

PRESENTATION OF MONOIDS BY GENERATORS AND RELATIONS

NACER GHADBANE* AND DOUADI MIHOUB

ABSTRACT. Let A^* be the free monoid over a finite alphabet A and R a binary relation on A^* . The congruence generated by R is defined as follows:

• $xuy \underset{R}{\leftrightarrow} xvy$, whenever $x, y \in A^*$ and uRv or vRu

• $w \underset{R}{\stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow}} w'$, whenever $u_0, u_1, ..., u_n \in A^*$ with $u_0 = w, u_i \underset{R}{\leftrightarrow} u_{i+1}, \forall 0 \le i \le n-1, u_n = w'$.

A presentation (by generators and relations) of a monoid M is a pair S = (A, R) such that M is isomorphic to the quotient of A^* by the congruence noted $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\underset{R}{\rightarrow}}$ generated by R, i.e, $M \cong A^* / \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\underset{R}{\rightarrow}}$. We consider two systems of rewriting $S_1 = (A_1, R_1)$ and $S_2 = (A_2, R_2)$. The purpose of this study is to determine some conditions on the relations R_1 and R_2 that ensure the existence of a morphism between the quotient monoids $A_1^* / \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\underset{R_1}{\rightarrow}}$ and $A_2^* / \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\underset{R_2}{\rightarrow}}$.

We give also a specific relation R on A^* making the quotient monoid $A^*/\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\underset{R}{R}}$ a group.

1. Intoduction

Let A be a set, called an alphabet in the following. Elements of A will be called symbols. A finite word over A is just a sequence of alphabet symbols. The set of all finite words over A is denoted with A^* . The concatenation of words is an associative operation with identity element ϵ . Hence, A^* has the structure of a monoid, called the free monoid generated by A. A semi-Thue system (or word rewriting system) over the alphabet A is just a set $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$. We associate with R a binary relation \rightarrow_R on A^* , alsocalled the, as follows: For all $u, v \in A^*, u \rightarrow_R v$ if and only if there exist $x, y \in A^*$ and $(l, r) \in R$ such that u = xly and v = xry. Elements (l, r) are called rules and usually written as $l \to r$. Note that $\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}$ is a congruence generated by R and $[w]_{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} = \left\{x \in A^* : x \underset{R}{\leftrightarrow} w\right\}$ be the equivalence class with respect to $\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}$. Hence, we can defin the quotient monoid $A^* / \underset{R}{\leftarrow}$.

A presentation of a monoid M is a pair (A, R) where A is an alphabet, $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$, and $M \cong A^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{B}{\hookrightarrow}}$.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some mathematical preliminaries. In Section 3, we consider two systems of rewriting $S_1 =$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 68Q42; Secondary 20M05.

Key words and phrases. Free monoid, morphism of monoids, closure of a binary relation, rewriting systems of words, Presentation of a monoid.

 (A_1, R_1) and $S_2 = (A_2, R_2)$. The purpose of this study is to determine some conditions on the relations R_1 and R_2 that ensure the existence of a morphism between the quotient monoids $A_1^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{R_1}{\leftrightarrow}}$ and $A_2^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{R_2}{\leftrightarrow}}$. We give also a specific relation R on A^* making the quotient monoid $A^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{R_2}{\leftrightarrow}}$ a group. The Section 4 is devoted to the application on the notion of word problem in public key cryptography. Finally, we draw our conclusions in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

A monoid is a set M equipped with an associative product $x, y \mapsto xy$, together with a (left and right) unit 1. In the commutative case, it is common to use the additive notation: x + y instead of xy, and 0 instead of 1.

If $X \subset M$, we write X^* for the submonoid of M generated by X, that is the set of finite products $x_1x_2...x_n$ with $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$, including the empty product 1. It is the smallest submonoid of M containing X.

Let A be a set, which we call an alphabet. A word w on the alphabet A is a finite sequence of elements of A

$$w = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \qquad a_i \in A$$

The set of all words on the alphabet A is denoted by A^* and is equipped with the associative operation defined by the concatenation of two sequences

$$(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)(b_1, b_2, ..., b_m) = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, b_1, b_2, ..., b_m)$$

This operation is associative. This allows us to write $w = a_1 \ a_2, \dots \ a_n$. The string consisting of zero letters is called the empty word, written ϵ . Thus, ϵ , 0, 1, 011, 1111 are words over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$. Thus the set A^* of words is equipped with the structure of a monoid. the monoid A^* is called the free monoid on A. The reverse of a word $w = a_1 \ a_2, \dots \ a_n$, is $\widetilde{w} = a_n \ a_{n-1}, \dots \ a_1$. Note that for all $u, v \in A^*, \widetilde{uv} = \widetilde{vu}$.

The length of a word u, in symbols |u|, is the number of letters in u when each letter is counted as many times as it occurs. Again by definition, $|\epsilon| = 0$. The length function possesses some of the formal properties of logarithm:

$$|uv| = |u| + |v|, |u^i| = i |u|,$$

for any words u and v and integers $i \ge 0$. For example |011| = 3 and |1111| = 4. For a subset B of A, we let $|w|_B$ denote the number of letters of w which are in B. Thus $|w| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |w|_a$. A language L over A^* is any subset of A^* [1].

Let $f: S \longrightarrow U$ be a mapping of sets.

• We say that f is **one-to-one** if for every $a, b \in S$ where f(a) = f(b), we have a = b.

• We say that f is **onto** if for every $y \in U$, there exists $a \in S$ such that f(a) = y.

