

## BROWNIAN SUPER-EXPONENTS

VICTOR GOODMAN

ABSTRACT. We introduce a transform on the class of stochastic exponentials for  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motions. Each stochastic exponential generates another stochastic exponential under the transform. The new exponential process is often merely a supermartingale even in cases where the original process is a martingale. We determine a necessary and sufficient condition for the transform to be a martingale process. The condition links expected values of the transformed stochastic exponential to the distribution function of certain time-integrals.

Received: 03rd August 2017 Revised: 14th April 2017 Accepted: 10th December 2017

### 1. Introduction

If  $\mathbf{X}(t)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional progressively measurable process and  $\mathbf{W}$  is a Brownian motion under a measure  $P$ , the *stochastic exponential* determined by  $\mathbf{X}$  is the process

$$Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \mathbf{X}(u) \cdot d\mathbf{W}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du \right\}.$$

The problem of checking whether  $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$  is a true martingale is important for the use of Girsanov's theorem. Two well-known sufficient conditions are due to Novikov and to Kazamaki; see for example Revuz and Yor [7]. Examples where the process  $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$  is strictly a supermartingale appear in Goodman and Kim [3], Levental and Skorohod [6], and Wong and Heyde [9].

In their recent paper, Wong and Heyde [9] present a necessary and sufficient condition for any stochastic exponential to form a martingale process. Their condition is formulated in terms of an explosion time. We consider a class of stochastic exponentials for which their condition becomes more explicit. We begin with any stochastic exponential and we describe a modification, or transform, of it which generates another stochastic exponential.

The transform involves a *time-integral* of the form

$$\int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du.$$

We derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the transform to be a martingale. Our condition is formulated in terms of the distribution of time integrals, and we use the relation to obtain bounds on the tail behavior of these distributions.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H30; 60J65.

*Key words and phrases.* Girsanov theorem, stochastic exponential, time-integral.

**Definition 1.1.** Suppose that  $\mathbf{X}(t)$  is a progressively measurable process such that for some  $T > 0$ ,

$$P \left\{ \int_0^T \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du < \infty \right\} = 1. \quad (1.1)$$

If  $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$  is the stochastic exponential generated by  $\mathbf{X}(t)$  and  $y > 0$ , the associated *super-exponent process*  $Y_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ , defined for  $t \leq T$ , is

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du}. \quad (1.2)$$

Notice from Equation (1.2) that  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(0) = y$ . In addition,  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$  is positive so that the random variable

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))$$

is greater than one. We show that this random variable has a finite expected value which is less than or equal to  $e^y$ . This result is surprising since  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$  is used as an exponent here. According to Definition 1.1,  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$  itself contains an exponential factor  $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ . For this reason, we say that the process  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$  is a *Brownian super-exponent*.

## 2. Transform Properties

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose that a progressively measurable process  $\mathbf{X}$  satisfies condition (1.1). Let  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$  denote the super-exponent process in Definition 1.1. Then for each  $t \leq T$ ,*

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = y + \int_0^t Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u) \mathbf{X}(u) \cdot d\mathbf{W}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2(u) du. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, the process

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \quad (2.2)$$

is a positive supermartingale on the interval  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . In addition, the process

$$\tilde{Z}(t) = \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y) \quad (2.3)$$

is a stochastic exponential for  $\mathbf{W}$ . This stochastic exponential is generated by the  $d$ -dimensional process

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) \mathbf{X}(t). \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* It follows from the definition of  $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$  that

$$dZ_{\mathbf{X}} = Z_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{X} \cdot d\mathbf{W}, \quad d \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}} du = \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}} dt.$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} dY_{\mathbf{X},y} &= \frac{dZ_{\mathbf{X}}}{y^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}} du} + Z_{\mathbf{X}} d(y^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}} du)^{-1} \\ &= Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} \cdot d\mathbf{W} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

From this equation we see that  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y} - y$  is the sum of the Itô integral of  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}\mathbf{X}$  and the elementary integral of  $-\frac{1}{2}\|Y_{\mathbf{X},y}\mathbf{X}\|^2$ . This establishes Equation (2.1). It follows immediately from Equation (2.1) that  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y} - y$  is the exponent of a stochastic exponential. Therefore, the process

