

SOME COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACES USING THE PROPERTY (E. A)

PRANJALI SHARMA AND SHAILESH DHAR DIWAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish some common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces for sequence of self mappings using implicit relation and the common property (E. A). Our results generalize and improve several results of metric spaces and fuzzy metric spaces to intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

1. Introduction

The significant idea of fuzzy set was first introduced in 1965 by Iranian mathematician Zadeh [17]. Fuzzy set is defined by a membership function which assigns each object to a grade of membership between zero and one. Following the concept of fuzzy set Kramosil and Michalek [7] extended the concept of metric space as fuzzy metric space. George and Veeramani [5] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space given by Kramosil and Michalek [7] by imposing some stronger conditions using continuous t-norm and defined the hausdorff topology of fuzzy metric spaces. Vasuki [16] have proved fuzzy version of common fixed point theorem using a strong definition of Cauchy sequence in fuzzy metric space.

Atanassov [4] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets and later there has been much progress in the study of intuitionistic fuzzy sets by many authors [12, 13, 14, 15]. Park [9] introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using the idea of fuzzy metric space due to George and Veeramani [5]. Recently, in 2006, Alaca et al. [2] using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norm and continuous t - conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michalek [7].

Jungck [6] introduced commuting mappings in metric space. Sessa [11] generalized commuting mappings in metric space as weakly commuting mappings. Pant [8] introduced R - weakly commuting mappings in metric space. Turkoglu et al. [14] introduced common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. Aamri and El Moutawakil [1] defined the (E. A) property in metric space for self mappings whose class contains the class of non compatible as well as compatible mappings. Common property (E. A) is introduced by Ali et al. [3]. It is observed

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, t-norm, t-conorm, weakly commuting mappings, (E. A) property, common property (E. A), implicit relation.

that (E. A) property and common property (E. A) require the closedness of the subspaces for the existence of fixed point.

In this paper, we establish some common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces for sequence of self mappings using implicit relation and the common property (E. A).

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. (Schweizer and Sklar [10]): A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called continuous t - norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $*$ is commutative and associative;
- (2) $*$ is continuous;
- (3) $a * 1 = a$, for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (4) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2. (Schweizer and Sklar [10]): A binary operation \diamond : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called continuous t - conorm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) \diamond is commutative and associative;
- (2) \diamond is continuous;
- (3) $a \diamond 0 = a$, for all $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (4) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 2.3. (Schweizer and Sklar [10]): The concepts of triangular norms (t-norms) and triangular conorms (t-conorms) are known as the axiomatic skeletons that we use for characterizing fuzzy intersections and unions respectively.

Definition 2.4. (Park [9]): An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space (IFMS) is a 5 - tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ such that X is a non empty set, $*$ is a continuous t - norm, \diamond is a continuous t - conorm M and N are fuzzy set on $X \times X \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$:

- (1) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$;
- (2) $M(x, y, t) > 0$;
- (3) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (4) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$
- (5) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$;
- (6) $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous;
- (7) $N(x, y, t) > 0$;
- (8) $N(x, y, t) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (9) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$;
- (10) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$;
- (11) $N(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Here (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X . The functions $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between x and y with respect to t respectively.

Remark 2.5. (Park [9]) Every fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ such that t - norm $*$ and t - conorm \diamond are associated as, $x \diamond y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y))$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Remark 2.6. (Park [9]) In intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X , $M(x, y, t)$ is non-decreasing and $N(x, y, t)$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Example 2.7. 2.7 (Park [9]) Let (X, d) be a metric space. $a * b = ab$ and $a \diamond b = \min\{1, a + b\}$ for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ and let,

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{ht^n}{ht^n + md(x, y)}$$

and

$$N(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x, y)}{kt^n + md(x, y)}$$

for all $h, k, m, n \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

Definition 2.8. (Turkoglu et al. [14]): Two self mappings f and g of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ are said to be weakly commuting if,

$$M(fgx, gfx, t) \geq M(fx, gx, t) \text{ and } N(fgx, gfx, t) \leq N(fx, gx, t),$$

for all $x \in X, t > 0$.