A mapping $h: A^* \longrightarrow \Delta^*$, where A and Δ are alphabets, satisfying the condition

$$h(uv) = h(u)h(v)$$
, for all words u and v,

is called a morphism, define a morphism h, it suffices to list all the words $h(\sigma)$, where a ranges over all the (finitely many) letters of A. If M is a monoid, then any mapping $f: A \longrightarrow M$ extends to a unique morphism $\tilde{f}: A^* \longrightarrow M$. For instance, if M is the additive monoid \mathbb{N} , and f is defined by $f(\sigma) = 1$ for each $\sigma \in A$, then $\tilde{f}(u)$ is the length |u| of the word u.

Let $h : A^* \longrightarrow \Delta^*$ be a morphism of monoids. if h is one-to-one and onto, then h is an isomorphism and the monoids A^* and Δ^* are isomorphic. we denote $Hom(A^*, \Delta^*)$ the set of morphisms from A^* to Δ^* and $Isom(A^*, \Delta^*)$ the set of isomorphisms from A^* to Δ^* .

A binary relation on A^* is a subset $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$. If $(x, y) \in R$, we say that x is related to y by R, denoted xRy. The inverse relation of R is the binary relation $R^{-1} \subseteq A^* \times A^*$ defined by $yR^{-1}x \iff (x, y) \in R$.

The relation $I_{A^*} = \{(x, x), x \in A^*\}$ is called the identity relation. The relation $(A^*)^2$ is called the complete relation.

Let $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$ and $S \subseteq A^* \times A^*$ binary relations. The composition of R and S is a binary relation $S \circ R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$ defined by

 $x(S \circ R) z \iff \exists y \in A^* \text{ such that } xRy \text{ and } ySz.$

A binary relation R on a set A^* is said to be

- reflexive if xRx for all x in A^* ;
- symmetric if xRy implies yRx;
- transitive if xRy and yRz imply xRz.

The relation R is called an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive. And in this case, if xRy, we say that x and y are equivalent. The set of all equivalence classes is denoted by A^*/R and is called the quotient of $A^* \mod R$.

Let R be a relation on a set A^* . The reflexive closure of R is the smallest reflexive relation r(R) on A^* that contains R; that is,

• $R \subseteq r(R)$

• if R' is a reflexive relation on A^* and $R \subseteq R'$, then $r(R) \subseteq R'$.

The symmetric closure of R is the smallest symmetric relation s(R) on A^* that contains R; that is,

• $R \subseteq s(R)$

• if R' is a symmetric relation on A^* and $R \subseteq R'$, then $s(R) \subseteq R'$.

The transitive closure of R is the smallest transitive relation t(R) on A^* that contains R; that is,

• $R \subseteq t(R)$

• if R' is a transitive relation on A^* and $R \subseteq R'$, then $t(R) \subseteq R'$. Let R be a relation on a set A^* . Then

•
$$r(R) = R \cup I_{A^*},$$

• $s(R) = R \cup R^{-1}$
• $t(R) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{k=+\infty} R^k.$

A congruence on a monoid M is an equivalence relation \equiv on M compatible with the operation of M, i.e, for all $m, m' \in M, u, v \in M$

$$m\equiv m'\Longrightarrow umv\equiv um'v$$

If $f: A^* \longrightarrow B^*$ is a morphism of monoids, Then Ker f is a congruence defined by:

$$\forall u, v \in A^* : uKer \ f \ v \Longleftrightarrow f(u) = f(v).$$

Let L be a language over A, the syntactic congruence of L denoted by \equiv_L is defined by:

$$u \equiv_L v \iff (\forall x, y \in A^* : xuy \in L \iff xvy \in L)$$

The quotient of A^* by \equiv_L is, by definition, the syntactic monoid of L denoted M(L), i.e., $M(L) = A^* / \equiv_L$.

A semi-Thue system is a pair (A, R) where A is an alphabet and R is a nonempty finite binary on A^* , we write $urv \to_R ur'v$ whenever $u, v \in A^*$ and $(r, r') \in R$. We write $u \to_R^* v$ if there words $u_0, u_1, ..., u_n \in A^*$ such that,

$$u_0 = u,$$

$$u_i \longrightarrow_R u_{i+1}, \forall 0 \le i \le n-1$$

and $u_n = v.$

If n = o, we get u = v, and if n = 1, we get $u \to_R v$. \to_R^* is the reflexive transitive closure of \to_R .

The congruence generated by R is defined as follows:

•
$$urv \longleftrightarrow_R ur'v$$
 whenever $u, v \in A^*$, and rRr' or $r'Rr$;
 $u \underset{P}{\overset{*}{\hookrightarrow}} v$ whenever $u = u_0 \longleftrightarrow_R u_1 \longleftrightarrow_R \dots \longleftrightarrow_R u_n = v$

 $\underset{R}{\longleftrightarrow}^{*}$ is the reflexive symmetric transitive closure of \rightarrow_{R} . Let $\pi_{R} : A^{*} \longrightarrow A^{*} / \underset{R}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\rightarrow}}$ be the canonical surjective monoid morphism that maps a word $w \in A^{*}$ to its equivalence class with respect to $\underset{R}{\overset{\ast}{\rightarrow}}$. A monoid M is finitely generated if it is ithenmorphic to a monoid of the form $A^{*} / \underset{R}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\rightarrow}}$. In this case, we also say that M is finitely generated by A. If in addition to A also R is finite, then M is a finitely presented monoid. The word problem of $M \simeq A^{*} / \underset{R}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\rightarrow}}$ with respect to R is the set $\{(u, v) \in A^{*} \times A^{*} : \pi_{R}(u) = \pi_{R}(v)\}$ it is undecidable in general [6, 7, 10].