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)$$

is a positive local martingale. It is well known that a positive local martingale is a supermartingale; see, for instance, Karatzas and Shreve [4]. In addition, Equation (2.3) is a direct consequence of Equation (2.1) and the definition of stochastic exponential processes.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{X}(t)$  is a deterministic function such that for some  $T > 0$*

$$\int_0^T \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du < \infty.$$

*Let  $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$  and  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}$  denote the stochastic exponential and super-exponent process generated by  $\mathbf{X}(t)$ . Then for each non-negative measurable function  $G(u)$ ,  $u > 0$ , and  $t < T$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & E[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \exp(Y(t) - y)] \\ &= E \left[ G\left(\frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du}\right); \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < \frac{2}{y} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

*Proof.* For  $N = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $\tau_N$  be the stopping time defined by

$$\tau_N = \inf\{t \leq T : Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) \geq N\}.$$

It follows from Equation (2.1) that

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t \wedge \tau_N) - y = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_N} Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u) \cdot d\mathbf{W}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_N} \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2(u) du.$$

From this equation we see that  $\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t \wedge \tau_N) - y)$  is another stochastic exponential which is generated by

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u) 1_{\{u < \tau_N\}} \mathbf{X}(u).$$

Since this process is uniformly bounded in  $L^2[0, T]$ , it satisfies Novikov's condition. It is well known (see Karatzas and Shreve [4]) that the associated stochastic exponential is a martingale. We apply Girsanov's Theorem to change measure using the Radon-Nykodym derivative

$$\Lambda(T) = \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(T \wedge \tau_N) - y).$$

The probability measure  $Q_N$  is given by

$$\frac{dQ_N}{dP} = \Lambda(T).$$

Then with respect to  $Q_N$  the process

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(t) = \mathbf{W}(t) - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_N} Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u) du$$

is a Brownian motion for  $t \leq T$ . Since  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$  is a strong solution to equation (2.1), we may consider its SDE with respect to the Brownian motion  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ :

For  $t < \tau_N$

$$\begin{aligned} dY_{\mathbf{X},y} &= Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} \cdot d\mathbf{W} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2 dt \\ &= Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} \cdot \{ d\tilde{\mathbf{W}} + Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} dt \} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2 dt \\ &= Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} \cdot d\tilde{\mathbf{W}} + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Now we have an explicit solution to the SDE in equation (2.7):

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = \frac{\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du}. \quad (2.8)$$

In this equation,  $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$  denotes the stochastic exponential (generated by  $\mathbf{X}$ ) with respect to the Brownian motion  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ . Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned} &E[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y) 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}] \\ &= E[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \Lambda(T) 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}] \\ &= E_{Q_N}[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}] \\ &= E_{Q_N} \left[ G \left( \frac{\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Here we used the identity for  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}$  in Equation (2.8).

Moreover, from Equation (2.8) we also have

$$t < \tau_N \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \max_{s \leq t} \left( \frac{\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(s)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) < N.$$

This allows us to write the last expected value in Equation (2.9) as

$$E \left[ G \left( \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) ; \max_{s \leq t} \left( \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(s)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) < N \right]$$

since the integrand involves only the distribution of a Brownian motion for each choice of  $N$ . The limit of this expected value as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  is

$$E \left[ G \left( \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) ; \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < y^{-1} \right].$$

Since the limit of the first expected value in Equation (2.9) is

$$E[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)],$$

the theorem is proved.  $\square$

### 3. Examples Using the Transform

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{X}(t)$  is a deterministic function such that*

$$\int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du$$

*is strictly increasing and finite for  $t \leq T < \infty$ . Let  $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$  and  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}$  denote the stochastic exponential and super-exponent process generated by  $\mathbf{X}(t)$ . Then the process*

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))$$

*is a strict supermartingale for  $t \leq T$ . Moreover,*

$$E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))] = e^y Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < \frac{2}{y} \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 2.2 using the choice  $G(u) \equiv 1$ . Equation (2.6) becomes

$$E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)] = Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < \frac{2}{y} \right\},$$

and Equation (3.1) follows. Now since each  $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u)$  is a log normal random variable, the process

$$\int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du \quad (3.2)$$

has strictly increasing sample paths. It follows that the right hand expression in Equation (3.1) is strictly decreasing. Therefore,  $\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))$  is a strict supermartingale.  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* Equation (3.1) provides a useful tool for investigating the distribution of a time integral given by Equation (3.2). Since each super-exponent

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du}$$

is point-wise increasing as a function of  $y$ , it follows from the identity

$$Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < a \right\} = \exp\left(-\frac{2}{a}\right) E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},2/a}(t))]$$

that the distribution function is the product of a decreasing function of  $a$  and the explicit factor  $\exp(-2/a)$ .