Definition 2.9. (Turkoglu et al. [14]): Two self mappings f and g of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ are said to be R-weakly commuting if,

$$M(fgx, gfx, t) \geq M(fx, gx, \frac{t}{R}) \text{ and } N(fgx, gfx, t) \leq N(fx, gx, \frac{t}{R}),$$

for all $x \in X, t > 0$ and $R > 0$.

Definition 2.10. (Aamri and Moutawakil aam): Two self mappings A and B of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ are said to satisfy the $(E.A)$ property if there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that for all $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = x,$$

for some $x \in X$.

Definition 2.11. (Ali et al. [3]): Two pairs (A, S) and (B, T) of self mappings of a fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ are said to satisfy the common $(E.A)$ property if there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that for all $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = x,$$

for some $x \in X$.

3. Main Results

Definition 3.1. Implicit Relation: Let Φ be the class of all real valued and continuous functions, $\phi : (R^+)^4 \rightarrow R$, satisfying the following conditions:

(I) $\phi(x, y, x, y) \geq 0$ or $\phi(x, y, y, x) \geq 0$ or $\phi(x, x, y, y) \geq 0$ implies $x \geq y$, for all $x, y \geq 0$;

(II) $\phi(x, y, x, y) \leq 1$ or $\phi(x, y, y, x) \leq 1$ or $\phi(x, x, y, y) \leq 1$ implies $x \leq y$, for all $x, y \geq 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let A, B and $\langle F_i \rangle$ where $i \in N \setminus \{0\}$, be self-mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, satisfying the following conditions,*

- (I) $F_i \subseteq B$ and $F_0 \subseteq A$;
 - (II) $\phi(M(F_i x, F_0 y, kt), M(Ax, By, t), M(F_i x, Ax, t), M(F_0 y, By, kt)) \geq 0$;
 - (III) $\phi(N(F_i x, F_0 y, kt), N(Ax, By, t), N(F_i x, Ax, t), N(F_0 y, By, kt)) \leq 1$;
 - (IV) *The pairs (F_i, A) and (F_0, B) share the common property (E.A);*
 - (V) *The pairs (F_i, A) and (F_0, B) are R - weakly commuting,*
- for all $x, y \in X, t > 0, k \in (0, 1)$.

If range of one of A and B is closed subspace of X , then $\langle F_i \rangle$ where $i \in N \setminus \{0\}$, A and B have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Since the pairs (F_i, A) and (F_0, B) share the common property (E.A), there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_0 x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_i y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A y_n = z,$$

for some $z \in X$. Suppose that $A(X)$ is a closed subspace of X , then there exists some $u \in X$ such that $z = Au$.

Now we show that, $F_i u = z$. Using condition (II) with $x = u$ and $y = x_n$.

$$\phi(M(F_i u, F_0 x_n, kt), M(Au, Bx_n, t), M(F_i u, Au, t), M(F_0 x_n, Bx_n, kt)) \geq 0.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\phi(M(F_i u, z, kt), M(z, z, t), M(F_i u, Au, t), M(z, z, kt)) \geq 0$$

or

$$\phi(M(F_i u, z, kt), 1, M(F_i u, Au, t), 1) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing therefore,

$$\phi(M(F_i u, z, t), 1, M(F_i u, z, t), 1) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(F_i u, z, t) \geq 1.$$

Using condition (III) with $x = u$ and $y = x_n$, we have

$$\phi(N(F_i u, F_0 x_n, kt), N(Au, Bx_n, t), N(F_i u, Au, t), N(F_0 x_n, Bx_n, kt)) \leq 1.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\phi(N(F_i u, z, kt), N(z, z, t), N(F_i u, Au, t), N(z, z, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(F_i u, z, kt), 0, N(F_i u, Au, t), 0) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(F_i u, z, t), 0, N(F_i u, z, t), 0) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$N(F_i u, z, t) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, $F_i u = z = Au$.