The semi-Thue system (A, R) is terminating if there does not exist an infinite chain $w_1 \to_R w_2 \to_R w_3 \to_R \dots$ in A^* . The set of irreducible words with respect to R is $Irr(R) = \{u \in A^*, \neg v \in A^* : u \to_R v\}$. (A, R) is confluent (resp. locally confluent) if for all $x, y, z \in A^*$ with $x \to_R^* y$ and $x \to_R^* z$ (resp. $x \to_R y$ and $x \to_R z$) there exists $w \in A^*$ with $y \to_R^* w$ and $z \to_R^* w$. If (A, R) is terminating, then by Newman's lemma (A, R) is confluent if and only if (A, R) is locally confluent. A semi-Thue system (A, R) is canonical if (A, R) is confluent and terminating. If (A, R) is canonical, then every word u has a unique normal form $NF_R(u) \in Irr(R)$ such that $u \to_R^* NF_R(u)$ and moreover, the function $\pi_R \mid Irr(R)$ (i.e., π_R restricted to Irr(R)) is bijective. Thus, if R is in addition finite, then the word problem of $A^* / \underset{R}{\overset{*}{\to}}$ is decidable: $\pi_R(u) = \pi_R(v)$ if and only if $NF_R(u) = NF_R(v)$ [8].

The congruence generated by R is defined as follows:

• $xuy \underset{R}{\leftrightarrow} xvy$, whenever $x, y \in A^*$ and uRv or vRu

• $w \underset{R}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\mapsto}} w'$, whenever $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n \in A^*$ with $u_0 = w, u_i \underset{R}{\leftrightarrow} u_{i+1}, \forall 0 \leq i \leq n-1, u_n = w'$.

The equivalence class of w with respect to $\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}$ denoted by $[w]_{\underset{K}{\leftrightarrow}}$

We get a quotient monoid $A^*/\underset{R}{\stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow}}$ and a canonical surjection $\pi_R : A^* \longrightarrow A^*/\underset{R}{\stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow}}$. Moreover, if $h: A^* \longrightarrow M$ is a mapping such that h(x) = h(y) whenever xRy, we get a unique morphism $\psi: A^*/\underset{R}{\stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow}} M$ such that $h \circ \pi_R = \psi$.

3. Presentations of some monoids

Definition 3.1. A presentation of a monoid M is a pair S = (A, R) such that M is isomorphic to the quotient of A^* by the congruence noted $\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}$ generated by R, i.e, $M \cong A^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}$. The elements of A are called generators, and those of R are called relations. If there are finitely many generators and relations, i.e. $A = \{a_1, ..., a_p\}$ and $R = \{(r_1, r'_1), ..., (r_q, r'_q)\}$, we say that the monoid M is finitely presentable, and we write $M \cong \langle a_1, ..., a_n/r_1 = r'_1, ..., r_q = r'_q \rangle$.

Example 3.2. Let $A = \{a\}$ and $R = \emptyset$ (*R* is the empty relation), we have $(\{a\}^*, \cdot) \cong (\mathbb{N}, +)$ with the isomorphism is defined by $\epsilon \longmapsto 0, a \longmapsto 1$. Then the monoid presented by $\langle a/\emptyset \rangle$ is isomorphic to the additive monoid $(\mathbb{N}, +)$.

Example 3.3. Let $A = \{a, b\}$ and $R = \{(ab, ba)\}$. We have, for all $w \in \{a, b\}^*$, there exists a unique $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $w \underset{R}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\to}} b^m a^n$ with $m = |w|_b$ and $n = |w|_a$. We define the mapping $\psi : \mathbb{N}^2 \longrightarrow A^* / \underset{R}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\to}} \psi(m, n) = [b^m a^n]_{\underset{R}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\to}}}$ where $[b^m a^n]_{\underset{R}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\to}}}$ denotes the equivalence class of $b^m a^n$ with respect to $\underset{R}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\to}}$. The mapping ψ is morphism because for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2, (p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, we have $\psi((m, n) + (p, q)) = \psi((m + p, n + q)) = [b^{m+p}a^{n+q}]_{\underset{R}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\to}}} = [b^m b^p a^n a^q]_{\underset{R}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\to}}} = \psi((m, n)) \cdot \psi((p, q))$

It is clear that ψ is onto. The mapping ψ is one-to-one because, we have for all $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2, (p,q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$,

$$\psi\left((m,n)\right) = \psi\left((p,q)\right) \Longleftrightarrow \left[b^m a^n\right]_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}} = \left[b^p a^q\right]_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}} \Longleftrightarrow (m = p \text{ and } n = q).$$

Therefore the monoid presented by $\langle a, b/ab = ba \rangle$ is isomorphic to the additive monoid $(\mathbb{N}^2, +)$.

Example 3.4. Let $A = \{a, b\}$ and $R = \{(ab, \epsilon), (ba, \epsilon)\}$, for all $w \in \{a, b\}^*$, there is only three cases to be considered.

- If $|w|_a = |w|_b$, in this case we have $w \stackrel{*}{\underset{P}{\leftrightarrow}} \epsilon$.
- If $|w|_a > |w|_b$, i.e, $|w|_a = |w|_b + k, k \in \mathbb{N} \{0\}$, in this case we have $w \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\longrightarrow}} a^k$.
- If $|w|_b > |w|_a$, i.e, $|w|_b = |w|_a + l, l \in \mathbb{N} \{0\}$, in this case we have $w \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} b^l$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Then } \mathbb{Z} \,\cong\, \{a,b\}^* \,/ \, \underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}} = \, \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \end{bmatrix}_{\frac{*}{R}}, \begin{bmatrix} a^k \end{bmatrix}_{\frac{*}{R}}, \begin{bmatrix} b^l \end{bmatrix}_{\frac{*}{R}}, (k,l) \in (\mathbb{N} - \{0\})^2 \right\} & \text{ with the isomorphism } \phi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \{a,b\}^* \,/ \, \underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}} \text{ is defined by:} \\ 0 \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \end{bmatrix}_{\frac{*}{R}}, \text{ if } n > 0, \text{ then } n \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} a^n \end{bmatrix}_{\frac{*}{R}}, \text{ if } n < 0, \text{ then } n \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} b^{-n} \end{bmatrix}_{\frac{*}{R}}. \end{array}$

Therefore the monoid presented by $\langle a, b/ab = \epsilon, ba = \epsilon \rangle$ is isomorphic to the additive monoid $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$.

