

## IRREDUCIBILITY CRITERIA FOR POLYNOMIALS OVER THE FIELD OF RATIONALS

PRADEEP MAAN, AMIT SEHGAL, AND ARCHANA MALIK

ABSTRACT. In this paper we discuss , (i)  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  having all zeros in the set  $D_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$  and there exists an integer  $m$  with  $|m| \geq r + t$  where  $t \in N$  such that  $|f(m)|$  is  $t$ -times product of  $s$  primes (which may or may not be distinct), then  $f(x)$  has at most  $s$  irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and (ii) Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  having all zeros in the set  $OD_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ . If  $|f(m)|$  is product of  $r$  primes where  $|m| < r - 1$  , then  $f(x)$  has at most  $r$  irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  .

### 1. Introduction

There has been a close relationship between prime numbers and irreducibility. Establishing the relationship firstly *A Cohn's Irreducibility Criterion* for base-10 version was introduced in [1]. A classical Cohn's irreducibility criteria stated:- If a prime number  $p$  is expressed in base-10 as  $p = a_k 10^k + a_{k-1} 10^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 10 + a_0$  (where  $0 \leq a_i \leq 9$ ) then the polynomial  $f(x) = a_k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . The generalisation of *A Cohn's irreducibility criterion* for base- $b$  is given by Brillhart, Filesta and Odlyzko in [2] which is stated as:- If a prime number  $p$  is expressed in base- $b$  as  $p = a_k b^k + a_{k-1} b^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 b + a_0$  (where  $0 \leq a_i \leq b - 1$ ) then the polynomial  $f(x) = a_k x^k + a_{k-1} x^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . R.Murthy gave a simplified proof and history of the result in [3]. There exists various results on prime  $b$ -adic expansion and polynomials having prime or prime power value. The well known Eisenstein's irreducibility criterion is a sufficient condition to check irreducibility of polynomials but this criterion is not applicable to all polynomials with integer coefficients that are irreducible over the rational numbers. Being a sufficient condition its domain is restricted. Furthermore all these results available are sufficient conditions for irreducibility of polynomials. So, many researchers are working towards new irreducibility criteria on different domains.

### 2. Preliminary Results

Let the set  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < r, r \in N\}$  and  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| > r, r \in N\}$  are denoted by  $D_r$  and  $OD_r$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the set of complex numbers.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 12E05; Secondary 11R09.

*Key words and phrases*. Field; Polynomials; Reducibility and irreducibility of polynomials; Polynomial factorization.

A region  $D_r$  which denoted above is simply connected because every closed curve which lies entirely in  $D_r$  can be pulled to a single point in  $D_r$ .

Now we prove two lemmas which are extension of lemma 2.1 from [4].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial such that  $0 < a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{k-1} < a_k \leq \dots \leq a_n$  for some  $0 < k \leq n$  then  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ .*

Proof:- Suppose on the contrary  $f(x)$  has a zero  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq r$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a root of  $F(x) = (x-1)f(x) = a_n x^{n+1} + (a_{n-1} - a_n)x^n + \dots + (a_0 - a_1)x - a_0$ .  $\alpha$  being root of  $F(x)$ , we have  $a_n \alpha^{n+1} = (a_n - a_{n-1})\alpha^n + \dots + (a_1 - a_0)\alpha + a_0$ . Now,  $|\alpha| \geq r > 1$ , we get  $|a_n \alpha^{n+1}| < (a_n - a_{n-1})|\alpha^n| + \dots + (a_1 - a_0)|\alpha^n| + a_0|\alpha^n| = |a_n \alpha^n|$  which leads to a contradiction  $|\alpha| < 1$  because  $a_n > 0$ . Hence,  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  such that  $-[\frac{r-1}{2}]|a_n| < a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{k-1} < a_k \leq \dots \leq a_n$  for some  $0 < k \leq n$ , then  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ .*

Proof:-Suppose on the contrary  $f(x)$  has a zero  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq r$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a root of  $F(x) = (x-1)f(x) = a_n x^{n+1} + (a_{n-1} - a_n)x^n + \dots + (a_0 - a_1)x - a_0$ .  $\alpha$  being root of  $F(x)$ , we have  $a_n \alpha^{n+1} = (a_n - a_{n-1})\alpha^n + \dots + (a_1 - a_0)\alpha + a_0$ .

**Case 1:-None of  $a_i$  is negative (means positive or zero)**

Now, using  $|\alpha| \geq r > 1$ , we get  $|a_n \alpha^{n+1}| < (a_n - a_{n-1})|\alpha^n| + \dots + (a_1 - a_0)|\alpha^n| + a_0|\alpha^n| = |a_n \alpha^n|$  which leads to a contradiction  $|\alpha| < 1$  because  $a_n > 0$ . Hence  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$ .