Since $F_i \subseteq B$, there exists some $v \in X$, such that $F_i u = z = Bv$.

Now we show that $F_0 v = z$. Using condition (II) with $y = v$ and $x = y_n$, we have

$$\phi(M(F_i y_n, F_0 v, kt), M(Ay_n, Bv, t), M(F_i y_n, Ay_n, t), M(F_0 v, Bv, kt)) \geq 0.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\phi(M(z, F_0 v, kt), M(z, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(F_0 v, z, kt)) \geq 0$$

or

$$\phi(M(z, F_0 v, kt), 1, 1, M(F_0 v, z, kt)) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1, we get

$$M(F_0 v, z, kt) \geq 1.$$

Using condition (II) with $y = v$ and $x = y_n$, we have

$$\phi(N(F_i y_n, F_0 v, kt), N(Ay_n, Bv, t), N(F_i y_n, Ay_n, t), N(F_0 v, Bv, kt)) \leq 1.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\phi(N(z, F_0 v, kt), N(z, z, t), N(z, z, t), N(F_0 v, z, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(z, F_0 v, kt), 0, 0, N(F_0 v, z, kt)) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1

$$N(F_0 v, z, kt) \leq 0.$$

Therefore, $F_0 v = z = Bv$. Hence $F_i u = Au = F_0 v = Bv = z$.

Since F_i and A are R -weakly commuting, there exists $R > 0$ such that,

$$M(F_i Au, AF_i u, t) \geq M(F_i u, Au, \frac{t}{R}) = 1$$

and

$$N(F_i Au, AF_i u, t) \leq N(F_i u, Au, \frac{t}{R}) = 0,$$

hence $F_i Au = AF_i u = F_i F_i u = AAu$.

Similarly F_0 and B are R - weakly commuting, there exists $R > 0$ such that,

$$M(F_0 Bv, BF_0 v, t) \geq M(F_0 v, Bv, \frac{t}{R}) = 1$$

and

$$N(F_0 Bv, BF_0 v, t) \leq N(F_0 v, Bv, \frac{t}{R}) = 0,$$

hence $F_0 Bv = BF_0 v = F_0 F_0 v = BBv$.

Using condition (II) with $y = v$ and $x = F_i u$,

$$\phi(M(F_i F_i u, F_0 v, kt), M(AF_i u, Bv, t), M(F_i F_i u, AF_i u, t), M(F_0 v, Bv, kt)) \geq 0$$

or

$$\phi(M(F_i F_i u, F_i u, kt), M(F_i F_i u, F_i u, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(M(F_i F_i u, F_i u, t), M(F_i F_i u, F_i u, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(F_i F_i u, F_i u, t) \geq 1.$$

Using condition (III) with $y = v$ and $x = F_i u$, we have

$$\phi(N(F_i F_i u, F_0 v, kt), N(A F_i u, B v, t), N(F_i F_i u, A F_i u, t), N(F_0 v, B v, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(F_i F_i u, F_i u, kt), N(F_i F_i u, F_i u, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(N(F_i F_i u, F_i u, t), N(F_i F_i u, F_i u, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$N(F_i F_i u, F_i u, t) \leq 0.$$

It is possible only when, $F_i F_i u = F_i u$. Hence, $F_i z = z$. Therefore, $F_i z = z = Az$. Thus z is a fixed point of F_i and A .

Now using condition (II) with $y = F_0 v$ and $x = u$, we get

$$\phi(M(F_i u, F_0 F_0 v, kt), M(Au, B F_0 v, t), M(F_i u, Au, t), M(F_0 F_0 v, B F_0 v, kt)) \geq 0$$

or

$$\phi(M(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, kt), M(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(M(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, t), M(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, t) \geq 1.$$

Now using condition (III) with $y = F_0 v$ and $x = u$, we have

$$\phi(N(F_i u, F_0 F_0 v, kt), N(Au, B F_0 v, t), N(F_i u, Au, t), N(F_0 F_0 v, B F_0 v, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, kt), N(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing therefore,

$$\phi(N(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, t), N(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$N(F_0 v, F_0 F_0 v, t) \leq 0.$$

It is possible only when, $F_0 v = F_0 F_0 v = z$. Hence, $F_0 z = z$. Therefore, $F_0 z = Bz = z$. Thus z is a fixed point of F_0 and B . Which gives, $F_i z = Az = F_0 z = Bz = z$. Hence z is a common fixed point of $\langle F_i \rangle$, A and B .