Proposition 3.5. Any monoid $(M, \cdot, 1_M)$ has a standard presentation (A, R), where A consists of one symbol a_x for each $x \in M$, and R is defined by R = $\{(a_{1_M}, \epsilon), (a_x a_y, a_{xy}) \text{ for all } x, y \in M\}$. In particular, any finite monoid is finitely presented.

Proof. Let $A = \{a_x, x \in M\}$ and $R = \{(a_{1_M}, \epsilon), (a_x a_y, a_{xy}) \text{ for all } x, y \in M\},\$ then for all $w \in A^*$, there exists $\{x_i, ..., x_j\} \subseteq M$ such that $w = a_{x_i} ... a_{x_j}$ and $w \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} a_{x_k}, x_k = x_i \cdot \ldots \cdot x_j$, therefore $A^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} = \left\{ [a_{x_k}]_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}}, x_k \in M \right\}$. Then the isomorphism $\theta : M \longrightarrow A^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}$ is defined by: $\theta(x_k) = [w]_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}}$, where $x_k = x_i \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k$ $x_j, w = a_{x_i} \dots a_{x_j}, \{x_i, \dots, x_j\} \subseteq M.$

The mapping θ is morphism because for all $(x_k, x_l) \in M^2$, we have $\theta(x_k x_l) = \theta(x_m) = [w]_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\longrightarrow}}}$ where $x_m = x_k x_l$ and $w = a_{x_k} a_{x_l}$, then $[w]_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\longrightarrow}}} =$ $\left[a_{x_k}a_{x_l}\right]_{\underset{R}{*}} = \left[a_{x_k}\right]_{\underset{R}{*}} \left[a_{x_l}\right]_{\underset{R}{*}} = \theta\left(x_k\right)\theta\left(x_l\right).$

It is trivial that θ is onto. We show that θ is one-to-one, for all $(x_k, x_l) \in M^2$, there exists $\{x_i, ..., x_j\} \subseteq M, \{x_s, ..., x_t\} \subseteq M$ where $x_k = x_i \cdot ... \cdot x_j$ and $x_l =$ $x_s \cdot \ldots \cdot x_t$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta\left(x_{k}\right) &= \theta\left(x_{l}\right) \Longrightarrow \theta\left(x_{i} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{j}\right) = \theta\left(x_{s} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{t}\right) \Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix}a_{x_{i}} \ldots a_{x_{j}}\end{bmatrix}_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}} = \begin{bmatrix}a_{x_{s}} \ldots a_{x_{t}}\end{bmatrix}_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}} \\ &\implies \begin{bmatrix}a_{x_{k}}\end{bmatrix}_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}} = \begin{bmatrix}a_{x_{l}}\end{bmatrix}_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}} \Longrightarrow x_{k} = x_{l}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.6. Consider the monoid

$$M = \left\{ x_0 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), x_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), x_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

provided with matrix multiplication. The Cayley table of M is defined as follows (see Table 1):

| • | x_0 | x_1 | x_2 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| x_0 | x_0 | x_1 | x_2 |
| x_1 | x_1 | x_1 | x_2 |
| x_2 | x_2 | x_1 | x_2 |

The monoid M satisfies the following two properties: for all $x_i \in M, x_i \cdot x_1 = x_1$ and $x_i \cdot x_2 = x_2$.

Lat $A = \{a_{x_i}, x_i \in M, 0 \le i \le 2\}$ and $R = \{(a_{x_0}, \epsilon), (a_{x_i}a_{x_j}, a_{x_ix_j}), x_i, x_j \in M\}$. Then for all $w \in A^*$, there exists $\{x_i, ..., x_j\} \subseteq M$ such that $w = a_{x_i}...a_{x_j}$ and $w \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\longrightarrow}} a_{x_k}$, with $x_k = x_i \cdot ... \cdot x_j$. There is only three cases to be considered:

- If $w = ua_{x_1}, u \in A^*$, in this case we have $w \stackrel{*}{\underset{B}{\leftrightarrow}} a_{x_1}$.
- If $w = ua_{x_2}, u \in A^*$, in this case we have $w \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} a_{x_2}$.
- If $w = a_{x_0} \dots a_{x_0}$, in this case we have $w \stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow} \epsilon$.

Then $A^*/\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\hookrightarrow}} = \left\{ [\epsilon]_{\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}}, [a_{x_1}]_{\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}}, [a_{x_2}]_{\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}} \right\}$ and we define the isomorphism $\lambda : M \longrightarrow A^*/\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}}$ by:

$$\lambda(x_0) = [\epsilon]_{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}, \lambda(x_1) = [a_{x_1}]_{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}, \lambda(x_2) = [a_{x_2}]_{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}.$$
 Finally $M \cong A^* / \underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}.$

The following propositions, make it possible to give conditions on relations that ensure the existence of morphism between two monoids quotient.

Proposition 3.7. We consider two systems of rewriting $S_1 = (A_1, R_1)$, $S_2 = (A_2, R_2)$ and $f : A_1^* \longrightarrow A_2^*$ is a morphism of monoids such that for all $(r, s) \in R_1$: $[f(r)]_{\stackrel{*}{K_2}} = [f(s)]_{\stackrel{*}{K_2}}$, then there exists a unique morphism $\psi : A_1^* / \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{K_1} \longrightarrow A_2^* / \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{K_2}$ with $\psi \circ \pi_{R_1} = \pi_{R_2} \circ f$.

