $i^{th}$  affine model is accurate, where  $\rho_i$  is defined in such a way that  $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \rho_i = 1$  so that at each  $(y, u) \in R \times R^m$ . We are perfectly certain that it can be represented by the set of models that are "on" (i.e., the set  $\{i: \rho_i > 0\}$ ). The following dynamic global fuzzy model and the definition of  $\rho_i$  the contribution of the *ith* affine system in constructing the TSFS can be expressed as:

$$\rho_{i} y(k+1) = \rho_{i} (a_{1}^{i} y(k) + \dots + a_{na}^{i} y(k-na+1) + b_{1}^{i} u(k) + \dots + b_{nb}^{i} u(k-n_{b}+1))$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \rho_{i} y(k+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \rho_{i} (a_{1}^{i} y(k) + \dots + a_{na}^{i} y(k-na+1)) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \rho_{i} (b_{1}^{i} u(k) + \dots + b_{nb}^{i} u(k-n_{b}+1))$$
(9)

From the definition of  $\rho_i$  we know that

$$y(k+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \rho_i (a_1^i y(k) + \dots + a_{na}^i y(k-na+1)) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \rho_i (b_1^i u(k) + \dots + b_{nb}^i u(k-n_b+1))$$

Then, the overall TSFS can be expressed as

$$y(k+1) = a_1 y(k) + \dots + a_{na} y(k - na + 1) + b_1 u(k) + \dots + b_{nb} u(k - n_b + 1)$$
(10)

where,  $a_j = \sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i a_j^i b_j = \sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i b_j^i$ .

## 4. BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO GPC

The GPC algorithm was proposed by Clark et al. [8] and has been implemented together with other prediction control strategies in many industrial applications. In classical representation one supposes that a model of the linear (or linearized plant) is given in the following autoregressive moving average form with exogenous inputs:

$$A(z^{-1})\Delta(z^{-1})y(t) = B(z^{-1})\Delta(z^{-1})u(t^{-1}) + C(z^{-1})e(t)$$
(11)

where u(t), y(t) and e(t) are the input signal, output signal and disturbance process respectively, at time t,  $A(z^{-1})$ ,  $B(z^{-1})$ ,  $C(z^{-1})$  are polynomials in the unit delay operator  $z^{-1}$  with A and C monic. The role of the  $\Delta$  operator ( $\Delta = 1 - z^{-1}$ ) is to ensure integral action of the controller in order to cancel the effect of step varying output disturbances. The GPC algorithm consists in applying a control sequence to minimize the following multistage cost function defined as follows:

$$J(u,t) = \sum_{j=N_1}^{N_2} [y(t+j) - r(t+j)]^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} [\Delta u(t+j-1)]^2$$
(12)

Subject to  $\Delta u(t+j) = 0$ ,  $j \ge N_u$  In this expression, r(t+j) describes the future reference trajectory,  $N_2$  is called the prediction horizon,  $N_u \le N_2$  is the control horizon,  $\lambda$  is a parameter which weights the relative importance of control effort with respect to output error. The GPC strategy may appear at first sight as an open loop control policy, since  $N_u$  future control increments are computed explicitly through the minimization of (12). However at time *t* one solves this optimization problem with criterion J(u, t) for control strategy { $\Delta u(t+j)j = 1, ..., N_u-1$ } but one applies only the first element:

$$u(t) = u(t-1) + \Delta u(t) \tag{13}$$

The control optimization process is carried over again at time t+1 with the criterion J(u, t+1). This is called a receding horizon control strategy.

#### **5. ADAPTIVE CONTROL DESIGN**

For the purpose of application of parameter estimation techniques, equation (10) can be re-formulated in the following form [9], [10]:

Define

$$\begin{split} \phi_{1} &= [\rho_{i} y(k), \dots, \rho_{i} y (t - n_{a} + 1), r_{i} u (t - 1), \dots, p_{i} u(t - n_{b} + 1)]^{T} \\ \theta_{i} &= [a_{1}^{i}, \dots, a_{na}^{i}, \dots, b_{1}^{i}, \dots b_{nb}^{i}]^{T} \\ \Phi &= [\phi_{1}^{T}, \dots, \phi_{p}^{T}]^{T} \\ \theta &= [\theta_{1}^{T}, \dots, \theta_{p}^{T}]^{T} \end{split}$$

Then the plant (10) can be rewritten as

$$y(k+i) = \theta^T \Phi. \tag{14}$$

where  $\varphi$  is the regressor vector, and  $\theta$  is the parameter vector. If should be noted that the plant (14) is in general nonlinear but it is linear with respect to its unknown parameters. Therefore, all the parameter adaptation algorithms developed for linear plants can be employed for the estimation of the unknown parameters in (14). It should be stressed that in most circumstances the standard recursive least squares (RLS) [11], [12] is used.