Now to show that z is unique common fixed point of $\langle F_i \rangle$, where $i \in N \cup \{0\}$, A and B . Suppose z_1 is another common fixed point of $\langle F_i \rangle$, where $i \in N \cup \{0\}$, A and B .

Now using condition (II) with $y = z_1$ and $x = z$, we get

$$\phi(M(F_i z, F_0 z_1, kt), M(Az, B z_1, t), M(F_i z, Az, t), M(F_0 z_1, B z_1, kt)) \geq$$

or

$$\phi(M(z, z_1, kt), M(z, z_1, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing therefore,

$$\phi(M(z, z_1, t), M(z, z_1, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(z, z_1, t) \geq 1.$$

Now using condition (III) with $y = z_1$ and $x = z$,

$$\phi(N(F_i z, F_0 z_1, kt), N(Az, Bz_1, t), N(F_i z, Az, t), N(F_0 z_1, Bz_1, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(z, z_1, kt), N(z, z_1, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing therefore,

$$\phi(N(z, z_1, t), N(z, z_1, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(z, z_1, t) \leq 0.$$

It is possible only when $z = z_1$. Hence z is unique common fixed point of $\langle F_i \rangle$, where $i \in N \cup \{0\}$, A and B .

Theorem 3.3. *Let A, B, F and G be self-maps of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, satisfying the following conditions:*

(I) $F \subseteq B$ and $G \subseteq A$;

(II) $\phi(M(Fx, Gy, kt), M(Ax, By, t), M(Fx, Ax, t), M(Gy, By, kt)) \geq 0$;

(III) $\phi(N(Fx, Gy, kt), N(Ax, By, t), N(Fx, Ax, t), N(Gy, By, kt)) \leq 1$;

(IV) The pairs (F, A) and (G, B) share the common property $(E.A)$;

(V) The pairs (F, A) and (G, B) are R -weakly commuting,

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0, k \in (0, 1)$. If range of one of A and B is closed subspace of X , then F, G, A and B have unique common fixed point.

Proof. Since the pairs (F, A) and (G, B) share the common property $(E.A)$, there exist two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Fy_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ay_n = z,$$

for some $z \in X$. Suppose that $A(X)$ is a closed subspace of X , then there exist some $u \in X$ such that $z = Au$.

Now we show that, $Fu = z$. Using condition (II) with $x = u$ and $y = x_n$, we get

$$\phi(M(Fu, Gx_n, kt), M(Au, Bx_n, t), M(Fu, Au, t), M(Gx_n, Bx_n, kt)) \geq 0.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\phi(M(Fu, z, kt), M(z, z, t), M(Fu, Au, t), M(z, z, kt)) \geq 0$$

or

$$\phi(M(Fu, z, kt), 1, M(Fu, Au, t), 1) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(M(Fu, z, t), 1, M(Fu, Au, t), 1) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(Fu, z, kt) \geq 1$$

Using condition (III) with $x = u$ and $y = x_n$.

$$\phi(N(Fu, Gx_n, kt), N(Au, Bx_n, t), N(Fu, Au, t), N(Gx_n, Bx_n, kt)) \leq 1.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\phi(N(Fu, z, kt), N(z, z, t), N(Fu, Au, t), N(z, z, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(Fu, z, kt), 0, N(Fu, Au, t), 0) \leq 1.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(N(Fu, z, t), 1, N(Fu, Au, t), 1) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$N(Fu, z, kt) \leq 0.$$

Therefore $Fu = z = Au$. Since $F \subseteq B$, there exists some $v \in X$, such that $Fu = z = v$.