Proof. We have for all $(r, s) \in R_1 : [f(r)]_{\stackrel{*}{R_2}} = [f(s)]_{\stackrel{*}{R_2}}$, then the morphism $\pi_{R_2} \circ f$ satisfies the following property: for all $(r, s) \in R_1, (\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(r) = (\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(s)$, then there exists a unique morphism $\psi : A_1^*/ \underset{R_1}{\stackrel{*}{\hookrightarrow}} \longrightarrow A_2^*/ \underset{R_2}{\stackrel{*}{\hookrightarrow}}$ with $\psi \circ \pi_{R_1} = \pi_{R_2} \circ f$.

Example 3.8. Let $S_1 = (A_1, R_1)$ and $S_2 = (A_2, R_2)$ be two systems of rewriting, where,

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = \{a, b\} \\ R_1 = \{(ab, a), (ba, a)\} \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} A_2 = \{c, d, e\} \\ R_2 = \{(ec, c), (de, d)\} \end{cases}$$

We consider the morphism $f : A_1^* \longrightarrow A_2^*$, with
$$\begin{cases} f(a) = cd \\ f(b) = e \end{cases}$$

We have $\pi_{R_2} : A_2^* \longrightarrow A_2^* / \underset{R_2}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}$ satisfies the following equalities: $\pi_{R_2}(ec) = \pi_{R_2}(c)$ and $\pi_{R_2}(de) = \pi_{R_2}(d)$.

Now we show that for all $(r, s) \in R_1$, $(\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(r) = (\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(s)$, we have $(\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(ab) = \pi_{R_2}(cde) = \pi_{R_2}(c)\pi_{R_2}(de) = \pi_{R_2}(c)\pi_{R_2}(d) = \pi_{R_2}(cd) = (\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(a).$ $(\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(ba) = \pi_{R_2}(ecd) = \pi_{R_2}(ec)\pi_{R_2}(d) = \pi_{R_2}(c)\pi_{R_2}(d) = \pi_{R_2}(cd) = \pi_{R_2$

 $(\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(a).$

Consequently there exists a unique morphism $\psi : A_1^* / \underset{R_1}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\longrightarrow}} A_2^* / \underset{R_2}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\longrightarrow}}$ with $\psi \circ \pi_{R_1} = \pi_{R_2} \circ f$.

Proposition 3.9. Let $S_1 = (A_1, R_1)$, $S_2 = (A_2, R_2)$ be two systems canonicals and $f : A_1^* \longrightarrow A_2^*$ is a isomorphism of monoids where for all $(r, s) \in R_1$: $[f(r)]_{\stackrel{*}{K_2}} = [f(s)]_{\stackrel{*}{R_2}}$ and $f(Irr(R_1)) \subseteq Irr(R_2)$, we have,

$$A_1^* / \underset{R_1}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}} \cong A_2^* / \underset{R_2}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \text{ We have for all } (r,s) \in R_1 : [f(r)]_{\frac{*}{R_2}} = [f(s)]_{\frac{*}{R_2}}, \text{ then for all } (r,s) \in R_1, (\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(r) = (\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(s), \text{ then there exists a unique morphism } \psi : A_1^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{R_1}{K_1}} \longrightarrow A_2^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{R_2}{K_2}} \text{ with } \psi \circ \pi_{R_1} = \pi_{R_2} \circ f. \text{ Specifically the morphism } \psi \text{ is defined by:} \\ \psi \left([x]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} \right) = [f(x)]_{\frac{*}{R_2}}. \text{ We show that } \psi \text{ is one-to-one: Let } [x]_{\frac{*}{R_1}}, [y]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} \in A_1^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{R_1}{K_1}}, \\ \text{since } S_1 = (A_1, R_1) \text{ is canonical, then there exists } u, v \in Irr(R_1) \text{ suth that } [x]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} = [u]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} \text{ and } [x]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} = [v]_{\frac{*}{R_1}}. \\ \text{We have } \psi \left([x]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} \right) = \psi \left([y]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} \right) \Longleftrightarrow \psi \left([u]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} \right) = \psi \left([v]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} \right) \Longrightarrow [f(u)]_{\frac{*}{R_2}} = [f(v)]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} \text{ since } f(Irr(R_1)) \subseteq Irr(R_2) \text{ and } S_2 = (A_2, R_2) \text{ is canonical, we have } f(u) = f(v), \text{ then } u = v \text{ because } f \text{ is one-to-one, which shows that } [x]_{\frac{*}{R_1}} = [y]_{\frac{*}{R_1}}. \\ \Box \end{bmatrix}$

Now we show that ψ is onto: since f is onto, then for all $y \in A_2^*$, there exists $x \in A_1^*$, such that y = f(x), which allows to write $[y]_{\underset{R_2}{\leftrightarrow}} = [f(x)]_{\underset{R_2}{\leftrightarrow}} = \psi\left([x]_{\underset{R_1}{\leftrightarrow}}\right)$. Finally $A_1^* / \underset{R_1}{\leftrightarrow} \cong A_2^* / \underset{R_2}{\leftrightarrow}$.

Example 3.10. Let $S_1 = (A_1, R_1)$ and $S_2 = (A_2, R_2)$ be two systems of rewriting, where,

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = \{a\} \\ R_1 = \{(aa, \epsilon)\} \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} A_2^* = \mathbb{N} = \langle 1 \rangle \\ R_2 = \{(0+0,0), (0+1,1), (1+0,1), (1+1,0)\} \end{cases}$$

We consider the isomorphism of length $f : A_1^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}, w \longmapsto |w|.$

We have $(\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(aa) = \pi_{R_2}(2) = \pi_{R_2}(0) = (\pi_{R_2} \circ f)(\epsilon)$, and $Irr(R_1) = \{\epsilon, a\}, f(Irr(R_1)) = \{0, 1\} = Irr(R_2).$

Finally
$$A_1^* / \underset{R_1}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}} \cong \mathbb{N} / \underset{R_2}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}$$
.