We make the following standard assumptions [13], [14]

- (A1) The time delay and the plant order  $n_a$  are known.
- (A2) The plant is minimum phase.

For the modeling uncertainties, we assume only:

(A3) There exists a function  $\gamma(t)$  such that [15]

 $|\eta(t)|^2 \leq \gamma(t)$ 

 $\eta(t)$  represents the class of unmodelled dynamics and bounded disturbances where  $\psi(t)$  satisfies

$$\psi(t) \le \varepsilon_1 \sup ||x(\tau)||^2 + \varepsilon_2$$
$$0 \le \tau \le t$$

for some unknown constants  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ , and x(t) is defined as

$$x(t) = [y(t-1), \dots, y(t-n_a), u(t-1), \dots, u(t-n_b-1)]^T$$

## 6. ROBUST ADAPTIVE CONTROL WITH DEAD ZONE

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  denote the estimate of the unknown parameter  $\theta$  for the plant model (14). Defining the dead zone function as:

$$f(g,e) = \begin{cases} e-g & \text{if } e > g \\ 0 & \text{if } |e| \le g \\ e+g & \text{if } e < -g \end{cases}$$
(15)

Then the following least squares algorithm with a relative dead zone cane be used for parameter estimation:

$$\hat{\theta}(t) = \hat{\theta}(t-1) + a(t)P(t)\Phi(t)\overline{e}(t)^{T}$$

$$P(t) = P(t-1) - a(t) \frac{P(t-1)\Phi(t)\Phi(t)'P(t-1)}{1 + \Phi(t)'P(t-1)\Phi(t)}$$
(16)

and the parameter estimate is then corrected [12] as

$$\overline{e}(t) = y(t) - \overline{\Theta}(t-1)^T \Phi(t)$$
(17)

where

$$\hat{\theta}(t) = \hat{\theta}(t) + P(t)\beta(t)$$

the vector  $\beta(t)$  is described in Fig.VI.1:



Figure 1 : Parameter Correction Vector

where p(t) is the first column of the covariance matrix P(t), and the term a(t) is a dead zone defined as follows:

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |e(t)|^2 \le \zeta(\hat{\gamma}(t) + Q(t)) \\ \alpha f(\zeta^{1/2}(\hat{\gamma}(t) + Q(t))^{1/2}, \overline{e}(t)) / \overline{e}(t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\zeta = \frac{2\zeta_0}{1 - \alpha}$ ,  $\zeta_0 > 1$ , and

$$Q(t) = \left[\beta(t-1)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)\right]^{2} + \frac{\alpha\mu}{2(1-\alpha)(1+\Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t))} \times \begin{bmatrix} \sup \|x(\tau)\|^{2} \\ 0 \le \tau \le t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \sup \|x(\tau)\|^{2} \\ 0 \le \tau \le t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And  $\hat{\gamma}(t)$  is calculated by

$$\hat{\gamma}(t) = \hat{C}(t)^T \begin{bmatrix} \sup \left\| x(\tau) \right\|^2 \\ 0 \le \tau \le 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

$$\hat{C}(t) = \hat{C}(t-1) + \frac{a(t)\mu}{(1-\alpha)(1+\Phi(t)^T P(t-1)\Phi(t))} \times \begin{bmatrix} \sup \|x(\tau)\|^2 \\ 0 \le \tau \le t \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mu > 0$$
(19)

where  $\hat{C}(t)^{T} = [\hat{\varepsilon}_{1}\hat{\varepsilon}_{2}]$ 

with zero initial condition. It should be noted that  $\hat{\epsilon}_1$  and  $\hat{\epsilon}_2$  will be always positive and non-decreasing.