It is not known whether  $\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},\infty}(t))$  has finite expectation. A finite expected value would produce sharp estimates for the lower tail probability of (3.2). We conjecture that

$$E \left[ \frac{2Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{\int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right] = \infty.$$

**Example 3.3.** In the case of  $d = 1$  the choice  $X(t) \equiv \sigma$  specializes the time integral in (3.2) to a time integral of *geometric Brownian motion*:

$$\int_0^t \exp(\sigma W(u) - \sigma^2 u/2) du. \quad (3.3)$$

Expected values involving related time integrals appear in computational problems of financial mathematics. Consequently, distribution properties of these time integrals have been studied by many authors; see Dufresne [1], Geman and Yor [2], Rogers and Shi [8], and Goodman and Kim [3].

Although most works have used analytic techniques to express the distribution in various integral forms, in Goodman and Kim [3] martingales techniques are used exclusively. A special case of Equation (3.1) appears in [3], Theorem 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \exp(W(u) - u/2) du \leq a \right\} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{2}{a}\right) E \left[ \exp\left(\frac{2 \exp(W(t) - t/2)}{a + \int_0^t \exp(W(u) - u/2) du}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand expression for the distribution can be differentiated with respect to  $a$ . Consequently, it is shown in [3] that the density function multiplied by  $a^2/2$  equals the difference between two distribution functions of time integrals of slightly different geometric Brownian motions.

**Example 3.4.** In contrast to deterministic choices for  $\mathbf{X}(t)$ , where the stochastic exponential

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))$$

is never a martingale, stochastic choices for  $\mathbf{X}$  may produce martingales. Of course, the introduction of a stopping time, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.2, may produce a martingale. In other cases, stopping times are not required.

Consider the example of  $X(t) = \cos(W(t))$ , again in the case  $d = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t) &= \exp\left(\int_0^t \cos(W(u)) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \cos^2(W(u)) du\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\sin(W(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t [\sin(W(u)) - \cos^2(W(u))] du\right) \end{aligned}$$

is a bounded random variable. Therefore, its super-exponent,  $Y_{\cos(W),y}(t)$  is also bounded. Then since the local martingale

$$\exp(Y_{\cos(W),y}(t))$$

is also bounded, it is a martingale. It is of interest then to know when a super-exponent generates a martingale process.

#### 4. The Martingale Condition

Theorem 1 of Wong and Heyde [9] identifies a necessary and sufficient condition for a progressively measurable process  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  to generate a martingale stochastic exponential process. For completeness, we state their result here.

**Proposition 4.1.** ([9], Proposition 1) *Consider a  $d$ -dimensional progressively measurable process  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) = \xi(\mathbf{W}(\cdot), t)$ . Then there will also exist a  $d$ -dimensional progressively measurable process*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t) = \xi(\mathbf{W}(\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot \tilde{\mathbf{R}}(u)du, t)$$

defined possibly up to an explosion time  $\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}}$ , where

$$\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}} = \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : M_{\mathbf{R}}(t) = \int_0^t \|\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(u)\|^2 du = \infty \right\}.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** ([9], Theorem 1) *Consider  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)$  as defined in Proposition 4.1. The stochastic exponential  $Z_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}(T)$  satisfies*

$$P(\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}} > T) = E_P[Z_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}(T)]$$

and hence is a martingale if and only if  $P(\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}} > T) = 1$ .

We apply Theorem 1 of [9] using  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) = Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)\mathbf{X}(t)$ . That is, our generating process is the one in Proposition 2.1 where the stochastic exponential process is

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y).$$

We first show that each generating process  $\mathbf{X}$  implicitly defines another process  $\mathbf{X}'$ . This allows us to identify the process  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Suppose that a  $d$ -dimensional progressively measurable process  $\mathbf{X}(t)$  satisfies*

$$Pr \left( \int_0^T \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du < \infty \right) = 1$$

for some  $T > 0$ . Then there exists another progressively measurable process  $\mathbf{X}'(t)$ , so that if  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) := Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)\mathbf{X}(t)1_{\{t \leq T\}}$  in Proposition 4.1, then the process  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)$  of the proposition satisfies

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t) = \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(u) du} \mathbf{X}'(t)$$

for all  $t < \tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}}$ . Moreover,

$$\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}} = \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \int_0^{t \wedge T} \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(u) du = 2/y \right\}.$$

*Proof.* We follow the proof of Proposition 4.1. Let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) := Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)\mathbf{X}(t)1_{\{t \leq T\}}.$$