Now we show that $Gv = z$. Using condition (II) with $y = v$ and $x = y_n$, we have

$$\phi(M(Fy_n, Gv, kt), M(Ay_n, Bv, t), M(Fy_n, Ay_n, t), M(Gv, Bv, kt)) \geq 0.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\phi(M(z, Gv, kt), M(z, z, t), M(z, z, t), M(Gv, z, kt)) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$M(Fv, z, kt) \geq 1.$$

Using condition (III) with $y = v$ and $x = y_n$.

$$\phi(N(Fy_n, Gv, kt), N(Ay_n, Bv, t), N(Fy_n, Ay_n, t), N(Gv, Bv, kt)) \leq 1.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\phi(N(z, Gv, kt), N(z, z, t), N(z, z, t), N(Gv, z, kt)) \leq 1.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$N(Fv, z, kt) \leq 0.$$

Therefore $Gv = z = Bv$. Hence $Fu = Au = Gv = Bv = z$.

Since F and A are R -weakly commutting, there exists $R > 0$ such that,

$$M(FAu, AFu, t) \geq M(Fu, Au, \frac{t}{R}) = 1$$

and

$$N(FAu, AFu, t) \leq N(Fu, Au, \frac{t}{R}) = 0,$$

that is $FAu = AFu = FFu = AAu$. Similarly G and B are R -weakly commutting, there exists $R > 0$ such that,

$$M(GBv, BGv, t)M(Gv, Bv, \frac{t}{R}) = 1$$

and

$$N(GBv, BGv, t)N(Gv, Bv, \frac{t}{R}) = 0,$$

that is

$$GBv = BGv = GGv = BBv.$$

Using condition (II) with $y = v$ and $x = F_i u$,

$$\phi(M(FFu, Gv, kt), M(AFu, Bv, t), M(FFu, AFu, t), M(Gv, Bv, kt)) \geq 0$$

or

$$\phi(M(FFu, Fu, kt), M(FFu, Fu, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(M(FFu, Fu, t), M(FFu, Fu, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(FFu, Fu, t) \geq 1.$$

Using condition (III) with $y = v$ and $x = Fu$,

$$\phi(N(FFu, Gv, kt), N(AFu, Bv, t), N(FFu, AFu, t), N(Gv, Bv, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(FFu, Fu, kt), N(FFu, Fu, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(N(FFu, Fu, t), N(FFu, Fu, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$N(FFu, Fu, t) \leq 0.$$

It is possible only when, $FFu = Fu$. Therefore $Fz = z$. Hence $Fz = z = Az$.

Thus z is a fixed point of F and A .

Now using condition (II) with $y = Gv$ and $x = u$,

$$\phi(M(Fu, GGv, kt), M(Au, BGv, t), M(Fu, Au, t), M(GGv, BGv, kt)) \geq 0$$

or

$$\phi(M(GGv, Gv, kt), M(GGv, Gv, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(M(GGv, Gv, t), M(GGv, Gv, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(GGv, Gv, t) \geq 1.$$

Using condition (III) with $y = Gv$ and $x = u$,

$$\phi(N(Fu, GGv, kt), N(Au, BGv, t), N(Fu, Au, t), N(GGv, BGv, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(GGv, Gv, kt), N(GGv, Gv, t), 1, 1) \leq 1.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(N(GGv, Gv, t), N(GGv, Gv, t), 1, 1) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$N(GGv, Gv, t) \leq 0.$$

It is possible only when, $Gv = GGv = z$. Therefore $Gz = z$. Hence $Gz = Bz = z$.

Thus z is a fixed point of G and B . i. e. $Fz = Az = Gz = Bz = z$. Hence z is a common fixed point of F, G, A and B .

Now to show that z is unique common fixed point of F, G, A and B . Suppose z_1 is another common fixed point of F, G, A and B .