**Remark:** The prediction error  $\overline{e}(t)$  is used in the modified least squares algorithm to ensure that the estimator property (iii) in the following lemma can be established. The properties of the above modified least squares parameter estimator are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma: The least squares algorithm (16)-(19) has the following properties [16]:

- (i)  $\hat{\theta}(t)$  is bounded, and  $\|\hat{\theta}(t) \hat{\theta}(t-1)\| \in I_2$ .
- (ii)  $\hat{C}(t)$  is bounded and non-decreasing, thus converges.
- (iii)  $\frac{f(\zeta^{1/2}\gamma(t)^{1/2}, \overline{e}(t))^2}{1 + \Phi(t)^T P(t-1)\Phi(t)} \in l_2$

(iv) 
$$\|p(t)\| + |\hat{\theta}^1(t)| > b_{\min}$$
 where  $b_{\min} = \frac{\left|\theta^1\right|}{\max\left(1, \left\|\beta^*\right\|\right)}$ 

with  $\beta^*$  defined such that

$$\theta^* = \hat{\theta}(t) = P(t) \beta^*$$

(v) 
$$\left|\overline{\Theta}^{1}(t)\right| > \frac{1-\varepsilon}{3+\varepsilon}b_{\min}.$$

(vi)  $\overline{\theta}(t)$  bounded, and  $\|\overline{\theta}(t) - \overline{\theta}(t-1)\| \in l_2$ .

Proof : Define a Lyapunov function candidate

$$V(t+1) = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\theta}(t)^T P(t)^{-1} \tilde{\theta}(t) + C(t+1)^T \mu^{-1} \tilde{C}(t+1))\mu$$
(20)

where  $\tilde{\theta}(t) = \tilde{\theta}(t) - \theta^*, \tilde{C}(t+1) = \hat{C}(t+1) - [\varepsilon_1 \quad \varepsilon_2]$ . Noting that

$$\overline{e}(t) = y(t) - \overline{\theta}(t-1)^T \Phi(t) = y(t) - \hat{\theta}(t-1) \Phi(t) \beta(t-1)^T P(t-1) \Phi(t) = e(t) - \beta(t-1)^T P(t-1) \Phi(t)$$
(21)

Then, the difference of the Lyapunov function candidate becomes

$$V(t+1) - V(t) = \frac{a(t)}{1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)} \times \left[ \frac{1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)}{1 + (1 - a(t))\Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)} \times (\eta(t) - \beta(t-1)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t))^{2} - \overline{e}(t)^{2} \right]$$

$$+\frac{a(t)\tilde{C}(t)^{T}}{(1-\alpha)\left(1+\Phi(t)^{T}P(t-1)\Phi(t)\right)}\left[\begin{array}{c}\sup\|x(\tau)\|^{2}\\0\leq\tau\leq t\\1\end{array}\right]+\frac{a(t)^{2}\mu}{(1-\alpha)^{2}\left(1+\Phi(t)^{T}P(t-1)\Phi(t)\right)^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{c}\sup\|x(\tau)\|^{2}\\0\leq\tau\leq t\\1\end{array}\right]^{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}\sup\|x(\tau)\|^{2}\\0\leq\tau\leq t\\1\end{array}\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{a(t)}{1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)} \times \left[\frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \left(\eta(t) - \beta(t-1)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)\right)^{2} - \overline{e}(t)^{2}\right] + \frac{2a(t)\left(\hat{\gamma}(t) - \gamma(t)\right)}{(1 - \alpha)\left(1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)\right)}$$

$$+\frac{a(t)^{2}\mu}{(1-\alpha)^{2}\left(1+\Phi(t)^{T}P(t-1)\Phi(t)\right)^{2}}\begin{bmatrix}\sup\|x(\tau)\|^{2}\\0\leq\tau\leq t\\1\end{bmatrix}^{T}\times\begin{bmatrix}\sup\|x(\tau)\|^{2}\\0\leq\tau\leq t\\1\end{bmatrix}$$
$$\leq\frac{a(t)}{1+\Phi(t)^{T}P(t-1)\Phi(t)}\times\begin{bmatrix}\frac{2}{1-\alpha}\eta(t)^{2}-\frac{2}{1-\alpha}\left(\beta(t-1)^{T}P(t-1)\Phi(t)\right)^{2}-\overline{e}(t)^{2}\end{bmatrix}$$
$$2a(t)\left(\hat{\gamma}(t)-\gamma(t)\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{(1-\alpha)\left(1+\Phi(t)^T P(t-1)\Phi(t)\right)}$$