For each  $N = 1, 2, \dots$  we define a sequence of stopping times by

$$\tau_N = \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \int_0^t Y_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}},y}^2(u) \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 1_{\{u \leq T\}} du \geq N \right\}.$$

It follows from Equation (2.1) that

$$Z_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}(t \wedge \tau_N) = \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t \wedge \tau_N) - y)$$

forms a martingale. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we apply Girsanov's theorem using the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\Lambda(T) = \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(T \wedge \tau_N) - y)$$

to obtain the probability measure  $Q_N$  where

$$dQ_N = \Lambda(T)dP.$$

With respect to the measure  $Q_N$ , the process

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(t) = \mathbf{W}(t) - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_N} Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u)du$$

is a Brownian motion. Hence, on the set  $\{t \leq \tau_N \wedge T\}$  we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) = \xi(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u)du, t),$$

that is,

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)\mathbf{X}(t) = \xi(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u)du, t). \quad (4.1)$$

Now the process  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$  can also be described in terms of the Brownian motion  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ . The calculations in Equation (2.7) also apply to the stochastic case. Equation (2.8) gives an explicit formula for  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}$ :

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = \frac{\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(u)du}. \quad (4.2)$$

We see that each term of Equation (4.1) is a functional of  $\mathbf{X}$  and the Brownian motion  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ . This demonstrates the existence of a process  $\mathbf{X}$  so that (4.1) and (4.2) hold up to a time  $\tau_N$  defined by the integral of  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u)$ , using the Brownian motion  $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ .

Therefore, using the identical distribution of  $\mathbf{W}$  and the (original) measure  $P$ , we see that there exists a progressively measurable process  $\mathbf{X}'(t)$  so that

$$\frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(u)du} \mathbf{X}'(t) = \xi(\mathbf{W}(\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}(u)\mathbf{X}'(u)du, t).$$

Here, we have abbreviated the complete expression on the right hand side using (4.2) to provide the notation. That is,  $Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}$  denotes the expression in Equation (4.2) but *in the original Brownian motion* and  $\mathbf{X}$  is replaced by the process  $\mathbf{X}'$ .

As  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the stopping time  $\tau_N$  increases to the stopping time

$$\tau = \inf \left\{ t \leq T : \int_0^t Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}^2(u) \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 du = \infty \right\}.$$

By construction, the new process  $\mathbf{X}'$  satisfies

$$\int_0^T \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 du < \infty \quad \text{a. s.} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{X}'(u) = 0 \quad \text{for } u > T.$$

Therefore, the process  $Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}$  (again, defined as in (4.2)) is bounded along each sample path up to the time where its denominator first hits zero. This defines the stopping time  $\tau^{M_R}$  of the Proposition.  $\square$



**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{X}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{X}'(t)$  are  $d$ -dimensional processes as defined in Proposition 4.3. Then the super-exponent process  $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$  satisfies*

$$E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)] = Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < 2/y \right\} \quad (4.3)$$

for  $t \leq T$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)] &= Pr \{ \tau^{M_R} > t \} \\ &= Pr \left\{ \int_0^t Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}^2(u) \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 du < \infty \right\} \\ &= Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(u)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^u \|\mathbf{X}'(r)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(r) dr} \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 du < \infty \right\} \\ &= Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < 2/y \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## References

1. Dufresne, D.: The integral of geometric Brownian motion; *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **33** (2001) 223-241
2. Geman, H, and Yor, M.: Asian Options, Bessel Processes and Perpetuities; *Math. Finance* **2** (1993) 349-375
3. Goodman, V. and Kim, K.: Exponential martingales and time integrals of Brownian motion *preprint*
4. Karatzas, I., and Shreve, S.: *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991
5. Kim, K.: Moment Generating function of the inverse of integral of geometric Brownian Motion; *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2004) 2753-2759
6. Levental, S. and Skorohod, A. V.: A necessary and sufficient condition for absence of arbitrage with tame portfolios; *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **5** (1995) 906-925
7. Revuz, D. and Yor, M.: *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion, 3rd edn.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999
8. Rogers, L.C.G., and Shi, Z.: The value of an Asian option *J. Appl. Probab.* **32** (1995) 1077-1088
9. Wong, B. and Heyde, C.C.: On the martingale property of stochastic exponentials; *J. Appl. Probab.* **41** (2004) 654-664
10. Yor, M.: On some exponential functionals of Brownian motion; *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **24** (1992) 509-531

VICTOR GOODMAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* goodmanv@indiana.edu