**Case 2:- Some of  $a_i$  may negative or zero but  $a_n \neq 0$**

Now using the concept that  $|\alpha| \geq r > 1$ , we have  $|a_n \alpha^{n+1}| < (a_n - a_{n-1})|\alpha^n| + \dots + (a_1 - a_0)|\alpha^n| + (-a_0)|\alpha^n| = (a_n - 2a_0)|\alpha^n| < (|a_n| + 2|a_0|)|\alpha^n|$  implies  $|\alpha| < 1 + \frac{2|a_0|}{|a_n|} < 1 + 2[\frac{r-1}{2}] \leq r$  which leads to a contradiction  $|\alpha| < r$  because  $-[\frac{r-1}{2}]|a_n| < a_0$ . Hence,  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$ . Redefining lemma 1 from [3].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  such that  $a_n > 0$  and  $r > \max(\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} |\frac{a_i}{a_n}|, 1)$  then  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ .*

Proof:-Suppose to the contrary that  $f(x)$  has a zero  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq r$ . Then  $a_n \alpha^n = (-a_{n-1})\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + (-a_1)\alpha - a_0$ .

$|a_n \alpha^n| = |(-a_{n-1})\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + (-a_1)\alpha - a_0| \leq (|a_{n-1}||\alpha^{n-1}| + \dots + |a_1||\alpha| + |a_0|)$ .  
 $|\alpha^n| \leq (\frac{|a_{n-1}|}{|a_n|}|\alpha|^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{|a_1|}{|a_n|}|\alpha| + \frac{|a_0|}{|a_n|}) \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} |\frac{a_i}{a_n}| (|\alpha|^{n-1} + \dots + |\alpha|^{n-1} + |\alpha|^{n-1})$

By use of  $|\alpha| \geq r > 1$ , we get  $|\alpha^n| \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} |\frac{a_i}{a_n}| (|\alpha|^{n-1})$ .

Now, we have  $|\alpha| \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} |\frac{a_i}{a_n}| < r$  which leads to a contradiction  $|\alpha| < r$ .

Hence,  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$ .

Next lemma is extension of previous one.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  such that  $a_n > 0$  and  $r > \max(\frac{|a_{n-1}| + |a_{n-2}| + \cdots + |a_1| + |a_0|}{a_n}, 1)$  then  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ .*

Proof:-Suppose to the contrary that  $f(x)$  has a zero  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq r$ . Then  $a_n \alpha^n = (-a_{n-1})\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + (-a_1)\alpha - a_0$ .  
 $|a_n \alpha^n| = |(-a_{n-1})\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + (-a_1)\alpha - a_0| \leq (|a_{n-1}||\alpha^{n-1}| + \cdots + |a_1||\alpha| + |a_0|)$ .  
 $|\alpha^n| \leq (\frac{|a_{n-1}|}{|a_n|}|\alpha|^{n-1} + \cdots + \frac{|a_1|}{|a_n|}|\alpha| + \frac{|a_0|}{|a_n|}) \leq (\frac{|a_i|}{|a_n|}|\alpha|^{n-1} + \cdots + \frac{|a_1|}{|a_n|}|\alpha|^{n-1} + \frac{|a_0|}{|a_n|}|\alpha|^{n-1})$   
 $|\alpha^n| \leq \frac{|a_{n-1}| + |a_{n-2}| + \cdots + |a_1| + |a_0|}{|a_n|} |\alpha|^{n-1}$ .  
 By use of  $|\alpha| \geq r > 1$ , we get  $|\alpha^n| \leq \frac{|a_{n-1}| + |a_{n-2}| + \cdots + |a_1| + |a_0|}{|a_n|} |\alpha|^{n-1}$ .  
 Now, we have  $|\alpha| \leq \frac{|a_{n-1}| + |a_{n-2}| + \cdots + |a_1| + |a_0|}{|a_n|} < r$  which leads to a contradiction  $|\alpha| < r$ .  
 Hence,  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *(Rouche's Theorem) If we have functions  $f$  and  $g$  which are analytic on a simple closed contour  $C$ , and meromorphic inside the contour  $C$ , and if  $|g| < |f|$  on contour  $C$ , then both  $f$  and  $f + g$  have same number of zeros in  $C$ , where each zero is counted as many times as its multiplicity.*

### 3. Main Theorems

Now we extend Lemma 2.2 from [4].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial having all zeros in the set  $D_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ . If there exists an integer  $m$  with  $|m| \geq r + t$  where  $t \in N$  such that  $|f(m)|$  is  $t$ -times of the prime number, then  $f(x)$  is irreducible over the field of rationals.*

Proof:-Suppose to the contrary that  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$  where  $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . In view of hypothesis at least one of  $|g(m)|, |h(m)|$  is divisor of  $t$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $|g(m)| |t$ .