$$+\frac{a(t)^{2}\mu}{(1-\alpha)^{2}(1+\Phi(t)^{T}P(t-1)\Phi(t))^{2}}\begin{bmatrix}\sup \|x(\tau)\|^{2}\\0 \le \tau \le t\\1\end{bmatrix}^{T} \times \begin{bmatrix}\sup \|x(\tau)\|^{2}\\0 \le \tau \le t\\1\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\leq \frac{a(t)}{1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)} \times \left[ \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \eta(t)^{2} - \overline{e}(t)^{2} + \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \left( \hat{\gamma}(t) - \gamma(t) + Q(t) \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{a(t)}{1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)} \left[ -\overline{e}(t)^{2} + \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \left( \hat{\gamma}(t) + Q(t) \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{a(t)}{1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)} \left[ -\overline{e}(t)^{2} + \frac{2}{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{\zeta} \overline{e}(t)^{2} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{a(t)}{1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)} \left[ -\overline{e}(t)^{2} + \frac{1}{\zeta_{0}} \overline{e}(t)^{2} \right]$$

$$\leq -\frac{\zeta_{0} - 1}{\zeta_{0}} \frac{a(t)}{1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)} \overline{e}(t)^{2}$$

$$\leq -\frac{\zeta_{0} - 1}{\zeta_{0}} \frac{f\left( \zeta^{1/2} \left( \gamma(t) + Q(t) \right)^{1/2}, \overline{e}(t) \right)^{2}}{1 + \Phi(t)^{T} P(t-1)\Phi(t)}$$
(22)

where the fact that  $a(t)e(t)^2 = f(t)e(t) \ge f(t)^2$  has been used. Therefore, following the same arguments as in [17], the results (i)-(iii) in Lemma are thus proved. The properties (iv)-(vi) in the lemma can also be obtained directly from the results in [18]. If using the same adaptive control law as in equation (13), then with the properties (i)-(vi), the global stability and convergence results of the new adaptive control system can be established as in [13], [15] as long as the estimated  $\overline{\epsilon}_1$  is small enough, which are summarized in the following Theorem.

**Theorem:** The direct adaptive control system satisfying assumptions (A1)-(A3) with the adaptive controller described in equations (16)-(19) and (13) is globally stable in the sense that all the signals in the loop remain bounded.

## 7. SIMULATION RESULTS

Consider the surge tank model that can de represented by the following differential equation [4]:

$$\frac{dh(t)}{dt} = \frac{-c\sqrt{2gh(t)}}{A(h(t))} + \frac{1}{A(h(t))}u(t)$$
(23)

where u(t) is the input flow (control input), which can be positive or negative, h(t) is the liquid level (output of the system); A(h(t)) is the cross-sectional area of the tank; g = 9.8m/sec<sup>2</sup> is gravity; and c = 1 is the known cross-sectional area of the output pipe. Let  $A(h(t)) = ah^2 + b$ , where a = 1 and b = 2. Using Euler approximation to discretize the system, we have

$$h(k+1) = \max\left\{0.001, h(k) + T\left[\frac{-\sqrt{19.6h(k)}}{A(h(k))} + \frac{u(k)}{A(h(k))}\right]\right\}$$
(24)

where T = 0.1, and max{0.001, .} is used to ensure that the liquid level never goes negative. The number of affine systems used here is p = 15.

Robust Adaptive Fuzzy Model Predictive Control is applied to the tank example where a random white noise of zero mean and 0.2 variance is added to the output before it is fed back.

The parameters of the dead zone which are: Q(t),  $\hat{C}(t)$ .  $\hat{\gamma}(t)$  calculated on line in a hierarchical way, with the updated rate parameters  $\mu = 1.7^* 10^{-5}$ .





**Figure 4: Error Signal** 

I can be observed from the above simulation results that the algorithm developed in this chapter can guaranteed the stability of the fuzzy adaptive system in the presence of the noise and smaller tracking error. We notice that the response of the system with less overshoot and with faster convergence.