In the following proposition we give a condition on the relation of a rewrite system to show that the congruence generated by this relation is included in the syntactic congruence class of any word modulo congruence associated morphism of monoids.

Proposition 3.11. Let $f : A^* \longrightarrow M$ be a monoids morphism and R is a binary relation on a set A^* such that for all $(r, s) \in R$, f(r) = f(s). Then for all $w \in R$

 A^* , the congruence generated by R is included in the syntactic congruence of the equivalence class of w modulo Ker f .i.e, $\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\hookrightarrow}} \subseteq \equiv_{[w]_{Ker} f}$.

Proof. Since for all $(r, s) \in R$, f(r) = f(s), we have $R \subseteq Ker f$, then $\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\hookrightarrow}} \subseteq Ker f$. Now we show that $\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\hookrightarrow}} \subseteq \equiv_{[w]_{Ker f}}$, let $(u, v) \in A^* \times A^*$ such that $u \underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}} v$, we check that $u \equiv_{[w]_{Ker f}} v$, i.e, for all $(x, y) \in A^* \times A^*$: $xu y \in [w]_{Ker f} \iff xv y \in [w]_{Ker f}$

We have $xu y \in [w]_{Ker \ f} \iff xu y \in \bigcup_{i \in I} [c_i]_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}}$, because $\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} \subseteq Ker \ f. \iff \exists i_0 \in I$ such that $xu y \in [c_{i_0}]_{\stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}}$, then $xu y \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} c_{i_0}$. Furthermore $u \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} v$ implies that $xu y \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} xvy$. We have $\begin{cases} xu y \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} c_{i_0} \\ xu y \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} xvy \\ xu y \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} xvy \end{cases} \Rightarrow xv y \stackrel{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} c_{i_0}$, then $xv y \in [w]_{Ker \ f}$.

A similar argument shows that if $xv y \in [w]_{Ker f}$ then $xu y \in [w]_{Ker f}$. Finally $\stackrel{*}{R} \subseteq \equiv_{[w]_{Ker f}}$.

Example 3.12. Let $A = \{a, b\}, R = \{(ab, ba)\}$ and $f : A^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}, f(u) = |u|$.

We have $A^*/\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\hookrightarrow}} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} b^m a^n \end{bmatrix}_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}}, (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \right\}$ and for all $w \in A^*, [w]_{Ker \ f} = \{x \in A^* : |x| = |w|\}$. Now we show that $\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\hookrightarrow}} \subseteq \equiv_{[w]_{Ker \ f}}$, let $(u,v) \in A^* \times A^*$ such that $u \overset{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} v$, then there exists $(p,q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : u \overset{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} b^p a^q$ and $v \overset{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} b^p a^q$, there $(|u|_a = |v|_a = q \text{ and } |u|_b = |v|_b = p)$, we check that $u \equiv_{[w]_{Ker \ f}} v$, i.e. for all $(x,y) \in A^* \times A^* : xuy \in [w]_{Ker \ f} \iff xvy \in [w]_{Ker \ f}$. Let $(x,y) \in A^* \times A^*$, we have $xuy \in [w]_{Ker \ f} \iff |xuy| = |w| \iff |xvy| = |w| \iff xvy \in [w]_{Ker \ f}$, because $(|u|_a = |v|_a = q \text{ and } |u|_b = |v|_b = p)$.

$$|u|_a = |v|_a = q \text{ and } |u|_b = |v|_b$$

Finally $\stackrel{*}{\leftrightarrow} \subseteq \equiv_{[w]_{Kon-f}}$.

In the following proposition we give also a specific relation R on A^* making the quotient monoid $A^* / \underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}$ a group.

Proposition 3.13. Let $A = \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ and $R = \{(a_i a_i, \epsilon), 1 \le i \le n\}$.

We have the quotient monoid $A^* / \stackrel{*}{\underset{B}{\leftrightarrow}}$ is a group.

Proof. It suffices to show that every element of $A^* / \underset{R}{\overset{*}{\hookrightarrow}}$ is invertible, let $w = a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k} \in A^*$, and $[w]_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}} \in A^* / \underset{R}{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}}$. we take $\left([w]_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}} \right)^{-1} = [\widetilde{w}]_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}}$, there \widetilde{w} is The reverse of a word w, we have $[w]_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}} \cdot [\widetilde{w}]_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}} = [\widetilde{w}]_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}} \cdot [w]_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}} = [\epsilon]_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\to}}}$. **Example 3.14.** Let $A = \{a\}$ and $R = \{(aa, \epsilon)\}$, we have $A^* / \underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}} = \left\{ [\epsilon]_{\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}}, [a]_{\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}} \right\}$, there

$$[\epsilon]_{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} = \{ w \in A^* : |w| \equiv 0 \, [2] \} \text{ and } [a]_{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}} = \{ w \in A^* : |w| \equiv 1 \, [2] \}.$$

The Cayley table of $A^* / \stackrel{\sim}{\to}$ is defined as follows (see Table 1)

| | | $[\epsilon]_{\underset{R}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mapsto}}}$ | $[a]_{\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\leftrightarrow}}}$ |
|---|---|--|--|
| 2 | $[\epsilon]_{\underset{R}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mapsto}}}$ | $\left[\epsilon\right]_{\underset{R}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\leftrightarrow}}}$ | $[a]_{\underset{R}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mapsto}}}$ |
| | $[a]_{\underset{R}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mapsto}}}$ | $[a]_{\underset{R}{\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mapsto}}}$ | $\left[\epsilon\right]_{\overset{*}{\underset{R}{\leftrightarrow}}}$ |

We have the groups $A^*/\underset{R}{\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\to}}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},\oplus)$ are isomorphic.