Write  $g(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \alpha_i)$  where  $\alpha_i \in D_r \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Keeping in mind that  $|\alpha_i| < r$  and  $|m| \geq r + t \implies |m| - |\alpha_i| > t \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

By use of  $|a - b| \geq |a| - |b|$ , we have

$|g(m)| = |c| \prod_{i=1}^k |m - \alpha_i| \geq |c| \prod_{i=1}^k (|m| - |\alpha_i|) > t$  which is a contradiction to the fact that  $f(x)$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , hence we get  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and consequently irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  having all zeros in the set  $D_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ . If there exists an integer  $m$  with  $|m| \geq r + 1$  such that  $|f(m)|$  is a product of  $s$  primes (which may or may not be distinct), then  $f(x)$  has at most  $s$  irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .*

Proof:-Suppose to the contrary that  $f(x) = g_1(x)g_2(x)g_3(x) \cdots g_{s+1}(x)$  where  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_{s+1}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . In view of hypothesis at least one of  $|g_i(m)|$  where

$1 \leq i \leq s+1$  is equal to 1. Without loss of generality, assume that  $|g_1(m)| = 1$ . Write  $g_1(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \alpha_i)$  where  $\alpha_i \in D_r \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Keeping in mind that  $|\alpha_i| < r$  and  $|m| \geq r+1 \implies |m| - |\alpha_i| > 1 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

By use of inequality  $|a - b| \geq |a| - |b|$ , we have

$|g_1(m)| = |c| \prod_{i=1}^k |(m - \alpha_i)| \geq |c| \prod_{i=1}^k (|m| - |\alpha_i|) > 1$  which is a contradiction with fact that  $f(x)$  has more than  $s$  irreducible factors over  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , hence we get  $f(x)$  has at most  $s$  irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  having all zeros in the set  $D_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ . If there exists an integer  $m$  with  $|m| \geq r + t$  where  $t \in N$  such that  $|f(m)|$  is  $t$ -times product of  $s$  primes (which may or may not be distinct), then  $f(x)$  has at most  $s$  irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .*

Proof:-Suppose to the contrary that  $f(x) = g_1(x)g_2(x)g_3(x) \cdots g_{s+1}(x)$  where  $g_1(x)g_2(x) \cdots g_{s+1}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . In view of hypothesis at least one of  $|g_i(m)|$  where  $1 \leq i \leq s+1$  is divisor of  $t$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $|g_1(m)||t$ . Write  $g_1(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \alpha_i)$  where  $\alpha_i \in D_r \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Keeping in mind that  $|\alpha_i| < r$  and  $|m| \geq r+t \implies |m| - |\alpha_i| > t \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

By use of inequality  $|a - b| \geq |a| - |b|$ , we say that

$|g_1(m)| = |c| \prod_{i=1}^k |(m - \alpha_i)| \geq |c| \prod_{i=1}^k (|m| - |\alpha_i|) > t$  which is a contradiction with fact that  $f(x)$  has more than  $s$  irreducible factors over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , hence we get  $f(x)$  has at most  $s$  irreducible factors over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  having all zeros in the set  $OD_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ . If  $|f(m)|$  is  $t$ -times a prime where  $|m| < r - t$  where  $t$  is a positive integer less than  $p$ , then  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .*

Proof:-Suppose to the contrary that  $f(x) = g_1(x)g_2(x)$  where  $g_1(x), g_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . In view of hypothesis at least one of  $|g_i(m)|$  where  $1 \leq i \leq 2$  is equal to 1. Without loss of generality, assume that  $|g_1(m)||t$ . Write  $g_1(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \alpha_i)$  where  $\alpha_i \in OD_r \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Keeping in mind that  $|\alpha_i| > r > 1 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Using  $|m| < p - t$ , we get  $-|m| > t - p$ .