#### 8. CONCLUSION

This paper present a general scheme for computing robust adaptive FMPC is a model-based control scheme, closed-loop performance is expected to be improved by improving the accuracy of the model. Therefore there is a need to update the parameters of the TSFS online so that it becomes a more accurate approximation of the actual system. The TSFS used here is a convex combination of multiple affine systems whose parameters can be updated online using standard update routines such as recursive least squares (RLS). Here, RLS is used to update the parameters of all affine models online. The final TSFS becomes an interpolation between multiple affine time-varying models. The effectiveness of this approach was demonstrated through a simulated example of a surge tank system.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Takagi, T. and Sugeno, M., "Fuzzy identification of systems and its application to modeling and control," *IEEE Trans on systems. Man and Cybernetics*, **15** (1): 116–132, 1985.
- [2] S. G. Cao, N. W. Rees, and G. Feng, "Analysis and design for a class of complex control systems, Part I: Fuzzy modeling and identification," *Automatica*, Vol. 33, pp. 1017–1028, 1997.
- [3] Z. Qu and Y. Jin, "Robust control of nonlinear systems in the presence of unknown exogenous dynamics," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, Vol. **48**, No. 2, pp. 336–343, Feb. 2003.
- [4] Z. Qu, "Robust control of nonlinear systems by estimating time variant uncertainties," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, Vol. **47**, No. 1, pp. 115–121, Jan. 2002.
- [5] Wang, T. and S. C. Tong, "Adaptive fuzzy output feedback control for SISO nonlinear systems," *International Journal of Innovation Computing, Information and Control*, Vol. **01**, pp. 51–60, 2006.

- [6] J. M. Maciejowski, *Predictive control with constraints*, Harlow, England: Prentice Hall, Pearson Education Limited, 2002.
- [7] Hazem N. Nounou, Kevin M. Passino, "Fuzzy Model Predictive Control International Sympossium on Inteligent control," Cambridge, MA. September 15–17, 1999.
- [8] Clarke, D. W., Mohtadi, C. and Tuffs, P. S., "Generalised predictive control-Parts T and II," Automatica, 23(2), pp. 137–190. 1987.
- [9] T. Zhang, S. S. Ge, and C. C. Hang, "Stable adaptive control for a class of nonlinear systems using a modified Lyapunov function," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, Vol. 45, pp. 129–132, Jan. 2000.
- [10] G.Feng "A robust approach to adaptive control algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, Vol. 39, pp. 1738–1742, Aug, 1994.
- [11] Astrom, K., and B. Wittenmark Adaptive Control, Addison-Wesley edition. 1989.
- [12] Young, P. C. Recursive estimation and time-series analysis. In: Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 1984.
- [13] K. S. Narendra and A. M. Annaswamy, Stable Adaptive Systems. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice- Hall, 1989.
- [14] Goodwin, G. C. and Sin, K. S. Adaptive Filtering. Prediction and Control, Prentice-Hall, NJ. 1984.
- [15] Middleton, R. H., Goodwin, G. C., Hill, D. J. and Mayne, D. Q. "Desgn Issues in Adaptive Control," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, Vol. AC-33, 50–58. 1988.
- [16] Feng, Y. G. Jiang, A. and Zmood, R. "An algorithm for robust adaptive control with less prior knowledge," Newnes, Reed Elsevier, Oxford UK, 1999.
- [17] Feng, G. and Palamiswami, M. "A Stable Implementation of the internal Model Principle," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, Vol. AC-26, 478–483. 1992.
- [18] Lazono-Leal, R. and Goodwin, G. C. "A Globally Convergent Adaptive Pole Placement Algorithm without a Persistency of Excitation Requirement," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, Vol. AC-30, 795–799. 1985.
- [19] Mamoru Nunokawa, Shigeyoshi Owa, Hitoshi Saitoh, Nak Eun Cho, and Norihiro Takahashi: Some Properties of Analytic Functions At Extremal Points for Arguments, *Journal of Approximation Theory and Applications* (2018).
- [20] Betrouni N.: A Framework for 3D Reconstruction of Human Organs from MR Images: A Model and Fuzzy Set Principles Based Approach. Application to Prostate Segmentation and Reconstruction, International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Bioinformatics.
- [21] E.yu. Mashkov, Singular Stochastic Leontieff Type Equations with Depending on Time Diffusion Coefficients, *Global and Stochastic Analysis*, Vol. 4 No. 2 (2017).
- [22] Wang Weixiang, Shang Youlin & Zhang Liansheng : A New Quasi-Filled Function Method for Constrained Global Optimization, *Journal of Mathematical Control Science and Applications*, Vol. 1 No. 2 (2015).
- [23] Lan LUO, Notes to Grain128\_ p2 of eSTREAM Phases 3, International Journal of Computer Science, Information Technology and Management
- [24] Dulal Pal, The Effect of Variable Viscosity on Heat Transfer Over A Moving Wall With Mass Transfer, *International Journal of Engineering, Contemporary Mathematics and Sciences*.
- [25] D.G.Harkut, Ms.K.N.Kasat, Dr.S.B.Sadar. Web Document Clustering Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy model, International Journal of Advanced Computer Engineering and Architecture, ISSN: 2248-9452.
- [26] Combined Servo/Regulation Operation of PID Controllers: Performance Considerations and Autotuning Relations,O. Arrieta and R. Vilanova, *International Journal of Computational Intelligence in Control*
- [27] Generalized Reylold's Equation Aplied to Micropolar Fluid Film Lubrication Problems, V. C. C. Raju, R. Tshelametse, O. A. Daman & T. G. Motsumi, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Engineering Sciences*
- [28] Yanil Zhang, Resource Based and Knowledge Based Views as Complementary Perspectives to Transaction Cost Theory, *International Journal Data Modelling and Knowledge Management*.
- [29] Wenwen Wang & Tianming Wang, Enumeration Problem of RNA Secondary Structures Under New Representation, International Journal of Combinatorial Graph Theory and Applications.