4. Application on the notion of word problem in public key cryptography

In this work, we are interested in **ATS-monoid** protocol (proposed by **P. J. Abisha, D. G. Thomas G. and K. Subramanian**, the idea of this protocol is to transform a system of **Thue** $S_1 = (A, R)$ for which the word problem is undecidable a system of **Thue** $S_2 = (\Delta, R_{\theta})$ or $\theta \subseteq \Delta \times \Delta$ for which the word problem is decidable in linear time.

4.1. The ATS-monoid protocol. P. J. Abisha, D. G. Thomas and K. G. Subramanian, use the theorem of R. Cori and D. Perrin. To build the ATS-monoid protocol, the idea is transform a system of Thue $S_1 = (A, R)$ for which the word problem is undecidable in a Thue system $S_2 = (\Delta, R_{\theta})$ with $\theta \subseteq \Delta \times \Delta$ and $R_{\theta} = \{(ab, ba) : (a, b) \in \theta\}$ for which the word problem is decidable in linear time.

Public-Key (pK): A **Thue** system $S_1 = (A, R)$ and two words w_0, w_1 of A^* . (A, R, w_0, w_1) constitute a public-key.

Secret-key (sK): A Thue system $S_2 = (\Delta, R_{\theta})$ where Δ alphabet of size smaller than A, a morphism h from A^* to Δ^* , such that for all $(r, s) \in R$:

$$(h(r), h(s)) \in \{(ab, ba), (ba, ab)\},$$
for a pair $(a, b) \in \theta$, or $h(r) = h(s).$

Therefore:

for all $u, v \in A^*, u \longleftrightarrow^*_R v \Longrightarrow h(u) \longleftrightarrow^*_{R_{\theta}} h(v).$

thus if h(u) and h(v) are not equivalent with respect to $\longleftrightarrow_{R_{\theta}}^{*}$, then u and v are not equivalent with respect to $\longleftrightarrow_{R}^{*}$.

And, we also we have two words x_0, x_1 of Δ^* such that $x_0 \longleftrightarrow^*_{R_{\theta}} h(w_0), x_1 \longleftrightarrow^*_{R_{\theta}} h(w_1)$, with $h(w_0)$ and $h(w_1)$ are not equivalent with respect to $\longleftrightarrow^*_{R_{\theta}}$. $(\Delta, R_{\theta}, h \in Hom(A^*, \Delta^*))$ constitute a secret-key.

Encryption: for encrypt a bit $b \in \{0, 1\}$, **Bob** chooses a word c of A^* in the equivalence class of w_b with respect to \longleftrightarrow_R^* , i. e, $c \in [w_b]_{\longleftrightarrow_R^*}$ where $[w_b]_{\longleftrightarrow_R^*}$ denotes the equivalence class of w_b with respect to \longleftrightarrow_R^* and then sent to **Alice**.

Decryption: Upon receipt of a word c of A^* , **Alice** calculated $h(c) \in \Delta^*$, since $c \longleftrightarrow_R^* w_b$ and according to the result for all $u, v \in \Sigma^*, u \longleftrightarrow_R^* v \Longrightarrow$

 $h(u) \longleftrightarrow^*_{R_{\theta}} h(v)$ we have $h(c) \longleftrightarrow^*_{R_{\theta}} h(w_b)$, for example if $h(c) \longleftrightarrow^*_{R_{\theta}} x_0$ the message is decrypted 0.

Example : **Public-Key** (pK): $A = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4\},\$ $R = \left\{ \left(\sigma_2 \sigma_3, \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \right), \left(\sigma_2 \sigma_4, \sigma_4 \sigma_2 \right), \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_3, \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \right) \right\},\$ $w_0 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4,$ $w_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \sigma_2 \sigma_1.$ Secret-key (sK): $\Delta = \{a, b, c\}, \theta = \{(a, b), (a, c)\} \text{ and } h : A^* \longrightarrow \Delta^* \text{ is defined by }:$

$$h(\sigma_1) = \epsilon, h(\sigma_2) = a, h(\sigma_3) = b, h(\sigma_4) = c.$$

We have $R_{\theta} = \{(ab, ba), (ac, ca)\}, h(w_0) = x_0 = acbabc \text{ and } h(w_1) = x_1 = x_0$ acbca.

Now we verify the following conditions :

1. $h(w_0)$ et $h(w_0)$ are not equivalent with respect to $\longleftrightarrow_{R_{\theta}}^*$.

2. for all $(r, s) \in R$:

$$\begin{cases} (h(r), h(s)) \in \{(ab, ba), (ba, ab)\}, \text{ for a pair } (a, b) \in \theta, \text{ or } \\ h(r) = h(s). \end{cases}$$

For condition 1. Just use the theorem of **R. Cori** and **D. Perrin**, we have $P_{\{b\}}(h(w_0)) = P_{\{b\}}(acbabc) = bb$ and $P_{\{b\}}(h(w_1)) = P_{\{b\}}(acbca) = b$, then $h(w_0)$ and $h(w_1)$ are not equivalent with respect to $\longleftrightarrow_{R_a}^*$.

For condition 2. we have $R = \{(\sigma_2\sigma_3, \sigma_3\sigma_2), (\sigma_2\sigma_4, \sigma_4\sigma_2), (\sigma_1\sigma_3, \sigma_3\sigma_1)\}$ then $(h(\sigma_2\sigma_3), h(\sigma_3\sigma_2)) = (ab, ba) \in R_{\theta}, (h(\sigma_2\sigma_4), h(\sigma_4\sigma_2)) = (ac, ca) \in R_{\theta},$ $(h(\sigma_1\sigma_3), h(\sigma_3\sigma_1)) = (b, b)$ (we have $h(\sigma_1\sigma_3) = h(\sigma_3\sigma_1)$).