Now using condition (II) with $y = z_1$ and $x = z$,

$$\phi(M(Fz, Gz_1, kt), M(Az, Bz_1, t), M(Fz, Az, t), M(Fz_1, Bz_1, kt)) \geq 0$$

or

$$\phi(M(z, z_1, kt), M(z, z_1, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(M(z, z_1, t), M(z, z_1, t), 1, 1) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(z, z_1, t) \geq 1.$$

Using condition (III) with $y = z_1$ and $x = z$,

$$\phi(N(Fz, Gz_1, kt), N(Az, Bz_1, t), N(Fz, Az, t), N(Fz_1, Bz_1, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(z, z_1, kt), N(z, z_1, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(N(z, z_1, t), N(z, z_1, t), 0, 0) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$N(z, z_1, t) \leq 0.$$

It is possible only when, $z = z_1$. Hence z is unique common fixed point of F, G, A and B . \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let A, B and $\langle F_i \rangle$ where $i \in N \cup \{0\}$, be self-maps of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, satisfying the following conditions:*

(I) $F_i \subseteq B$ and $F_0 \subseteq A$;

(II) $\phi(M(F_i x, F_0 y, kt), M(Ax, By, t), M(F_i x, Ax, t), M(F_0 y, By, kt)) \geq 0$;

(III) $\phi(N(F_i x, F_0 y, kt), N(Ax, By, t), N(F_i x, Ax, t), N(F_0 y, By, kt)) \leq 1$;

(IV) The pair (F_0, B) satisfies property (E.A);

(V) The pairs (F_i, A) and (F_0, B) are R - weakly commuting,

for all $x, y \in X, t > 0, k \in (0, 1)$. If range of one of A and B is closed subspace of X , then $\langle F_i \rangle$ where $i \in N \cup \{0\}$, A and B have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Since the pair (F_0, B) share the common property (E.A), there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_0 x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B x_n = z, \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

Since $F_0(X) \subseteq A(X)$, there exists $\{y_n\}$ in X such that, $F_0 x_n = A y_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A y_n = z$.

Now we show that, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_i y_n = z$.

Using condition (II) with $x = y_n$ and $y = x_n$, we have

$$\phi(M(F_i y_n, F_0 x_n, kt), M(A y_n, B x_n, t), M(F_i y_n, A y_n, t), M(F_0 x_n, B x_n, kt)) \geq 0.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\phi(M(F_i y_n, z, kt), M(z, z, t), M(F_i y_n, z, t), M(z, z, kt)) \geq 0$$

or

$$\phi(M(F_i y_n, z, kt), 1, M(F_i y_n, z, t), 1) \geq 0.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(M(F_i y_n, z, t), 1, M(F_i y_n, z, t), 1) \geq 0.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$M(F_i y_n, z, t) \geq 1,$$

using condition (III) with $x = y_n$ and $y = x_n$.

$$\phi(N(F_i y_n, F_0 x_n, kt), N(A y_n, B x_n, t), N(F_i y_n, A y_n, t), N(F_0 x_n, B x_n, kt)) \leq 1.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\phi(N(F_i y_n, z, kt), N(z, z, t), N(F_i y_n, z, t), N(z, z, kt)) \leq 1$$

or

$$\phi(N(F_i y_n, z, kt), 0, N(F_i y_n, z, t), 0) \leq 1.$$

Since ϕ is non-decreasing, therefore

$$\phi(N(F_i y_n, z, t), 0, N(F_i y_n, z, t), 0) \leq 1.$$

From the definition 3.1,

$$N(F_i y_n, z, t) \leq 0.$$

It is possible only when $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_i y_n = z$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_0 x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_i y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A y_n = z.$$

Hence the pairs (F_i, A) and (F_0, B) share the common property (E.A).