- [30] Kamal Sarkar, Application of Dimensional Analysis to Predict Poly Ethylene Oxide (PEO) Fiber Diameters from Electrospinning Process, International Journal of Electrospun Nanofibers and Applications
- [31] Slimane Larabi, Textual Description of Shapes, International Journal of Computational Vision and Biomechanics
- [32] Pao-liu Chow, Infinite-dimensional Parabolic Equations In Gauss-sobolev Spaces, *Stochastic Modeling and Applications*.
- [33] B. Anuradha Srinivas, Arun Agarwal & C. Raghavendra Rao, An Overview of OCR Research in Indian Scripts, International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering Systems.
- [34] Tibor Krisztin, On the fundamental solution of a linear delay differential equation, International Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations and Applications.
- [35] B.G. SIDHARTH, A Non Reductionist Model for Fundamental Physics, *Mathematical Methods, Physical Methods* and Simulation Science and Technology
- [36] Martin Burda, Roman Liesenfeld, and Jean-Francois Richard, Bayesian Analysis of a Probit Panel Data Model with Unobserved Heterogeneity and Autocorrelated Errors, International Journal of Statistics and Management System.
- [37] Muhammad Umar Faruque, An Empirical Investigation of the Arbitrage Pricing Theory in a Frontier Stock Market: Evidence From Bangladesh, *Indian Journal of Economics and Business*.
- [38] Ayon Chakraborty, Swarup Kumar Mitra and Mrinal Kanti Naskar A Genetic Algorithm inspired Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks, Journal of Advance Computational Research
- [39] Shobha G,, Mining Association Rules for Large Data Sets, Journal of Analysis and Computation
- [40] A. H. Khater, A. B. Shamardan, D. K. Callebaut & M. R. A. Sakran, Chebyshev Solution of Integral Equations with Singular Kernel, *International Journal of Computational Mathematics and Numerical Simulation*.
- [41] Michael E. Filippakis & Nikolaos S. Papageorgio, Existence of Multiple Positive Solutions for Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems With The P-laplacian and Nonsmooth Potential, *Journal of Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Differential Equations*.
- [42] M. Heydari, S. M. Hosseini, G. B. Loghmani, Solution of Strongly Nonlinear Oscillators Using Modified Variation Iteration Method, *International Journal of Nonlinear Dynamics in Engineering and Sciences*
- [43] Nabil Mohammed, Comparison between Traditional Approach and Object-Oriented Approach in Software Engineering Development, *International Journal of Computing and Applications*.
- [44] K. Das and S. Jana, MHD Free Convection Flow of a Radiating and Chemically Reacting Fluid, *International Journal of Engineering and Interdisciplinary Mathematics*.
- [45] Ping-Sing Tsai, Tinku Acharya Variable code block size in JPEG 2000 standard for image compression and its implications, International Journal of Computing and Information Technology.
- [46] P. Chandrakala, Radiation Effects on MHD Flow Past An Impulsively Started Infinite Vertical Plate With Uniform Heat And Mass Flux, *International Journal of Mathematics and Engineering with Computer*.
- [47] Sandeep Soni, Data Summarization using Maximal Marginal Relevance Method, International Journal Computer Science and System Analysis.
- [48] Ye Zhao, Shu-juan Liu & You-hui Zhang, A Difference Quotient Approach to Reconstructing Images Corrupted by Noise, *International Journal Computer Science and Knowledge Engineering*
- [49] K.S.L.N. Praasad, The Order of Meromorphic Matrix Valued Functions and Their Derivatives, International Journal of Mathematics and Applied Statistics
- [50] Menggang Yua, Buckely–James–type estimators, Journal of Statistical Sciences.
- [51] Rock-Antoine Mehanna., Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth: What's Economics Got To Do With It? *The Global Journal of Finance and Economics*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 13-22.
- [52] C. Erik, Specification and Informational Issues in Credit Scoring, International Journal of Business Statistics and Finance.