Now  $|\alpha_i| - |m| > t \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

By use of inequality  $|a - b| \geq |a| - |b|$ , we say that

$|g_1(m)| = |c| \prod_{i=1}^k |(m - \alpha_i)| \geq |c| \prod_{i=1}^k (|\alpha_i| - |m|) > t$  which is a contradiction with fact that  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  having all zeros in the set  $OD_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ . If  $|f(m)|$  is  $t$ -times product of two prime where  $|m| < r - t$  where  $t$  is a positive integer less than  $p$ , then  $f(x)$  has at most two irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .*

Proof:-Suppose to the contrary that  $f(x) = g_1(x)g_2(x)g_3(x)$  where  $g_1(x), g_2(x)$  and  $g_3(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . In view of hypothesis at least one of  $|g_i(m)|$  where  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  is equal to 1. Without loss of generality, assume that  $|g_1(m)||t$ . Write  $g_1(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \alpha_i)$  where  $\alpha_i \in OD_r \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Keeping in mind that  $|\alpha_i| > r > 1 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Using  $|m| < r - t$ , we get  $-|m| > t - r$ .

Now  $|\alpha_i| - |m| > t \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

By use of inequality  $|a - b| \geq |a| - |b|$ , we say that

$|g_1(m)| = |c| \prod_{i=1}^k |(m - \alpha_i)| \geq |c| \prod_{i=1}^k (|\alpha_i| - |m|) > t$  which is a contradiction with fact that  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  having all zeros in the set  $OD_r$  for some  $r \in N - \{1\}$ . If  $|f(m)|$  is product of  $r$  primes where  $|m| < r - 1$ , then  $f(x)$  has at most  $r$  irreducible factors in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .*

Proof:-Suppose to the contrary that  $f(x) = g_1(x)g_2(x)g_3(x) \cdots g_{r+1}(x)$  where  $g_1(x), g_2(x), g_3(x), \dots, g_{r+1}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . In view of hypothesis at least one of  $|g_i(m)|$  where  $1 \leq i \leq r+1$  is equal to 1. Without loss of generality, assume that  $|g_1(m)| = 1$ .

Write  $g_1(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \alpha_i)$  where  $\alpha_i \in OD_r \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

Keeping in mind that  $|\alpha_i| > r > 1 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Using  $|m| < r - 1$ , we get  $-|m| > 1 - r$ .

Now  $|\alpha_i| - |m| > 1 \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

By use of inequality  $|a - b| \geq |a| - |b|$ , we say that

$|g_1(m)| = |c| \prod_{i=1}^k |(m - \alpha_i)| \geq |c| \prod_{i=1}^k (|\alpha_i| - |m|) > 1$  which is a contradiction with fact that  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  hence over  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

#### 4. Example

**Example 4.1.** Polynomial  $f(x) = x^5 + x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 3 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  satisfies all conditions of lemma 2.1, there exist some  $r \in N - \{1\}$  such all the zeros of  $f(x)$  lies in  $D_r$ . Now we search value for  $r$  using Rouché's Theorem. Set  $\gamma(x) = -x^4 - 2x^3 - 2x^2 - 2x - 3$  and  $g(x) = x^5$ .

For  $|x| = 3$ , we have  $|\gamma(x)| \leq 3^4 + 2 \cdot 3^3 + 2 \cdot 3^2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 = 162 < |3^5| = |g(x)|$ .

By Rouché's Theorem, the number of roots of  $g(x)$  in  $|x| < 3 (= 5)$  coincides with ones of  $x^5 + x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 3$  in  $|x| < 3$ . Therefore,  $f(x) = x^5 + x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 3$  has 5 roots in  $D_3$ . So we get  $r = 3$ .

Here  $f(4) = 1451$  is a prime number. If assume  $t = 1$  and  $m = 4$ , then by using Theorem 3.1 we say that  $f(x)$  has at one irreducible factor. So we conclude that  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

#### References

- [1] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, Problems and Theorems in Analysis, Vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, 1976, Problem VIII.128.
- [2] Brillhart, John; Filaseta, Michael; Odlyzko, Andrew (1981). "On an irreducibility theorem of A. Cohn". Canadian Journal of Mathematics.
- [3] Murty, Ram (2002). "Prime Numbers and Irreducible Polynomials". American Mathematical Monthly. 109 (5): 452–458
- [4] Anuj Jakhar and Neeraj Sangwan (2016). "An irreducibility criterion for integer polynomials". arXiv:1612.01712

PRADEEP MAAN, AMIT SEHGAL, AND ARCHANA MALIK

PRADEEP MAAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PT. NEKI RAM SHARMA GOVERNMENT COLLEGE, ROHTAK (HARYANA), INDIA

*Email address:* `pradeepmaan89@gmail.com`

AMIT SEHGAL: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PT. NEKI RAM SHARMA GOVERNMENT COLLEGE, ROHTAK (HARYANA), INDIA

*Email address:* `amit_sehgal_iit@yahoo.com`

ARCHANA MALIK: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MAHARSHI DAYANAND UNIVERSITY, ROHTAK (HARYANA), INDIA

*Email address:* `archanamalik67@gmail.com`