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, hence $\langle F_i \rangle$ where $i \in N \cup \{0\}$, A and B have a unique common fixed point. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let A and B be self mappings of fuzzy intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, satisfying the following conditions:*

(I) $\phi(M(Ax, Ay, kt), M(Bx, By, t), M(Ax, Bx, t), M(Ay, By, kt)) \geq 0$;

(I) $\phi(N(Ax, Ay, kt), N(Bx, By, t), N(Ax, Bx, t), N(Ay, By, kt)) \leq 1$;

(III) *The pair (A, B) satisfies property (E.A);*

(IV) *The pair A and B are R -weakly commuting;*

(V) *Range of B is closed subspace of X ,*

for all $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$, $k \in (0, 1)$, then A and B have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. The proof can be obtained by putting $F_i = F_0 = A$ and $A = B$ in Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let A and the identity mapping I be self mappings of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, satisfying the following conditions:*

(I) $\phi(M(Ax, Ay, kt), M(x, y, t), M(Ax, x, t), M(Ay, y, kt)) \geq 0$;

(II) $\phi(N(Ax, Ay, kt), N(x, y, t), N(Ax, x, t), N(Ay, y, kt)) \leq 1$;

(III) *The pair (A, I) satisfies property (E.A),*

for all $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$, $k \in (0, 1)$, then A has unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. The proof can be obtained by putting $F_i = F_0 = A$ and $A = B = I$ in Theorem 3.2. \square

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we established some common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces for sequence of self mappings using an implicit relation and common properties (E. A). The obtained results are true for both compatible and non-compatible mappings. Our results generalize and improve several results of metric spaces and fuzzy metric spaces to intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. The paper has scope to generalize the results in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and modified intuitionistic M- fuzzy metric space.

References

1. Aamri M. and Moutawakil D. E.: Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 270 (1), (2002) pp.181188.
2. Alaca C, Turkoglu D, Yildiz C.: Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons Fractals*, 29, (2006) pp. 1073 - 1078.
3. Ali J., Imdad M. and Bahuguna D.: Common fixed point theorems in Menger spaces with common property (E. A), *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 60 (12), (2010) pp. 3152-3159.
4. Atanassov K.: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and System*, 20, (1986) pp. 87 - 96.
5. George A., Veeramani P.: On some results in Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and System*, 64, (1994) pp. 395 - 399.
6. Jungck, G.: Commuting mappings and fixed points, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83, (1976) pp. 261-263.
7. Kramosil O. and Michalek J.: Fuzzy Metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, 11, (1975) pp. 326 - 334.
8. Pant R. P.: Common fixed points of non commuting mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 188(2), (1994) pp. 436 - 440.
9. Park J. H.: Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 144, (2004) pp. 1039 -1046.
10. Schweizer B. and sclar A.: Statistical Metric space, *pacific J. Math.*, (1960) pp. 314-334.
11. Sessa S.: On a weak commutativity condition in a fixed point considation, *Publication of Inst. Mathematics*, 32(46), (1986) pp. 149-153.
12. Sedghi S., Shobe N. and Aliouche A.: Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces through conditions of integral type, *Applied Mathematics Information Sciences*, 2(1), (2008) pp. 6182.
13. Sharma S., Deshpande B.: Common fixed point theorems for finite number of mappings without continuity and compatibility on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solutions and Fractals*, 40, (2009) pp. 2242 - 2256.
14. Turkoglu D., Alaca C., Cho Y. J. and Yildiz C.: Common fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, 22, (2006) pp. 411- 424.
15. Turkoglu D.: Alaca C. and Yildiz C., Compatible maps and Compatible maps of type and in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Demonstratio Math.*, 39 (3), (2006) pp. 671 - 684.
16. Vasuki R.:Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces, in: *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 30, (1999) pp. 419 - 423.
17. Zadeh L.A.: Fuzzy sets, in: *Information and Control*, 8, (1965) pp. 338 - 353.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHRI SHANKARACHARYA INSTITUTE OF PROF. MGMT. AND TECH., RAIPUR (C.G.), INDIA,
E-mail address: p.sharma@ssipmt.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVERNMENT ENGINEERING COLLEGE, RAIPUR (C.G.), INDIA
E-mail address: sdd11@rediffmail.com