- [53] Nathi Shyam, Stacking Sequence Design of Composite Patches Subjected to Multiple Boundary Conditions, International Journal of Scientific Computing.
- [54] R. Sophia Porchelvi & R.Vanitha, On Studying a Health Problem Using Fuzzy Matrices, International Review of Fuzzy Mathematics.
- [55] Musheer Ahmad, On Theory of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (Or Vague Sets), International Journal of Fuzzy Systems and Rough Systems.
- [56] Xia Mu, A Hybrid Approach for Mobile Agent Security using Reversible Watermarking, *Journal of Information Technology and Engineering*
- [57] Hanadi Mubarak AL-Mubaraki Michael Busler & Rashed Al-Ajmei, The Impact of Business Incubation (BI) in Developed and Developing Countries, *Global Review of Business and Economic Research*
- [58] Katja Schumacher, Joyashree Roy, Jayant Sathaye, Tue Y. Jung & Hoesung Lee., Productivity Trends and Technology change in Energy Intensive Industries in Brazil, India and South Korea, *International Journal of Environment and Development*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 15-34.
- [59] Evelyn Devadason., Nature of Intra-industry Trade and Labour Adjustments: Is the SAH Relevant for Malaysia? *Indian Development Review*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 159-170.
- [60] Beverly L. Stiles & Howard B. Kaplan., The Effect of Early Incarceration on Life Outcomes, *International Journal of Sociological Research*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 23-37
- [61] Francis Nathan Okurut., Access to Credit By The Poor in South Africa: Evidence from Household Survey Data 1995 and 2000, *International Journal of Business Policy and Economics*, Vol. 1, Nos. 1-2, pp. 25-42.
- [62] Manu Gupta & Puneet Prakash., Federal Regulation of Insurance that Never was: A Tale of Two Acts, and Implications for Economic Models of Regulation, *Economic and Finance Notes*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 19-36.
- [63] Sibel Uluda—-Demirer., Sustainable Removal of Ammonia from Anaerobically Digested Cheese Whey, International Journal of Environmental Engineering Science, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 15-26.
- [64] George S. Parikakis & Demos Petropoulos., The role of Government Bond Yields in Emerging Currency Fluctuations, International Journal of Trade in Services, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 15-19.
- [65] D. Paschaloudis, K. Anastasiadou, A. Anastasiadou & P. Pantelidis., The Internationalisation Paths, International Review of Applied Economic Research, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 23-34.
- [66] Zunika Mohamed & Norma Md Saad., The Effects of Government Policy on Natural Rubber Production in Malaysia: The Case of Smallholdings, Journal of Agricultural and Food Economics, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 17-26.
- [67] Kian-Ping Lim & M. Azali., Episodic Non-linear Behaviour of Bilateral Malaysian Ringgit-U. S. Dollar Spot Rate, *Journal of International Economic Review*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 25-48.
- [68] Jonathan E. Leightner & Tomoo Inoue., The Effect of the Chinese Yuan on Other Asian Currencies During the 1997-1998 Asian Crisis, *International Journal of Economic Issues*, Vol. 1, No.1, pp. 11-24.
- [69] Larry Smith and Morna WinterIrving., Role of Middle Management in Australian Universities, *International Journal of Management Research and Technology*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 21-29.