Therefore:

for all
$$u, v \in A^*, u \longleftrightarrow^*_R v \Longrightarrow h(u) \longleftrightarrow^*_{R_a} h(v).$$

Encryption: for example, for encrypt the 0, **Bob** chooses a word c of $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4\}^*$ in the equivalence class of w_0 with respect to \longleftrightarrow_R^* , i. e, $c \in [w_0]_{\longleftrightarrow_R^*}$ where $[w_0]_{\longleftrightarrow^*_R}$ denotes the equivalence class of w_0 with respect to \longleftrightarrow^*_R , and then sent to Alice.

we have $w_0 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \longleftrightarrow_R^* \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 \longleftrightarrow_R^* \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4.$ We choose $c = \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4$.

Decryption: Upon receipt of a word c of $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4\}^*$,

Alice calculated $h(c) = h(\sigma_1 \sigma_4 \sigma_3 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4) = cbaabc \in \{a, b, c\}^*$, Now using the theorem of **R. Cori** and **D. Perrin**, such that $h(c) \longleftrightarrow_{R_{\theta}}^{*} h(w_{0})$. we have $P_{\{a\}}(h(c)) = P_{\{a\}}(h(w_0)) = aa, P_{\{b\}}(h(c)) = P_{\{b\}}(h(w_0)) = bb, P_{\{c\}}(h(c)) = bb, P_{\{c\}}($ $P_{\{c\}}(h(w_0)) = cc.$

then for all σ of $\{a, b, c\}$, $P_{\{\sigma\}}(h(c)) = P_{\{\sigma\}}(h(w_0))$. In addition it is verified that $P_{\{\sigma,\mu\}}(h(c)) = P_{\{\sigma,\mu\}}(h(w_0))$, for all $(\sigma,\mu) \notin \theta$, we have the complementary of θ is $C_{\Delta \times \Delta} \theta = \{(a, a), (b, a), (b, b), (b, c), (c, a), (c, b), (c, c)\},\$ then $P_{\{b,c\}}(h(c)) = P_{\{b,c\}}(h(w_0)) = cbbc$. Finally $h(c) \longleftrightarrow_{R_{\theta}}^* h(w_0) = x_0$ and the

word is decrypted 0.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we determine some conditions on the two relations that ensure the existence of a morphism between the two quotient monoids. We give also a specific relation R on A^* making the quotient monoid $A^*/\underset{R}{\overset{*}{\hookrightarrow}}$ a group.

References

- Gross, L.: Abstract Wiener spaces, in: Proc. 5th Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. and Probab.
 part 1 (1965) 31-42, University of California Press, Berkeley.
- [2] Itô, K.: Stochastic integral, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 20 (1944) 519-524.
- [3] McKean, H. P.: Stochastic Integrals, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [4] J. Berstel & D. Perrin. "Theoryofcodes", Academic Press (1984).
- [5] M. Benois, Application de l'étude de certaines congruences à un problème de décidabilité, Séminaire Dubreil, nº 7, (1972).
- [6] R. Cori et D. Perrin, Automates et Commutations Partielles, RAIRO-Informatique théorique, tome19, nº 1, p.21-32, (1985).
- [7] N. Dershowitz, Termination of Rewriting, Jornal of Symbolic Computation, vol 3, pp. 69 116, (1987).
- [8] W. Diffie, M. E. Hellman, New Direction in Cryptography, *IEEE Trans, on Inform Theory*, 22(6), P. 644-665, (1976).
- [9] M. Eytan, G. TH. Guilbaud, Présentation de quelques monoïdes finis. Mathématiques et sciences humaines, vol,7, pp. 3 - 10, (1964).
- [10] R. Floyd, R. Beigel, Traduction de D. Krob, Le langage des machines, International Thomson France, paris, (1995).
- [11] Y. Metivier, Calcul de longueurs de chaînes de réécriture dans le monoïde libre", Theoretical Computer Science, vol 35, pp. 71 – 87, (1985).
- [12] L. Perret, Etude d'outils algébriques et combinatoires pour la cryptographie à clef publique, thèse de doctorat, Universite de Marne-la-Vallée, (2005).
- [13] H. Phan, P. Guillot, Preuves de sécurité des schémas cryptographiques, université Paris 8, (2013).
- [14] E. Post, Recursive unthenlyability of a problem of Thue, Journal of Symbolic Logic, 12(1):1-11, (1947).
- [15] Y. Lafont, A new finiteness condition for monoids presented by complete rewriting systems (after Craig C. Squier)", Journal of Pur and Applied Algebra, vol 98, pp. 229-244, (1995).
- [16] Y. Lafont, Réécritue et problème du mot, Gazette des Mathématiciens, pp. 27 38, (2009).
- [17] M. Lohrey, The Compressed Word Problem for Groups, Springer (2014).
- [18] M. Nivat. Sur le noyau d'un morphisme du monoïde libre, Proceedings de Séminaire Schutzenberger, vol 1, pp. 1-6, (1970).
- [19] D. Kapur and P. Narendran, A Finite Thue System With Decidable Word Problem And Without Equivalent Finite Canonical System, *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol 35, pp. 337 – 344, (1985).
- [20] S. Qiao, W. Han, Y. Li and L. Jiao, Construction of Extended Multivariante Public Key Cryptosystems, International Journal of Network Security, Vol. 18, No.1, pp. 60-67, (2016).
- [21] H. Rosen, Cryptography Theory and Practice, Third Edition, Chapman and Hall/CRC, (2006).
- [22] R. V. Book, H. N. Liu, Rewriting Systems and Word Problems in a Free Partially Commutative Monoid, Information Processing Letters nº 26, p. 29-32, (1987).

PRESENTATION OF MONOIDS

NACER GHADBANE: LABORATORY OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF M'SILA, ALGERIA. E-mail address: nacer.ghadbane@yahoo.com

Douadi Mihoubi: Laboratory of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, University of M'sila, Algeria $E\text{-mail} address: mihoubi_douadi@yahoo.fr$